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HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

AAST

The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma started with conversations at the meetings of the Western Surgical Association and Southern Surgical Association in December, 1937. The 14 founders, who were present at one or both of these meetings, subsequently invited another 68 surgeons to a Founding Members meeting in San Francisco on June 14, 1938. The first meeting of the AAST was held in Hot Springs, Virginia, in May, 1939, and Dr. Kellogg Speed’s first Presidential Address was published in *The American Journal of Surgery* 47:261-264, 1940. Today, the Association holds an annual scientific meeting, owns and publishes *The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, initiated in 1961, and has over 1,300 members from 30 countries.
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Learning Objectives and Outcomes

This activity is designed for surgeons. Upon completion of this program, participants will be able to:

- Exchange knowledge pertaining to current research practices and training in the surgery of trauma.
- Design research studies to investigate new methods of preventing, correcting, and treating acute care surgery (trauma, surgical critical care and emergency surgery) injuries.

CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION CREDIT INFORMATION

Accreditation
This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essential Areas and Policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) through the joint providership of the American College of Surgeons and American Association for the Surgery of Trauma. The American College of Surgeons is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™ - Annual Meeting
The American College of Surgeons designates this live activity for a maximum of 31.00 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Of the AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™ listed above, a maximum of 17.00 credits meet the requirements for Self-Assessment.

Of the AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™ listed above, a maximum of 14.75 credits may qualify as Trauma.*

Of the AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™ listed above, a maximum of 2.00 credits may qualify as Surgical Critical Care.*

Of the AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™ listed above, a maximum of 4.50 credits may qualify as Pediatric Trauma.*

* The content of this activity may meet certain mandates of regulatory bodies. ACS has not and does not verify the content for such mandates with any regulatory body. Individual physicians are responsible for verifying the content satisfies such requirements.
Educational Grants
American Association for the Surgery of Trauma wishes to recognize and thank the following companies for their ongoing support:

Siemens Medical Solutions – the Hybrid OR Tour and Webinar
InfoTech Systems Management, Inc. – Multi-Institutional Trials Committee Presession – “From Application to Publication – Developing a Successful AAST Multi-Institutional Trial”
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SCHEDULE
SEVENTY-SIXTH ANNUAL MEETING
OF THE
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
FOR THE SURGERY OF TRAUMA
&
CLINICAL CONGRESS OF ACUTE CARE SURGERY
SEPTEMBER 13–16, 2017
BALTIMORE MARRIOTT WATERFRONT
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

GENERAL AND SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Tuesday, September 12, 2017

7:30 am – 4:30 pm  AAST BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING
Location: Essex

8:00 am – 3:30 pm  R ADAMS COWLEY SHOCK TRAUMA TOURS
Location: Bus departs from SE entrance

2:00 – 6:30 pm  REGISTRATION
Location: Grand Registration, 3rd Floor

Wednesday, September 13, 2017

6:30 am – 5:30 pm  REGISTRATION
Location: Grand Registration, 3rd Floor

OPTIONAL PRESESSIONS (registration required)

7:00 – 11:30 am  ACS-MOC
Location: Dover, 3rd Floor

7:00 – 11:30 am  Military Trauma Sutems: The Day(s) After: Lessons Learned from Trauma Team Management in the Aftermath of an Unexpected Mass Casualty Event
Military Liaison Committee
Location: Grand 1 & 2, 3rd Floor

7:00 – 11:30 am  Pediatric Trauma Symposium: Acute Care Pediatric Surgery: Who, What, Where & When?
Pediatric Trauma Surgery Committee
Location: Essex, 4th Floor
9:00 – 11:00 am  
*John Hopkins Hospital History of Surgery Tour*
Host: Elliott R. Haut, MD, PhD, FACS
Location: Bus departs from SE Entrance

10:00 – 11:30 am  
MITC Session: *From Application to Publication—Developing a Successful AAST Multi-Institutional Study*
Moderator: Jason Sperry, MD, MPH
Location: Kent, 4th Floor

**12:30 – 1:00 pm**  
**WELCOME**
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Presiding: Raul Coimbra, MD

**1:00 – 3:40 pm**  
**SESSION I: PLENARY PAPERS 1–8**
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Raul Coimbra, MD
Recorder: David Spain, MD

1:00 pm  Paper #1
BETA BLOCKERS IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY: RESULTS FROM A MULTI-CENTER, PROSPECTIVE, OBSERVATIONAL AAST STUDY
Presenter: Eric Ley, MD
Discussant: Saman Arbabi, MD, MPH

1:20 pm  Paper #2
MESENCHYMAL STEM CELL DERIVED MICROVESICLES ATTENUATE VASCULAR PERMEABILITY AND LUNG INJURY INDUCED BY HEMORRHAGIC SHOCK AND TRAUMA
Presenter: Shibani Pati, MD, PhD
Discussant: David Livingston, MD

1:40 pm  Paper #3
A MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE: EVALUATION OF THE OPTIMAL TIMING OF SURGICAL STABILIZATION OF RIB FRACTURES
Presenter: Fredric Pieracci, MD, MPH
Discussant: Martin Zielinski, MD
2:00 pm  Paper #4  USING HUMAN TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY PLASMA TO EXPLORE THE MECHANISMS OF BLOOD-BRAIN BARRIER DAMAGE AND MICROVASCULAR HYPERPERMEABILITY
Presenter: Bobby Robinson, MD
Discussant: Hasan Alam, MD

2:20 pm  Paper #5  CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT OF HIGH-GRADE RENAL TRAUMA: RESULTS FROM THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE SURGERY OF TRAUMA (AAST) GENITOURINARY TRAUMA STUDY
Presenter: Sorena Keihani, MD
Discussant: Michael Coburn, MD

2:40 pm  Paper #6  AVOIDING CRIBARI GRIDLOCK: THE SECONDARY TRIAGE ASSESSMENT TOOL (STAT) PROVIDES STANDARDIZED DEFINITIONS OF OVER- AND UNDER-TRIAGE THAT ARE MORE ACCURATE THAN THE CRIBARI MATRIX METHOD
Presenter: Jacob Roden-Foreman, BA
Discussant: Chris Cribari, MD

3:00 pm  Paper #7  HOSPITAL VARIATIONS IN MORTALITY AFTER EMERGENT BOWEL RESECTIONS: THE ROLE OF FAILURE-TO-RESUE
Presenter: Ambar Mehta, BS
Discussant: Andrew Peitzman, MD

3:20 pm  Paper #8  RESHAPES: INCREASING AAST ANATOMIC SEVERITY GRADE INFLUENCES BOWEL ANASTOMOSIS TYPE
Presenter: Matthew Hernandez, MD
Discussant: Kevin Schuster, MD, MPH

3:40 – 4:10 pm  SESSION II: MASTER SURGEON LECTURE
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Leading Quality and Safety
David Hoyt, MD
### SESSION III: PANEL: HOW TO BUILD A COMPREHENSIVE “STOP THE BLEED” PROGRAM: TIPS AND LESSONS LEARNED

Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor  
Moderator: Andrew Peitzman, MD  
Panelists:  
Lenworth Jacobs, Jr., MD, MPH  
Alexander Eastman, MD, MPH  
Matthew Neal, MD

### SESSION IV: Poster Session and Exhibit Hall Opening

Location: Harborside Ballroom & Foyer, 4th Floor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poster</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Professors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>Abdominal Trauma I</td>
<td>Demetrios Demetriades, MD, PhD and Hayato Kurihara, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>Abdominal Trauma II</td>
<td>Andre Campbell, MD and Elliott Haut, MD, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>Burns/Soft Tissue/Education</td>
<td>David Harrington, MD and Carrie Sims, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>Critical Care I</td>
<td>Ronald Simon, MD and Anuradha Subramanian, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>Critical Care II and EGS</td>
<td>Fred Luchette, MD, MSc and Charles Adams, Jr., MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>EGS &amp; ACS</td>
<td>Walter Biffl, MD and Heena Santry, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–70</td>
<td>Extremity/Vascular Trauma</td>
<td>Michael Sise, MD and Joseph DuBose, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71–80</td>
<td>Geriatric/Neurotrauma</td>
<td>Robert Barraco, MD, MPH and Paula Ferrada, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81–90</td>
<td>Guidelines/Outcomes/Prevention</td>
<td>David Efron, MD and Nicole Stassen, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-100</td>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
<td>R. Todd Maxson, MD and Jeffrey Upperman, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
101–110 Preclinical/Transitional

Timothy Pritts, MD and James Hoth, MD

111–120 Resuscitation/Shock

Todd Rasmussen, MD and Marc de Moya, MD

121–129 Thoracic

Brian Eastridge, MD and Stanley Kurek, Jr., DO

130–139 Trauma Systems

Jason Smith, MD and Jeffrey Claridge, MD, MSc

6:30 – 8:30 pm

JOURNAL OF TRAUMA AND ACUTE CARE SURGERY EDITORIAL MEETING
Location: Grand 1 & 2, 3rd Floor

8:00 – 10:00 p,

JOURNAL OF TRAUMA AND ACUTE CARE SURGERY RECEPTION
Location: Invitation Only
Thursday, September 14, 2017

6:15 – 7:30 am MEDICAL STUDENTS, RESIDENTS & IN-TRAINING FELLOWS BREAKFAST (Ticketed Event)
Location: Grand 1-2, 3rd Floor
Presenter: Michael Rotondo, MD
AAST President-Elect

6:15 – 7:30 am COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Acute Care Surgery Committee Heron, 4th Floor
Coding/Reimbursement Ad Hoc Committee Falkland, 4th Floor
Critical Care Committee Galena, 4th Floor
Disaster Committee Dover, 3rd Floor
International Relations Committee Boardroom, 3rd Floor
Journals Oversight Ad Hoc Committee Grand 3 & 4, 3rd Floor
Prevention Committee Essex, 4th Floor
Publications and Communications Committee James, 4th Floor

7:00 am – 4:00 pm REGISTRATION
Location: Grand Registration, 3rd Floor

7:00 – 9:00 am CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
Location: Harborside Ballroom & Foyer, 4th Floor

7:00 am – 3:00 pm EXHIBITS
Location: Harborside Ballroom & Foyer, 4th Floor

7:30 – 9:10 am SESSION V: PAPERS 9-13 CANIZARO SESSION
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: David Spain, MD
Recorder: Raminder Nirula, MD, PhD

7:30 am Paper #9 THE IMPACT OF ADVANCED AGE ON THE INNATE IMMUNE RESPONSE AND OUTCOMES AFTER SEVERE SEPSIS/SEPTIC SHOCK IN TRAUMA AND SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT PATIENTS
Presenter: Scott Brakenridge, MD
Discussant: Grant O’Keefe, MD, MPH

7:50 am Paper #10 HOSPITAL VOLUME OF EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY IS ASSOCIATED WITH INPATIENT MORTALITY OUTCOMES
Presenter: Darwin Ang, MD, MPH, PhD
Discussant: Adil Haider, MD, MPH
8:10 am  Paper #11  REBOA IS SUPERIOR TO RESUSCITATIVE THORACOTOMY IN SELECT PATIENTS WITH HEMORRHAGIC SHOCK: EARLY RESULTS FROM THE AAST AORTA REGISTRY
Presenter: Megan Brenner, MD, MSc
Discussant: Martin Croce, MD

8:30 am  Paper #12  BANNING OPEN CARRY OF UNLOADED HANDGUNS DECREASES FIREARM-RELATED FATALITIES AND HOSPITAL UTILIZATION
Presenter: Rachael Callcut, MD
Discussant: Adrian Maung, MD

8:50 am  Paper #13  EARLY PHARMACOLOGICAL THROMBOPROPHYLAXIS IN ISOLATED SEVERE PELVIC FRACTURE IS SAFE AND IMPROVES OUTCOMES
Presenter: Elizabeth Benjamin, MD, PhD
Discussant: Michael Cripps, MD

9:10 – 9:40 am  SESSION VI: SCHOLARSHIP PRESENTATIONS
Moderator: Raul Coimbra, MD, PhD
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor

9:40 – 10:00 am  BREAK IN EXHIBIT HALL

10:00 – 11:20 am  SESSION VII: PAPERS 14–17 EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Kimberly Davis, MD, MBA
Recorder: Clay Cothren Burlew, MD

10:00 am  Paper #14  NONELECTIVE READMISSION AFTER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY
Presenter: Rishi Rattan, MD
Discussant: John Agapian, MD

10:20 am  Paper #15  EGS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (EQIP)—A PROPOSAL
Presenter: Shahid Shafi, MD, MBA, MPH
Discussant: John Fildes, MD
10:40 am  Paper #16  INTERRUPTED VERSUS CONTINUOUS FASCIAL CLOSURE IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING EMERGENT LAPAROTOMIES: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL
Presenter: Marc de Moya, MD
Discussant: Brandon Bruns, MD

11:00 am  Paper #17  LOWER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY (EGS) MORTALITY AMONG HOSPITALS WITH HIGH-QUALITY TRAUMA CARE
Presenter: John Scott, MD, MPH
Discussant: Omar Danner, MD

11:30 am – 12:30 pm  SESSION VIII: PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Presiding: Michael Rotondo, MD, AAST President-Elect

AAST 2017: Challenges, Opportunities, Unity, and Global Engagement
Raul Coimbra, MD, PhD, AAST President

12:30 – 1:45 pm  LUNCH SESSIONS I-VI (Locations listed on ticket)

Session I:  Acute Care Surgery and the American Board of Surgery: Next Steps?
Moderator: Mark Malangoni, MD
Presenters: Martin Croce, MD and Gregory J. Jurkovich, MD

Session II:  Trauma Exchange Programs: How to Maximize the Impact
Moderator: Michel Aboutanos, MD
Presenters: Jana MacLeod-Bacote, MD and Maria Jimenez, MD

Session III:  Minimally Invasive Approaches to Pancreatic Debridement
Moderator: Kimberly Davis, MD, MBA
Presenters: Kevin Schuster, MD, MPH (AAST) and Brendan Visser, MD (SAGES)
Session IV: ICU Management of Neurological Injury in Geriatric Patients
Moderator: Robert Barraco, MD, MPH and Karen Brasel, MD, MPH
Presenters: Deborah Stein, MD, MPH and Bellal Joseph, MD

Session V: Advances in Risk Assessment for Emergency General Surgery
Moderator: Marie Crandall, MD, MPH
Presenters: Shahid Shafi, MD, MPH, MBA; Joaquim Havens, MD; and Matthew Hernandez, MD

Session VI: Pediatric Resuscitation: What's the Big Deal?
Moderator: Richard Falcone, MD
Presenters: Matt Borgman, MD; Luke Neff, MD; Michael Nance, MD; and Jeremy Cannon, MD

1:45 – 2:00 pm BREAK IN EXHIBIT HALL

2:00 – 5:00 pm SESSION IXA: PAPERS 18–26 TRAUMA SYSTEMS
Location: Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: John Holcomb, MD
Recorder: Marie Crandall, MD, MPH

2:00 pm Paper #18 THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF FIREARM-RELATED INJURIES IN THE UNITED STATES
Presenter: Jacob Avraham, MD
Discussant: Thomas Weiser, MD

2:20 pm Paper #19 RE-EXAMINATION OF A BATTLEFIELD TRAUMA GOLDEN HOUR POLICY
Presenter: Jeffrey Howard, PhD
Discussant: Donald Jenkins, MD

2:40 pm Paper #20 SPEED ISN'T EVERYTHING: IDENTIFYING PATIENTS WHO MAY BENEFIT FROM HELICOPTER TRANSPORT DESPITE FASTER GROUND TRANSPORT
Presenter: Joshua Brown, PhD
Discussant: Robert Mackersie, MD

*3:00 pm Paper #21 TRAUMA CENTER PROLIFERATION: NEED OR GREED?
Presenter: Joseph Amos, MD
Discussant: Kristan Staudenmayer, MD, M

*Canizaro Paper
3:20 pm  Paper #22  DEVELOPMENT OF A TRAUMA SYSTEM AND OPTIMAL PLACEMENT OF TRAUMA CENTERS USING GEOSPATIAL MAPPING  
Presenter: Michael Horst, MD, PhD  
Discussant: Ronald Stewart, MD

3:40 pm  Paper #23  HIGH-NEED HIGH-COST TRAUMA PATIENTS: A NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF INJURED PATIENTS EXPERIENCING HIGH FINANCIAL BURDEN  
Presenter: Lisa Knowlton, MD, MPH  
Discussant: Michael Rotondo, MD

4:00 pm  Paper #24  VARABILITY OF INJURED PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS AND CHARGES BY TRAUMA CENTER LEVEL AND OWNERSHIP TYPE  
Presenter: David Ciesla, MD  
Discussant: Michael Chang, MD

4:20 pm  Paper #25  IMPACTING ACUTE STRESS REACTIONS WITH A BRIEF INTERVENTION TO PREVENT POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER AT A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER  
Presenter: Stacey Manser, PhD  
Discussant: Gregory Jurkovich, MD

4:40 pm  Paper #26  A STATEWIDE ANALYSIS OF OUTCOMES AFTER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY: DOES SURGEON VOLUME OR HOSPITAL VOLUME MATTER FOR GERIATRIC PATIENTS?  
Presenter: Joseph Sakran, MD  
Discussant: Zara Cooper, MD, MSc

2:00 – 5:00 pm  SESSION IXB: PAPERS 27–35  
CRITICAL CARE / NEUROTRAUMA  
Location: Grand 5, 3rd Floor  
Moderator: Karen Brasel, MD, MPH  
Recorder: Christopher Michetti, MD
2:00 pm  Paper #27  RESULTS OF A MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE PIVOTAL TRIAL OF THE FIRST IN LINE CONTINUOUS LACTATE MONITOR IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS Presenter: Grant Bochicchio, MD, MPH Discussant: James Davis, MD

2:20 pm  Paper #28  REINVENTING THE WHEEL: IMPACT OF PROLONGED ANTIBIOTIC EXPOSURE ON MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA IN TRAUMA PATIENTS Presenter: Richard Lewis, MD Discussant: Lena Napolitano, MD, MPH

2:40 pm  Paper #29  EARLY DIAGNOSIS USING CANONICAL DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS OF INNATE IMMUNE RECEPTOR GENE EXPRESSION PROFILE IN INFECTIOUS OR STERILE SYSTEMIC INFLAMMATION Presenter: Goro Tajima, MD, PhD Discussant: Ronald Maier, MD

3:00 pm  Paper #30  INDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES OF EXTRACORPOREAL LIFE SUPPORT IN TRAUMA PATIENTS Presenter: David Zonies, MD, MPH Discussant: Jennifer Smith, MD

3:20 pm  Paper #31  DIRECT PERITONEAL RESUSCITATION REDUCES INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY AFTER BRAIN DEATH Presenter: Jessica Weaver, MD, PhD Discussant: Eileen Bulger, MD

3:40 pm  Paper #32  VALIDITY AND RESOURCE UTILIZATION WITH THE APPLICATION OF THE BRAIN INJURY GUIDELINES: A MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL STUDY Presenter: Abid Khan, MD Discussant: Deborah Stein, MD, MPH
4:00 pm  Paper #33  THE FOURTH EDITION BRAIN TRAUMA FOUNDATION GUIDELINES OFFER NO INDICATIONS FOR INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE MONITORING: CAN PROPENSITY SCORE-MATCHED AGE ANALYSIS OF THE NATIONAL TRAUMA DATA BANK GUIDE US? Presenter: Anna Liveris, MD Discussant: Bellal Joseph, MD

4:20 pm  Paper #34  ALTERED MONOCYTE AND NK CELL PHENOTYPE CORRELATES WITH POST-TRAUMA INFECTION Presenter: Anupamaa Seshadri, MD Discussant: Philip Efron, MD

4:40 pm  Paper #35  VITAMIN D BINDING PROTEIN (DBP) DEFICIENCY DECREASES RENAL INFLAMMATORY CYTOKINE LEVELS IN A MURINE MODEL OF RHABDOMYOLYSIS Presenter: Randeep Jawa, MD Discussant: Joseph Galante, MD
Friday, September 15, 2017

6:15 – 7:30 am  COMMITTEE MEETINGS
ACS Program Directors Committee Meeting  Grand 3 & 4, 3rd Floor
Education/CME Committee Meeting  Laurel C & D, 4th Floor
Geriatric Trauma Committee Meeting  James, 4th Floor
Injury Assessment and Outcome Committee Meeting  Heron, 4th Floor
Military Liaison Committee Meeting  Essex, 4th Floor
Multi Institutional Trials Committee Meeting  Dover, 3rd Floor
Pediatric Trauma Committee Meeting  Kent, 4th Floor
Research & Education Fund Ad Hoc Committee  Laurel A & B, 4th Floor

6:15 – 7:30 am  INTERNATIONAL ATTENDEE BREAKFAST
(Ticketed Event)
Location: Grand 1 & 2, 3rd Floor
*Treatment Hierarchy of Spinal Fractures and Cord Injuries in the Presence of a Polytrauma*
Presenter: Professor Christoph Josten, ESTES President-Elect

7:00 am – 3:00 pm  REGISTRATION
Location: Grand Registration, 3rd Floor

7:00 – 9:00 am  CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
Location: Harborside Ballroom & Foyer, 4th Floor

7:00 am – 2:00 pm  EXHIBITS
Location: Harborside Ballroom & Foyer, 4th Floor

7:30 – 8:00 am  SESSION X: MASTER SURGEON LECTURE
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
*War and Peace—A Surgeon’s Journey*
Presenter: Ari Leppaniemi, MD, PhD

8:00 – 11:00 am  SESSION XI: PAPERS 36–44
OUTCOMES/GUIDELINES
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Edward Cornwell, III, MD
Recorder: Gregory Victorino, MD

8:00am  Paper #36
INSURANCE STATUS AND TRAUMATIC INJURY: DO TRAUMA PATIENTS EXPERIENCE INSTABILITY IN COVERAGE AFTER INJURY?
Presenter: Charlotte Rajasingh, AB
Discussant: David Hoyt, MD
8:20 am  Paper #37  HOW HAS THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT CHANGED OUTCOMES IN EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY?  
Presenter: Michelle Hamel, MD, PhD  
Discussant: John Harvin, MD

8:40 am  Paper #38  CONTEMPORARY UTILIZATION OF RESUSCITATIVE THORACOTAMY: RESULTS FROM THE AAST AORTIC OCCLUSION FOR RESUSCITATION IN TRAUMA AND ACUTE CARE SURGERY (AORTA) MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE REGISTRY  
Presenter: Joseph DuBose, MD  
Discussant: Mark Seamon, MD

9:00 am  Paper #39  OUTPATIENT ADHERENCE WITH VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM PROPHYLAXIS AFTER ORTHOPAEDIC TRAUMA: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF ASPIRIN VERSUS LOW MOLECULAR WEIGHT HEPARIN  
Presenter: Bryce Haac, MD  
Discussant: M. Margaret Knudson, MD

9:20 am  Paper #40  OPTIMAL TIMING OF INITIATION OF THROMBOPROPHYLAXIS AFTER NON-OPERATIVE SPINAL TRAUMA: A PROPENSITY MATCHED ANALYSIS  
Presenter: Fahad Ahmed, MD  
Discussant: Todd Costantini, MD

9:40 am  Paper #41  COMPLIANCE WITH ACS-COT RECOMMENDED CRITERIA FOR FULL TRAUMA TEAM ACTIVATION AND ASSOCIATION WITH UNDERTRIAGE DEATHS  
Presenter: Matthew Delano, MD, PhD  
Discussant: Robert Winchell, MD
10:00 am Paper #42 REDUCING OPIOID USE IN TRAUMA PATIENTS: A PAIN MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL LEADS TO FEWER OPIOID PRESCRIPTIONS, BETTER PAIN MANAGEMENT, AND GREATER PATIENT SATISFACTION
Presenter: Jessica Gross, MD
Discussant: Oscar Guillamondegui, MD, MPH

10:20 am Paper #43 TRAUMA TRANSITIONAL CARE COORDINATION: A MATURE SYSTEM AT WORK
Presenter: Erin Hall, MD, MPH
Discussant: Karen Brasel, MD, MPH

10:40 am Paper #44 IMPLEMENTATION OF A CT SCAN PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR PEDIATRIC TRAUMA PATIENTS REDUCES UNNECESSARY SCANS WITHOUT IMPACTING OUTCOMES
Presenter: Patrick McGrew, MD
Discussant: Richard Falcone, Jr., MD

11:00 – 11:15 am BREAK IN EXHIBIT HALL

11:15 am – 12:15 pm SESSION XII: FITTS LECTURE
Location: Grand 5-10, 3rd Floor
Patients Are First
Presenter: Ronald Maier, MD

12:15 – 1:30 pm LUNCH SESSIONS VII-XII (Locations listed on ticket)

Session VII: Video Session of Acute Care Surgery Techniques
Moderator: Alexander Eastman, MD, MPH
Presenters: Demetrios Demetriades, MD, PhD; Carlos Brown, MD; and Kenji Inaba MD

Session VIII: New Format for Journal Submissions: How to Make the Reviewers Happy
Presenter: Ernest Moore, MD

Session IX: Surgical Emergencies after Bariatric Surgery
Moderator: Kimberly Davis, MD, MBA
Presenters: Alec Beekley, MD and Robert Lim, MD (SAGES)
Session X: Resuscitation in the Post-PAC Era
Moderator: Alexander Axelrad, MD
Presenters: Erik Barquist, MD; Niels Martin, MD; and Karen Brasel, MD, MPH

Session XI: Abdominal Wall Reconstruction: When To Do a Component Separation (Open or Endoscopic) Versus a Transversalis Abdominis Release
Moderator: Preston Miller, MD
Presenters: John Como, MD and Jose Diaz, MD

Session XII: Fistula Rescue: Acute Care Surgery and Fistulas in 2017
Moderator: David Efron, MD
Presenters: David Efron, MD; Oliver Gunter MD; Stephen Barnes, MD; and Amy Rushing, MD

1:15 – 1:45 pm BREAK IN EXHIBIT HALL

1:30 – 4:50 pm SESSION XIII A: PAPERS 45–54 PRECLINICAL / TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCES
Location: Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Rosemary Kozar, MD, PhD
Recorder: Susan Rowell, MD

1:30 pm Paper #45 GUT EPITHELIAL CELL-DERIVED EXOSOMES TRIGGER POST-TRAUMA IMMUNE DYSFUNCTION
Presenter: Mitsuaki Kojima, MD
Discussant: Rosemary Kozar, MD, PhD

1:50 pm Paper #46 CHANGES IN EXHALED $^{13}$CO$_2$/$^{12}$CO$_2$ BREATH DELTA VALUE AS A NEW EARLY PREDICTOR OF INFECTION IN ICU PATIENTS
Presenter: Ann O'Rourke, MD, MPH
Discussant: Jon Simmons, MD

2:10 pm Paper #47 EARLY CYTOKINE CHANGES PREDICT TRAUMA-INDUCED COAGULOPATHY IN MULTIPLY INJURED PATIENTS
Presenter: Stephanie Savage, MD, MS
Discussant: Yasuhiro Otomo, MD
2:30 pm  Paper #48  MICROFLUIDICS: A HIGH THROUGHPUT SYSTEM FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE ENDOTHELIOPATHY OF TRAUMA AND THE EFFECT OF TIMING OF PLASMA ADMINISTRATION ON AMELIORATING SHOCK-ASSOCIATED ENDOTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION
Presenter: Lawrence Diebel, MD
Discussant: Martin Schreiber, MD

2:50 pm  Paper #49  ATTENUATION OF ENDOTHELIAL PHOSPHATIDYLSERINE EXPOSURE DECREASES ISCHEMIA-REPERFUSION-INDUCED CHANGES IN MICROVASCULAR PERMEABILITY
Presenter: Aaron Strumwasser, MD, MS
Discussant: William Cioffi, MD

3:10 pm  Paper #50  NON-ANTICOAGULANT DESULFATED HEPARIN ACUTELY REDUCES LEUKOCYTE MOBILIZATION AND BRAIN EDEMA AND IMPROVES WATERMAZE LEARNING ABILITY WEEKS AFTER TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY
Presenter: Katsuhiro Nagata, MD
Discussant: Vishal Bansal, MD

3:30 pm  Paper #51  PREVENTING UNNECESSARY PLATELET TRANSFUSIONS IN TRAUMA RESUSCITATION: PAR-1 PATHWAY INHIBITION IS MORE SPECIFIC THAN ADP INHIBITION FOR PREDICTING COAGULOPATHIC HEMORRHAGE IN THE SETTING OF PLATELET DYSFUNCTION
Presenter: Michael Chapman, MD
Discussant: Forest Sheppard, MD
3:50 pm  Paper #52  LATE TXA UTILIZATION IS ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED BLOOD PRODUCT ADMINISTRATION IN PATIENTS PREDICTED TO RECEIVE MASSIVE TRANSFUSION: A SECONDARY ANALYSIS OF THE PRAGMATIC RANDOMIZED OPTIMAL PLATELET AND PLASMA RATIOS (PROPPR) STUDY
Presenter: Aravind Bommiyasamy, MD
Discussant: Jeremy Cannon, MD

4:10 pm  Paper #53  ADMINISTRATION OF TRANEXAMIC ACID IS ASSOCIATED WITH DEVELOPMENT OF FIBRINOLYSIS SHUTDOWN AMONG CRITICALLY INJURED TRAUMA PATIENTS
Presenter: Jonathan Meizoso, MD, MSPH
Discussant: Ernest Moore, MD

4:30 pm  Paper #54  PREDICTING THE NEED FOR MASSIVE TRANSFUSION PROTOCOL ACTIVATION: PROSPECTIVE VALIDATION OF A SMARTPHONE-BASED CLINICAL DECISION MAKING APPLICATION
Presenter: Bryan Morse, MD, MS
Discussant: Ben Zarzaur, Jr., MD

1:30 – 4:50 pm  SESSION XIIIB: PAPERS 55–64 OUTCOMES/GUIDELINES

Location: Grand 5, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Joseph Minei, MD, MBA
Recorder: Amy Goldberg, MD

1:30 pm  Paper #55  TO SHUNT OR NOT TO SHUNT IN COMBINED ORTHOPEDIC AND VASCULAR EXTREMITY TRAUMA
Presenter: Jordan Wlodarczyk, MD, MS
Discussant: David Feliciano, MD

1:50 pm  Paper #56  TIME COURSE AND OUTCOMES ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSIENT VERSUS PERSISTENT FIBRINOLYTIC PHENOTYPES AFTER INJURY: A NESTED, PROSPECTIVE, MULTICENTER COHORT STUDY
Presenter: Derek Roberts, MD, PhD
Discussant: Karim Brohi, MD
2:10 pm Paper #57
THE ROLE OF DIVERSION IN EMERGENT COLECTOMY FOR HEMORRHAGE
Presenter: Jeremy Holzmacher, MD
Discussant: Patrick Bosarge, MD

2:30 pm Paper #58
DON’T MISS AN OPPORTUNITY: ROUTINE HIV AND HCV SCREENING AMONG TRAUMA PATIENTS
Presenter: Gina Simoncini, MD, MPH
Discussant: Tanya Zakrison, MD, MPH

2:50 pm Paper #59
EXAMINING RACIAL DISPARITIES IN LATE WITHDRAWAL OF CARE AMONG SEVERELY INJURED PATIENTS
Presenter: Melissa Hornor, MD
Discussant: Selwyn Rogers, Jr., MD

3:10 pm Paper #60
EFFECT OF DOOR-TO-ANGIOEMBOLIZATION TIME ON MORTALITY IN PELVIC FRACTURE: EVERY HOUR OF DELAY COUNTS
Presenter: Kazuhide Matsushima, MD
Discussant: Bruce Crookes, MD

3:30 pm Paper #61
USE OF OPEN AND ENDOVASCULAR SURGICAL TECHNIQUES TO MANAGE VASCULAR INJURIES IN THE TRAUMA SETTING: A REVIEW OF THE AAST PROOVIT REGISTRY
Presenter: Edwin Faulconer, MBBS
Discussant: J. David Richardson, MD

3:50 pm Paper #62
CIVILIAN PRE-HOSPITAL TOURNIQUET USE IS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPROVED SURVIVAL IN PATIENTS WITH PERIPHERAL VASCULAR INJURIES
Presenter: Pedro Teixeira, MD
Discussant: Jay Doucet, MD, MSc

4:10 pm Paper #63
THE IOs HAVE IT: A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY OF VASCULAR ACCESS SUCCESS RATES IN TRAUMA PATIENTS IN EXTREMIS USING VIDEO REVIEW
Presenter: Kristen Chreiman, MSN
Discussant: Peter Rhee, MD, MPH
4:30 pm   Paper #64   SIX-MONTH FOLLOW-UP OF THE INJURED TRAUMA SURVIVOR SCREEN: CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Presenter: Joshua Hunt, PhD
Discussant: Kamela Scott, MD, PhD

5:00 – 6:15 pm  AAST ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING
AAST Members Only
Location: Grand 1-4, 3rd Floor

7:30 – 8:00 pm  Reception
Location: Grand Foyer West, 3rd Floor

8:00 – 10:00 pm  AAST BANQUET
Location: Grand 5 & 6, 3rd Floor
### Saturday, September 16, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00 – 8:00 am</td>
<td>NEW FELLOWS BREAKFAST (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Dover A-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 – 10:00 am</td>
<td>REGISTRATION</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 – 9:00 am</td>
<td>BREAKFAST</td>
<td>Grand 5, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session XIV: Papers 65–67</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 am – 9:00 am</td>
<td>SESSION XIV: PAPERS 65–67</td>
<td>Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor</td>
<td>Moderator: Hayato Kurihara, MD, Recorder: Patrick Reilly, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 am</td>
<td>Paper #65</td>
<td>Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor</td>
<td>VARIABILITY IN MANAGEMENT OF BLUNT LIVER TRAUMA AND CONTRIBUTION OF LEVEL OF ACS-COT VERIFICATION STATUS ON MORTALITY Presenter: Christopher Tignanelli, MD Discussant: Rajesh Gandhi, MD PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:20 am</td>
<td>Paper #66</td>
<td>Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor</td>
<td>DECREASED MORTALITY, LAPAROTOMY, AND EMBOLIZATION RATES FOR LIVER INJURIES WITH 70 PERCENT NOM OF GRADE 4 &amp; 5 INJURIES Presenter: Iver Gaski, MD Discussant: Mayur Narayan, MD, MPH, MBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:40 am</td>
<td>Paper #67</td>
<td>Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor</td>
<td>CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT OF RECTAL INJURIES AT LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTERS: THE RESULTS OF A AAST MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL STUDY Presenter: Carlos Brown, MD Discussant: Timothy Fabian, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:05 – 10:33 am</td>
<td>SESSION XV: QUICK SHOTS SESSION I 1–13</td>
<td>Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor</td>
<td>Moderator: Rochelle Dicker, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9:05 am  QS #1  SMALL BORE CATHETERS ARE EQUALLY EFFICACIOUS WHEN COMPARED TO LARGER CHEST TUBES REGARDLESS OF INDICATION FOR PLACEMENT IN THE TRAUMA PATIENT
Presenter: Adele Williams, MD
Discussant: Kenji Inaba, MD

9:11 am  QS #2  FIREARM-RELATED INJURIES IN THE UNITED STATES: 6-MONTH READMISSION AND COST BURDEN
Presenter: Sarabeth Spitzer, BA
Discussant: Robert Winfield, MD

9:17 am  QS #3  ROUTINE POSTOPERATIVE HEPATIC ANGIOGRAPHY IS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED MORTALITY IN SEVERE LIVER INJURY
Presenter: Shokei Matsumoto, MD
Discussant: Daniel Holena, MD

9:23 am  QS #4  BALLOONS UP: SHORTER TIME TO ANGIOEMBOLIZATION AND REDUCED MORTALITY IN PATIENTS WITH SHOCK AND PELVIC FRACTURES
Presenter: Kathleen O'Connell, MD
Discussant: Thomas Scalea, MD

9:29 am  QS #5  TIME TO ANGIOEMBOLIZATION FOR PELVIC HEMORRHAGE: REAL WORLD EXPERIENCE AND THE IMPACT ON OUTCOMES
Presenter: James Byrne, MD
Discussant: Brian Williams, MD

9:35 am  QS #6  THE NOVEL TRAUMA WORK FLOW WITH HYBRID EMERGENCY ROOM SHORTENS THE TIME TO START EMERGENCY SURGERY IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY
Presenter: Motohisa Hayashi, MD
Discussant: Christopher Dente, MD

9:41 am  QS #7  POST-DISCHARGE STROKE RISK AFTER BLUNT CEREBROVASCULAR INJURY
Presenter: Cordelie Witt, MD
Discussant: Walter Biffl, MD
9:47 am  QS #8  THE THINK AHEAD SCORE: ADMISSION FRAILTY ASSESSMENT IN HOSPITALIZED ELDERS AT RISK OF DEATH PREDICTS 6-MONTH MORTALITY AFTER A FALL
Presenter: Christine Leeper, MD, MS
Discussant: Erika Rangel, MD

10:03 am  QS #9  VALPROIC TREATMENT CHANGES THE TRANSCRIPTOME OF THE INJURED BRAIN TO ENHANCE NEURONAL PROTECTION
Presenter: Hasan Alam, MD
Discussant: Sandro Rizoli, MD

10:09 am  QS #10  PERIOPERATIVE GLYCEMIC CONTROL AND POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY: WHAT IS THE ROLE OF HBA1C?
Presenter: Fahad Ahmed, MD
Discussant: Panna Codner, MD

10:15 am  QS #11  A DUAL-METHOD APPROACH TO IDENTIFYING INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE WITHIN A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER
Presenter: D'Andrea Joseph, MD
Discussant: Krista Kaups, MD, MSc

10:21 am  QS #12  DOES AN ORGANIZED TRAUMA SYSTEM CAPTURE THE MAJOR TRAUMA VICTIM? A STATEWIDE ANALYSIS
Presenter: Frederick Rogers, MD, MS
Discussant: Peter Fischer, MD, MSc

10:27 am  QS #13  UNPLANNED INTUBATION IN TRAUMA PATIENTS: DOES IT MATTER?
Presenter: Jordan Lilienstein, MD
Discussant: Raeanna Adams, MD

10:33 am – 10:42 am  Break
Grand West Foyer, 3rd Floor

10:42 am – 12:00 pm  SESSION XVI: QUICK SHOTS SESSION II 14–26
Location: Grand 6-10, 3rd Floor
Moderator: Andrew Bernard, MD
10:42 am QS #14  
CONTEMPORARY TOURNIQUET USE IN EXTREMITY VASCULAR TRAUMA: THE AAST PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL VASCULAR INJURY TREATMENT (PROOVIT) REGISTRY  
Presenter: Sarah-Ashley Ferencz, MD  
Discussant: Mark Bowyer, MD

10:48 am QS #15  
TETHERED-LIQUID OMNIPHOBIC SURFACE COATING INHIBITS BLOOD ADHERANCE TO PLASTIC, DELAYS CLOT FORMATION, AND REDUCES CLOT STRENGTH IN EX VIVO HUMAN BLOOD  
Presenter: Teryn Roberts, MS  
Discussant: Mitchell Cohen, MD

10:54 am QS #16  
THE UTILITY OF ADMISSION-FUNCTIONAL VITAL CAPACITY COMPARED TO NUMBER OF RIB FRACTURES IN PREDICTING PATIENT OUTCOMES  
Presenter: Uzer Khan, MD  
Discussant: David Blake, MD

11:00 am QS #17  
CRITICAL LEVEL OF PLASMA FIBRINOGEN IN PATIENTS IN THE EARLY PHASE OF SEVERE BLUNT TRAUMA  
Presenter: Kenta Ishii, MD  
Discussant: Christine Gaarder, MD

11:06 am QS #18  
IS IT IN THE BLOOD? LABORATORY VALUES OF COAGULATION AMONG TRAUMA PATIENTS ON NOAs: RESULTS OF AN AAST-MITC PATIENT STUDY  
Presenter: Leslie Kobayashi, MD  
Discussant: Luke Leenen, MD, PhD

11:12 am QS #19  
THE TRUE PRICE OF PAKOLOLO: MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH FATALITIES AND UNDERCOMPENSATED CARE ASSOCIATED WITH LEGALIZATION OF MARIJUANA  
Presenter: Susan Steinemann, MD  
Discussant: Andrew Kerwin, MD
11:18 am  QS #20  AN ANALYSIS OF INTENSIVE CARE UNIT BOUNCEBACK ON OUTCOMES IN A MATURE TRAUMA SYSTEM  
Presenter: Eric Bradburn, DO, MS  
Discussant: George Velmahos, MD, PhD

11:24 am  QS #21  POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER AFTER INJURY: MECHANISM, BUT NOT INJURY SEVERITY, MATTERS  
Presenter: Juan Herrera-Escobar, MD  
Discussant: Thomas Esposito, MD, MPH

11:30 am  QS #22  TRAUMA RESILIENCE AND RECOVERY PROGRAM (TRRP): AN INTERDISCIPLINARY, TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED APPROACH TO IDENTIFICATION AND REFERRAL FOR TREATMENT FOR TRAUMA PATIENTS AT A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER  
Presenter: Samir Fakhry, MD  
Discussant: Grace Rozycki, MD, MBA

11:36 am  QS #23  MACHINE-LEARNING ALGORITHM PREDICTS SUCCESSFUL FASCIAL CLOSURE AFTER TRAUMA LAPAROTOMY  
Presenter: Rondi Gelbard, MD  
Discussant: Eleanor Winston, MD

11:42 am  QS #24  RETHINKING OUR DEFINITION OF OPERATIVE SUCCESS: PREDICTING EARLY MORTALITY FOLLOWING EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY FOR COLON RESECTION ABDOMINAL TRAUMA  
Presenter: Michael DeWane, MD  
Discussant: David Skarupa, MD

11:48 am  QS #25  CONTEMPORARY TIMING OF TRAUMA MORTALITIES  
Presenter: James Bardes, MD  
Discussant: Joaquim Havens, MD
11:54 am  QS #26  IMPACT OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON COMMUNITY CONSULTATION IN EXCEPTION FROM INFORMED CONSENT CLINICAL TRIALS
Presenter: John Harvin, MD
Discussant: Jamie Coleman, MD

12:00 pm  Meeting Adjourned
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SESSION I: Plenary – Papers #1–#8
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GRAND 5-10, 3rd FLOOR
MODERATOR: Raul Coimbra, M.D., Ph.D.
RECORDER: David A. Spain, M.D.
BETA BLOCKERS IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY: RESULTS FROM A MULTI-CENTER, PROSPECTIVE, OBSERVATIONAL AAST STUDY


Invited Discussant: Saman Arbabi, MD, MPH

Introduction: The class of medications that inhibit endogenous catecholamines interaction with beta adrenergic receptors, called beta blockers, is often administered to patients hospitalized after traumatic brain injury (TBI). Small observational trials demonstrate favorable outcomes related to beta blocker use and recent data demonstrates lower mortality when patients routinely receive early propranolol after TBI. We tested the hypothesis that beta blocker use after TBI is associated with lower mortality, and secondarily compared propranolol to other beta blockers, through a prospective, multi-institutional trial.

Methods: The AAST Clinical Trial Group prospectively entered data for TBI patients older than 18 years who required ICU admission into an online database. Patients who received beta blockers were compared to those who did not and a multivariable regression model identified predictors for mortality.

Results: From January 2015 to January 2017 a total of 1835 patients were enrolled from 15 trauma centers in two countries with 46% receiving beta blockers with an institution range between 19% and 79%. The median number of beta blocker doses was 10 and 56% received the first dose by hospital day 1. Those patients that received beta blockers were older (56 vs. 48 years, p <0.001), had higher head AIS score (3.6 vs. 3.4, p = 0.002), and required longer hospital length of stay (14.8 vs. 12.3 days, p < 0.001). Similarities were noted between cohorts when comparing sex, admission hypotension, Injury Severity Score, Glasgow Coma Scale, and mortality. A multivariable model indicated that beta blocker use was associated with lower mortality (AOR 0.65; p = 0.012), and propranolol predicted lower mortality compared to all other beta blockers (AOR 0.53, p = 0.038).

Conclusion: When adult TBI patients require ICU admission approximately half will receive beta blockers with the first dose starting early during the hospital stay. Beta blocker use predicts lower mortality and propranolol was favored when compared to other beta blockers. A multi-institutional, randomized controlled trial is necessary to conclusively determine if beta blockers provide neuroprotection.
NOTES
MESENCHYMAL STEM DERIVED MICROVESICLES ATTENUATE VASCULAR PERMEABILITY AND LUNG INJURY INDUCED BY HEMORRHAGIC SHOCK AND TRAUMA

Shibani Pati MD,Ph.D., Daniel Potter Ph.D., Stuart Gibb Ph.D., Martin A. Schreiber* MD, University Of California San Francisco

Invited Discussant. David Livingston, MD

Introduction: ARDS is the clinical disorder responsible for acute respiratory failure in approximately 200,000 patients annually in the United States alone. Aside from supportive care with lung-protective ventilation, there is no pharmacologic intervention or therapeutic modality that reduces mortality from ARDS. Mesenchymal Stem Cell (MSC) therapy is a promising therapeutic modality for the treatment of several disorders characterized by acute inflammation and vascular permeability. MSCs have been shown to mitigate vascular permeability in trauma. Mechanistically, a number of MSC derived paracrine factors have been identified (i.e. TIMP-3) that can recapitulate many of the potent biologic effects of MSCs in severe disease models. More recently it has been shown that MSC derived microvesicles (MVs), containing many of these key soluble factors, have therapeutic potential independent of the MSCs. In this study we sought to determine if MSCs derived MVs could recapitulate the beneficial therapeutic effects of MSCs in an established mouse model of HS induced lung injury.

Methods: Human bone marrow MSCs were expanded in standard MSC media. Microvesicles (MVs) were isolated by ultracentrifugation of conditioned media (CM) from MSCs. MVs are characterized and quantitated by flow cytometry. An established 3-hour coagulopathic mouse model of hemorrhagic shock and laparotomy was utilized. Mice were bled to a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 35±5 mmHg which was maintained for 90 minutes. At the completion of the shock period, mice were administered IV-1×10^6 MSCs, 30 mg of MSC MVs or no treatment in 200 ml of normal saline. To measure lung vascular permeability, mice received an IR-tagged dye (10kD) 1 hr. prior to sacrifice and lungs were harvested and lung permeability was evaluated on the LICOR Odyssey Scanner. Lung tissue from all groups was analyzed by 2D gel electrophoresis of differentially expressed phospho-proteins and signaling pathways activated between groups.

Results: Lung vascular permeability to 10 kD proteins was significantly decreased by MSC infusion (p<0.05) compared to untreated HS mice. Infusion of intravenous MSC-MVs also significantly inhibited lung vascular permeability compared to HS mice and were not significantly different from MSC mice (See Figure). Analysis of lung tissue by 2D gel electrophoresis between HS and HS+MSC and HS+MSC-MV groups reveals that the majority of the proteins and pathways activated by treatment relate to cytoskeletal rearrangement signaling pathways that are known to regulate vascular permeability. Confirmation of these pathways by western blot analysis was conducted and confirmed modulation of the Rho-Rac-CDC42 GTPase pathways by MSCs and MSC-MVs in HS lungs(data not shown).

Conclusion: MSC microvesicles may potentially be used as a novel “stem cell free” therapeutic to treat HS and trauma induced lung injury. MVs would have logistical and practical advantages over MSCs.
A MULTICENTER, PROSPECTIVE EVALUATION OF THE OPTIMAL TIMING OF SURGICAL STABILIZATION OF RIB FRACTURES

Fredric M. Pieracci* MD,MPH, Julia Coleman MD, Francis Ali-Osman* MD, Alicia Mangram* MD, Sara Majercik* MBA,MD, Tom White* MD, Elan Jeremitsky MD, Andrew R. Doben* MD, Denver Health Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Martin Zielinski, MD

Introduction: The optimal timing of surgical stabilization of rib fractures (SSRF) remains debated; whereas some authors advocate early repair, others recommend an initial trial of maximal medical management, with rib repair reserved as a “rescue” therapy. The purpose of this study was to 1) identify clinical variables associated with time to surgery and 2), investigate the relationship between time to surgery and outcomes. We hypothesized that shorter time to SSRF improves acute outcomes.

Methods: Following IRB approval, prospectively collected SSRF databases from four high-volume, ACS-verified level I trauma centers were merged and analyzed (2006-2016). The independent variable was days from hospital admission to rib repair, analyzed as both continuous and categorical [divided into early (< 1 day), mid (1-2 days), and late (3-10 days)]. Covariates included patient demographics, associated injuries, and detailed fracture patterns. Outcomes included length of operation, number of ribs repaired, prolonged (> 24 hours) mechanical ventilation, pneumonia, tracheostomy, length of stay, and mortality. Multivariable logistic regression was used to control for significant differences in covariates between groups. Continuous variables were assessed for normality and non-parametric testing was employed as necessary.

Results: A total of 551 patients were analyzed. The median time to SSRF was 1 day (range 0-10); 207 (37.6%) patients were in the early group, 168 (30.5%) in the mid group, and 186 (31.9%) in the late group. There was a significant shift towards earlier SSRF over the study period; 20.4% of patients underwent early repair prior to 2012, whereas 51.5% of patients underwent early repair in 2016 (p<0.01). Time to SSRF was significantly associated with study center (p<0.01), year of surgery (p<0.01), body mass index (p=0.02), injury severity score (p=0.03), and mechanism of injury (p<0.01). Age, gender, comorbidities, fracture number, fracture pattern, degree of pulmonary contusion, and additional injuries were not associated with time to SSRF. Despite repairing the same median number of ribs (4, range 1-13), median length of surgery was 100 minutes longer for the late as compared to the early group (247 vs. 147, respectively, p<0.01). Using multivariable regression to control for the aforementioned significant covariates, each additional hospital day prior to SSRF was independently associated with a 18% increased likelihood of pneumonia (p=0.03), a 22% increased likelihood of prolonged mechanical ventilation (p=0.01), a 15% increased likelihood of tracheostomy (p<0.01), and a 6% increased likelihood of mortality (p=0.07). Time to surgery was not associated with either hospital (p=0.77) or intensive care unit (p=0.63) length of stay.

Conclusion: SSRF within 1 day of admission is associated with certain demographic and physiologic variables. After controlling for confounding factors, early SSRF was accomplished using less operative time, and was associated with favorable pulmonary and overall outcomes. These data suggest that, when indicated, SSRF should occur as early as possible.
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USING HUMAN TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY PLASMA TO EXPLORE THE MECHANISMS OF BLOOD BRAIN BARRIER DAMAGE AND MICROVASCULAR HYPERPERMEABILITY  
Bobby D. Robinson MD, Binu Tharakan Ph.D., Angela Lomas MD, Katie Wiggins-Dohlvik MD, Chinchusha Anasooyya Shaji BS, Justin Regner MD, Stanley J. Kurek* Jr., DO, Claire L. Isbell MD, Scott And White Medical Center  
Invited Discussant: Hasan Alam, MD

Introduction: Blood brain barrier (BBB) breakdown and associated vascular hyperpermeability leads to several adverse consequences of traumatic brain injury (TBI), such as tissue edema and elevation of intracranial pressure. Tight junctions (TJs) play an integral role in maintaining BBB integrity; their disruption in TBI suggests that components of the TJ complex or its regulatory factors hold significant promise for the diagnosis and possible treatment of TBI. We sought to measure TJ proteins in human plasma following TBI for use as a biomarker of BBB damage.

Methods: We conducted a prospective pilot study, enrolling 20 subjects with TBI admitted to an ACS verified, state-designated level 1 trauma center. We included patients admitted after trauma mechanism with radiographic evidence of TBI. We excluded conditions known to adversely influence the BBB (burn, intoxication, etc.). Control subjects were individuals presenting to the same center for outpatient care with non-trauma diagnoses. The subjects were matched based on age (± 3 years) and gender. Blood was collected from all subjects within 24-48 hours after patient presentation. In vitro studies were conducted using human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) grown on Transwell inserts as monolayers. Control group was exposed to normal human plasma for 2 hours at 1:2 and 1:3 dilutions, which decreases the chance of confounding factors from other proteins naturally circulating in plasma that could increase permeability. TBI experimental group was exposed to human TBI plasma for 2 hours at 1:2 and 1:3 dilutions. We utilized plasma from each specimen to conduct in vitro analysis of TJ breakdown using monolayer permeability studies, measuring a fluorescent dye as it crossed the monolayer of cells. ELISAs were also performed on each TBI and control sample for the following antigens: TJPs and adherens molecules (Claudin-5, Occludin, β-catenin), inflammatory markers (IL-1b, MMP-9, NLRP3), and a known TBI biomarker in S100β.

Results: TBI subjects were mostly female (55%), median age was 63.5 IQR of (49.5, 76) and there was an overall 15% mortality. Most were isolated head injuries (85%). Median ISS was 22.5 with IQR of (14.25, 34.5). Monolayer permeability showed increased hyperpermeability in TBI groups (Figure 1) ELISAs showed that S100β and Occludin were significantly elevated in the TBI plasma (Figure 2). There was an increase in MMP-9 and NLRP3 in TBI subjects but did not reach statistical significance. We found no correlation in S100β or Occludin with ISS.

Conclusion: This pilot study demonstrates plasma alone from TBI patients increases microvascular hyperpermeability (BBB breakdown) in vitro. Measurement of these TJ proteins in human plasma provides a framework for elucidating the mechanism of BBB breakdown as it contributes to TBI and a potential biomarker.
CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT OF HIGH-GRADE RENAL TRAUMA: RESULTS FROM THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE SURGERY OF TRAUMA (AAST) GENITOURINARY TRAUMA STUDY

Sorena Keihani MD, Christopher M. Dodgion* MD, Patrick M. Reilly* MD, Kaushik Mukherjee* MD, Ian Schwartz MD, Sarah Majercik MD, Bradley A. Erickson MD, Benjamin N. Breyer MD, Matthew M. Carrick* MD, Brandi Miller MD, Jurek F. Kocik* MD, Scott Zakałużny* MD, Reza Askari* MD, Raminder Nirula* MD, MPH, Jeremy B. Myers MD, University of Utah

Invited Discussant: Michael Coburn, MD

Introduction: The rarity of renal trauma limits development of evidence-based guidelines. Although most renal trauma can be managed conservatively, unstable patients with high-grade injuries may need operative intervention, which is mostly nephrectomy. Our aim was to describe contemporary management of high-grade renal trauma in the United States. We hypothesized that certain clinical factors would be associated with need for nephrectomy after high-grade renal trauma.

Methods: From 2014 to 2017, data on high-grade renal trauma (AAST grades III-V) were collected from 14 participating trauma centers. Data were gathered on demographics, injury characteristics, management, and outcomes. Definitions used in our analysis included (1) shock - systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg; (2) massive transfusion - needing >10 packed red blood cells; (3) conservative management - no renal-related interventions (nephrectomy, renorrhaphy, angioembolization, ureteral stenting). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the cohort. Univariate logistic mixed effect models with clustering by facility were used to look at associations between proposed risk factors and nephrectomy.

Results: A total of 336 adult high-grade renal injuries were recorded. Mean age was 34.1 years (SD: 16.3). 267 (79%) were male. Mechanism of injury was blunt in 239(71%), with motor vehicle accidents the leading etiology. Injuries were graded as III, IV, V in 190 (57%), 100 (30%), and 46 (14%) of the patients. 38 (11%) patients presented in shock. Laparotomy was performed in 133 (40%) patients; 104 were immediate. Overall, 235 (70%) patients were managed conservatively and 101 (30%) patients required 129 renal-related interventions. Nephrectomy was performed in 45 (13%). Penetrating injuries had higher AAST grades, concomitant injuries, blood transfusions, nephrectomies, and renal interventions. In univariate analyses, renal AAST grade, Injury Severity Score, presence of associated injuries, and penetrating injury were significantly associated with the need for nephrectomy. Also, clinical factors at admission such as higher heart rate, shock, higher lactate level, and massive transfusion were associated with higher odds of nephrectomy.

Conclusion: Conservative management is utilized in 70% of high-grade renal injuries. However, there is still a high rate of nephrectomy, mostly during initial management and more commonly with penetrating trauma. Clinical factors like presence of shock, higher heart rate and higher lactate levels were associated with need for nephrectomy for high grade renal injury.
AVOIDING CRIBARI GRIDLOCK: THE SECONDARY TRIAGE ASSESSMENT TOOL (STAT) PROVIDES STANDARDIZED DEFINITIONS OF OVER- AND UNDERTRIAGE THAT ARE MORE ACCURATE THAN THE CRIBARI MATRIX METHOD

Jacob W. Roden-Foreman BA, Nakia R. Rapier RN, Luanna Yelverton RN, Michael L. Foreman* MD, Baylor University Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Chris Cribari, MD

Introduction: The Cribari matrix method (CMM) for calculating over/undertriage is the standard to determine if patients received the proper trauma activation level, but it requires case reviews to correct for the fact that Injury Severity Scores do not account for comorbidities. This study assessed if the Secondary Triage Assessment Tool (STAT)—a combination of the CMM and the Need For Trauma Intervention (NFTI), a novel measure of early resource consumption and mortality based on common registry fields—could more accurately determine over/undertriage in a standardized method.

Methods: The registry of an ACS verified Level I trauma center was queried for all new traumas 1/1/13 - 8/21/16 (n = 9,737). The triage determinations of each metric were tested with binary logistic regressions. Number of risk factors was included to assess if STAT would capture comorbidities. Length of stay (LOS) and number of procedures in the first three days were used as surrogates for overall and early resource consumption, respectively.

Results: When using STAT, overtriages had fewer risk factors, shorter LOSs, fewer procedures, and lower mortality than CMM overtriages. STAT undertriages had slightly more risk factors, marginally longer LOSs, more early procedures, and higher odds of mortality than CMM undertriages. Using STAT resulted a 41.7% overtriage reduction (51.1% to 29.8%) and a 61.5% undertriage reduction (9.1% to 3.5%).

Conclusion: Using CMM with secondary case reviews makes valid multi-institutional triage rate comparisons impossible because of the subjective and unstandardized nature of case reviews. STAT provides a standardized measure of over/undertriage with better discriminant ability than the CMM, and STAT can be readily calculated in trauma registries. By accounting for both anatomic injury severity and resource consumption, STAT may allow trauma centers to better allocate resources and predict patient needs.
Introduction: Hospital variation in failure-to-rescue (FTR) rates have partially explained nationwide differences in mortality after elective surgeries. However, few studies have examined FTR and its impact on mortality among patients undergoing emergency general surgery (EGS), which has up to a 50% complication rate. We compared nationwide risk-adjusted mortality, complications, and FTR rates after emergent bowel resections.

Methods: We identified all patients who underwent emergency small or large bowel resections in the 2010-2013 Nationwide Inpatient Sample using the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma criteria. We then calculated risk-adjusted mortality rates for each hospital using multivariable logistic regressions and post-estimation, which adjusted for patient age, gender, race and ethnicity, payer status, and comorbidities. After excluding hospitals with fewer than 20 bowel resections, we ranked the remaining hospitals by their risk-adjusted mortality rates and divided them into five equal groups. We compared both risk-adjusted complication rates and risk-adjusted FTR rates among the top quintile (lowest mortality) and the bottom quintile (highest mortality) of hospitals.

Results: We included 18,407 bowel resections, which represented approximately 90,321 procedures nationwide. Overall, patients were white (78.9%), female (53.9%), and at least 65-years-old (48.8%). These procedures had an unadjusted mortality rate of 7.4%, complication rate of 37.2%, and FTR rate of 16.8%. The bottom quintile of hospitals (Figure) had an overall risk-adjusted mortality rate that was 10.3 times higher than that of the top quintile of hospitals (14.9% vs 1.5%). While risk-adjusted complication rates were similarly high among both the bottom and the top quintiles of hospitals (41.6% vs 33.3%), the risk-adjusted FTR rates were 9.8 times higher in the bottom quintile of hospitals relative to the top quintile of hospitals (33.1% vs 3.4%).

Conclusion: In this nationwide study, we observed widespread hospital variation in risk-adjusted mortality rates after emergent small and large bowel resections. As complication rates were similar across hospitals, the significantly higher FTR rates at higher-mortality hospitals may drive this variation in mortality. System-level initiatives should address postoperative complications to improve and reduce variation in outcomes.
RESHAPES: INCREASING AAST ANATOMIC SEVERITY GRADE INFLUENCES BOWEL ANASTOMOSIS TYPE

Matthew C. Hernandez MD, Brandon R. Bruns* MD, Margaret Lauerman* MD, Nadeem N. Haddad MD, Johnathon M. Aho MD, Martin D. Zielinski* MD, David S. Morris* MD, Herb Phelan* MD, Jose J. Diaz* MD, Thomas M. Scalea* Toby Enniss* MD, David Turay* MD,Ph.D., Matthew M. Carrick* MD, John Fam* MD, John S. Oh* MD, University of Maryland Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Kevin Schuster, MD, MPH

Introduction: Emergency general surgery (EGS) diseases display diverse anatomic severity and the AAST recently developed an anatomic based severity grading system for EGS diseases. Threatened, perforated, or infarcted bowel is conventionally managed with resection and anastomosis (hand sewn (HS) or stapled (ST)). The Stapled versus Handsewn: A Prospective Emergency Surgery Study (SHAPES) analysis demonstrated equivalence between HS and ST techniques, yet surgeons appeared to prefer HS anastomoses for the critically ill. We hypothesized that with increasing AAST grade, surgeons would favor the HS technique and that anastomotic complications would be increased.

Methods: A post hoc analysis of the SHAPES database was performed. Operative reports were submitted by volunteering SHAPES centers. Only patients with EGS diagnoses were included; trauma patients were excluded. Two reviewers assigned AAST grade based on operative report findings. Discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer. Final AAST grade was compared with various outcomes including: duration of stay, physiologic variables (heart rate, blood pressure, and temperature), laboratory data (leukocytosis, hemoglobin, creatinine, lactate), operative management, anastomosis type, temporary abdominal closure, complication, anastomosis failure (dehiscence, abscess, or fistula), and mortality. Summary, univariate, and multivariable analyses were performed.

Results: 391 patients were reviewed, with a mean age of (±SD) 61.2±16.8 years, 47% female. Disease severity distribution was as follows: Grade I (n=0,0%), Grade II (n=106, 27%), Grade III (n=113, 29%), Grade IV (n=123, 31%), and Grade V (n=49, 13%). Increasing AAST grade was associated with acidosis and hypothermia (Table). There was an association between higher AAST severity grade and likelihood of HS anastomosis (Graph). There was no difference in surgical site infection (superficial, deep, organ space) by AAST grade (p>0.05). Incremental increases in hospital and ICU durations of stay, as well as mortality, were associated with increasing AAST grade (Table). Independent predictors of mortality included (Odds Ratio, 95% CI): any anastomosis complication (4.9, 95% CI 1.96-11.8, p=0.001) and AAST grade IV (3.2, 95% CI 1.1-10, p=0.03), grade V (6.8, 95% CI 1.8-32.3, p=0.003) but not the type of anastomosis performed. There was substantial agreement between reviewers, kappa (95% CI) 0.75 (0.70-0.80, p<0.0001).

Conclusion: Higher AAST grades are associated with key clinical outcomes in EGS diseases requiring bowel resection and anastomosis. In the SHAPES trials, surgeons seemed to favor HS anastomosis for higher AAST grade disease severity. Anastomotic-specific complications were not associated with higher AAST grade; however, mortality was influenced by both the presence of any anastomotic complication and increased AAST grade. This is the first study to utilize standardized anatomic injury grades for patients undergoing urgent/emergent bowel resection in EGS. Future EGS studies should routinely include AAST grading as a method for reliable comparison of injury between groups.
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THE IMPACT OF ADVANCED AGE ON THE INNATE IMMUNE RESPONSE AND OUTCOMES AFTER SEVERE SEPSIS/SEPTIC SHOCK IN TRAUMA AND SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT PATIENTS
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Introduction: Advanced age is a strong risk factor for adverse outcomes across multiple disease processes, including sepsis. However, surgical and trauma patients are unique from other septic patients in that they incur two or more early inflammatory insults. The effects of advanced age on sepsis pathophysiology, the patient's innate immune response, and clinical outcomes in this population remain unclear.

Methods: We performed a single center, prospective observational cohort study of patients in the Trauma and Surgical Intensive Care Units at an academic Level 1 Trauma Center with severe sepsis/septic shock. Patients were screened, diagnosed and managed with established sepsis protocols supplemented by computerized clinical decision support. All sepsis diagnoses were clinically adjudicated in prospective fashion. Peripheral blood was collected for cytokine and biomarker analysis at 0.5, 1, 4, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days after sepsis protocol initiation. For analysis, cohorts were defined as young (<65 years) and aged (≥65 years). Age-defined cohorts were compared to determine differences in patient characteristics, clinical outcomes and biomarker profiles.

Results: The cohort consisted of 116 patients with severe sepsis (n=56, 48.3%) or septic shock (n=60, 51.7%), with a mean age of 61.5(±14.4) years. Seventy patients (60.3%) presented with sepsis on admission, while the remainder (n=46; 39.7%) subsequently developed ‘delayed sepsis’ (>2 days after ICU admission). Intra-abdominal sepsis was the leading source (n=50; 43.1%), followed by pneumonia (n=21; 18.1%) and NSTI (n=20, 18.1%). The majority of septic patients (n=70, 60.3%) necessitated a source control procedure. Aged patients had a higher comorbidity burden (Mean Charlson comorbidity index score 5.4 vs 3.3, p<0.001), but were otherwise similar to the young cohort. While exhibiting similar inflammatory (IL-6, IL-8, IL-10) cytokine trajectories, the aged cohort had a higher rate of vasopressor-dependent shock (62% vs 42%, p=0.042), more severe organ dysfunction (Max. SOFA 10 vs. 8, p=0.02), and higher incidence of acute kidney injury (75% vs 57%, p=0.038), chronic critical illness (CCI, 64 vs 46%, p=0.007) and hospital mortality (28 vs 4%, p<0.001). Aged septic patients demonstrate biomarker trajectories suggestive of persistent immunosuppression (Absolute Lymphocyte Count, Neutrophil:Lyphocyte ratio, sPDL-1) and catabolism (IGFBP3).

Conclusion: While mounting a similar initial inflammatory response, aged surgical and trauma patients with sepsis have more profound shock, greater organ dysfunction, and higher mortality. Biomarker profiles suggest a phenotype of persistent immunosuppression and catabolism. Advanced age may necessitate novel approaches to immunotherapy and organ support in order to promote organ recovery and improve survival for critically ill sepsis patients.
HOSPITAL VOLUME OF EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY IS ASSOCIATED WITH INPATIENT MORTALITY OUTCOMES

Darwin Ang* MD,MPH,Ph.D., Jason Clark MD, Jason Farrah MD, Alejandro Garcia MD, Joshua Hagan MD, Winston Richards MD, Huazhi Liu MS, Michele Ziglar RN, MSN, James Hurst* MD, University of South Florida College of Medicine

Invited Discussant: Adil Haider, MD, MPH

Introduction: Emergency general surgery (EGS) accounts for up to 50% of inpatient hospital mortality. Recently, it was identified that 7 EGS procedures accounted for 80% of procedures, deaths, costs, and complications of all EGS procedures nationwide. To further refine benchmarks for these key 7 EGS procedures, we examined if there was a threshold for hospital volume associated with mortality.

Methods: This is a population based retrospective cohort study using the Centers of Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) database from 2011 to 2013. For each EGS procedure, hospital volume (x-axis) was plotted against risk-adjusted mortality (Y-axis) defined by observed to expected (O/E) ratios. The expected value was calculated by multivariate regression, adjusted for age, gender, race, injury severity, and comorbidities. Because the distribution of the scatter plot is curvilinear, the cut off for defining high volume centers (x-value) was determined by the slope as it approaches the value of 1 (y-value). At this point, the variance between centers is smaller as hospitals increase in volume. High and low volume hospitals were compared to each other to examine outcomes of each of the key EGS procedures.

Results: A total of 983,384 EGS patients were examined who were treated in 1,925 hospitals. Over the three-year period, high volume procedure thresholds were determined for the following procedures: colectomy (271), cholecystectomy (282), peptic and gastric ulcer (198), small bowel resection (38), appendectomy (55), lysis of adhesions (222), and laparotomy (27). All low volume hospitals had O/E ratios greater than 1. With the exception of appendectomy, all high volume hospitals had O/E ratios less than 1.

Conclusion: Volume thresholds are unique by type of EGS procedure. Higher volume hospitals are associated with better mortality outcomes. This volume and mortality outcome relationship may be helpful in defining benchmarks for emergency general surgery.
REBOA is Superior to Resuscitative Thoracotomy in Select Patients with Hemorrhagic Shock: Early results from the AAST AORTA Registry

Megan Brenner* MD, Alberto Aiolfi MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Joseph DuBose* MD, John Holcomb* MD, Laura Moore* MD, Jeremy Cannon* MD, Mark Seamon* MD, David Skarupa* MD, Joseph Ibrahim MD, Chad Ball MD, Andrew Kirkpatrick* MD, Todd E. Rasmussen* MD, Thomas M. Scalea* MD, University Of Maryland / R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center
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Introduction: Aortic occlusion (AO) is a potentially valuable tool of early resuscitation for patients in or nearing extremis following trauma. While resuscitative thoracotomy (RT) remains an important approach to AO, the emergence of REBOA has introduced another clinical option to achieve this objective – with optimal patient selection remaining a matter of active investigation.

Methods: AAST AORTA registry review identified trauma patients without thoracic penetrating injury undergoing AO at the level of the descending thoracic aorta (RT or Zone 1 REBOA) in the Emergency Department (ED). Survival outcomes relative to the timing of CPR need and admission hemodynamic status were then examined.

Results: Meeting selection criteria were 285 patients who were 81.8% male, injured due to penetrating mechanisms in 41.4%; median age 35.0 [IQR 29], and median ISS of 34.0 (IQR 18). EDT was utilized in 70.9% (202/285), and Zone 1 REBOA in 29.1% (83/285). Overall survival beyond the ED was 49.5% [141/285; RT 44.1% (89/202), REBOA 62.7% (52/83), p = 0.004] and survival to discharge was 5.0% [13/285; RT 2.5% (5/202), REBOA 9.6% (8/83), p = 0.023]. Discharge GCS was 15 in 84.6% (11/13) of survivors. Pre-hospital CPR was required in 60.4% (172/285) of patients [RT 75.0% (129/172; REBOA 25.0% (43/172)] with a survival beyond the ED of 37.2% [64/172; RT 34.1% (33/129), REBOA 46.5% (20/43), p = 0.145], and survival to discharge of 2.9% [5/172; RT 2.3% (3/129), REBOA 4.7% (2/43), p = 0.60]. Those requiring CPR after arrival but prior to AO (20.0%; 57/285) had survival beyond the ED of 66.7% (38/57; RT 100% (9/9), REBOA 88.9% (5/5), p = 0.0008] and survival to discharge of 1.8% [1/57; RTS 2.3% (1/44), REBOA 0% (0/13), p = 1.00]. Patients who did not require any CPR prior to AO (56/285, 19.6%; RT 51.8% (29/56), REBOA 48.2% (27/56)], had a survival beyond the ED of 69.6% (39/56; RT 48.3 (14/29), REBOA 92.6% (25/27), p < 0.001] and survival to discharge of 12.5% (7/56; RT 3.4% (1/29), REBOA 22.2% (6/27), p = 0.048]. If AO patients did not require CPR, but presented with hypotension (SBP < 90 mm HG; 9.1% (26/285); 65.4% EDT; 34.6% REBOA), they achieved survival beyond the ED in 65.4% [17/26, RT 47.1% (8/17), REBOA 100% (9/9), p = 0.009] and survival to discharge of 15.4% [4/26; RT 0% (0/17), REBOA 44.4% (4/9), p = 0.008].

Conclusion: AO use following CPR for patients without penetrating thoracic injuries is associated with dismal survival rates, regardless of AO type utilized. Among patients not requiring CPR, this early experience suggests REBOA use may have survival benefit over resuscitative thoracotomy for these patients.
BANNING OPEN CARRY OF UNLOADED HANDGUNS DECREASES FIREARM-RELATED FATALITIES & HOSPITAL UTILIZATION

Rachael A. Callcut* MD, MSPH, AnaMaria J. Robles MD, Matthew W. Mell MD, MS
University of California, San Francisco

Invited Discussant: Adrian Maung, MD

Introduction: Since 1967, in California (CA) it has been illegal to openly carry a loaded firearm in public except when engaged in hunting or law enforcement. However, beginning Jan 1, 2012, public open carry of unloaded handguns also became illegal. Fatal and non-fatal (NF) firearm injuries were examined before and after adoption of the 2012 ban to quantify the effect of the new law on public health.

Methods: State level data was obtained directly from CA and 9 other U.S. State Inpatient & ED discharge databases, vital statistics depts., and the CDC Web Based Injury Statistics Query & Reporting system. Case numbers of firearm fatalities, NF hospitalizations, NF ED visits, and state level population estimates were extracted. Each incident was classified as unintentional, self-inflicted, or assault. Crude incidence rates (per 100,000) were calculated. Strength of overall gun laws was quantified for each state using the Brady grade (A-F), with all grade categories represented by the 9 comparison states. There were no changes to open carry in these 9 states during the study period. Using a difference-in-difference technique (comparing CA to the 9 other states), the rate trends 3 years pre- and post-ban were compared to determine if the 2012 law decreased fatalities and hospital visits.

Results: The 2012 open carry ban resulted in a significantly lower incident rate of both firearm-related fatalities and NF visits (p<0.001) [Figure]. The effect of the law remained significant when controlling for overall baseline state gun laws (p<0.001). Firearm incident rate drops in CA were significant for male homicide (p=0.023), hospitalization for NF assault (p=0.025 male; p=0.023 female), & ED NF assault visits (p=0.03 male; p=0.08 female). No significant decreases were observed by gender for suicides or unintentional injury. Changing the law saved an estimated 337 lives (3.8% fewer deaths) & 1285 NF visits (6.6% fewer visits) in CA during the post-ban period.

Conclusion: Open carry ban decreases fatalities and healthcare utilization even in a state with baseline strict gun laws. The most significant impact is from decreasing firearm-related fatal and non-fatal assaults.
EARLY PHARMACOLOGICAL THROMBOPROPHYLAXIS IN ISOLATED SEVERE PELVIC FRACTURE IS SAFE AND IMPROVES OUTCOMES

Elizabeth Benjamin* MD,Ph.D., Alberto Aiolfi MD, Gustavo Recinos MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Demetrios Demetriades* MD,Ph.D., LAC+USC Medical Center
Invited Discussant: Michael Cripps, MD

Introduction: The optimal timing of pharmacological thromboprophylaxis (VTEp) in patients with isolated severe pelvic fractures remains unclear. The high risk of venous thromboembolic (VTE) complications after severe pelvic fractures supports early initiation of VTEp however caution regarding the potential hemorrhage associated with these fractures can delay initiation. Pelvic fractures are often associated with additional traumatic injuries that complicate the interpretation of the safety and efficacy of the various VTEp strategies. To minimize this problem this study included only patients with isolated severe pelvic fractures.

Methods: Using the Trauma Quality Improvement Program data, patients with blunt severe pelvic fractures (AIS ≥ 3) who received prophylaxis with either unfractionated heparin (UH) or low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) were collected. Patients with head, chest, spine, and abdominal injuries AIS ≥ 3, or those with angio or operative intervention prior to VTEp were excluded. The study population was stratified according to timing of prophylaxis initiation defined as EARLY (<48hrs) and LATE (>48hrs). Outcomes included in-hospital mortality, ICU and hospital length of stay (LOS), and VTE.

Results: 2,752 patients were included in the study population. Overall, 2,007 patients (72.9%) received early pharmacological prophylaxis, while 745 (27.1%) received late prophylaxis. LMWH was administered in 2,349 (85.4%) and UH in 403 (14.6%) patients. LATE VTEp was associated with a significantly higher incidence of VTE (4.3% vs. 2.2%, p=0.004). Logistic regression identified LATE VTEp as an independent risk factor for VTE (OR 1.93, p=0.009) and mortality (OR 4.03, p=0.006). LMWH was an independent factor protective for both VTE and mortality (OR 0.373, p<0.001, OR 0.266, p=0.009, respectively).

Conclusion: In isolated severe pelvic fractures, early VTEp is independently associated with improved survival and fewer VTE. LMWH may be preferred over UH for this purpose.
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NONELECTIVE READMISSION AFTER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY
Rishi Rattan MD, Joshua Parreco MD, Nicholas Namias* MBA,MD, University of Miami
Invited Discussant: John Agapian, MD

**Introduction**: Readmission within 30 days is an important quality benchmark. Prior studies of readmission after emergency general surgery (EGS) are limited to single institutions or states, inability to exclude elective readmission, or inability to track readmissions at a different hospital. There are no national studies on nonelective readmission after EGS. We hypothesized that different-hospital readmission accounted for a significant number of nonelective 30-day readmissions and that predictive factors would be different for same- and different-hospital readmissions.

**Methods**: The Nationwide Readmissions Database (2013-2014) was queried for all nonelective 30-day admissions with an EGS ICD-9-CM diagnosis code as defined by The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Committee on Severity Assessment and Patient Outcomes. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression identified risk factors for nonelective 30-day readmission to same and different hospitals. Diagnosis-Related Group on readmission was recorded. Cost was also calculated.

**Results**: Of the 4,482,143 patients admitted during the study period, 577,783 (12.9%) patients experienced a nonelective 30-day readmission. Of these, 21.4% were admitted to a different hospital. The most common reason for readmission at the same (22.2%) and different (23.5%) hospital was infection. While the next most common same-hospital readmission diagnoses included complaints related to the index admission diagnosis, the next most common diagnoses in different-hospital readmission were heart failure (4.7%) and renal failure (3.7%). The factors most predictive of readmission were: leaving against medical advice (OR 2.42, 95%CI 2.37-2.47), length of stay > 7 days (OR 1.96, 95%CI 1.94-1.97), Charlson Comorbidity Index ≥ 2 (OR 1.69, 95%CI 1.68-1.70), Medicaid (OR 1.45, 95%CI 1.43-1.46), and Medicare (OR 1.45, 95%CI 1.43-1.46). The factors most predictive of readmission to another hospital were: age ≥ 18 years (18-44 years old, OR 2.95, 95%CI 2.64-3.07; 45-64 years old, OR 2.65, 95%CI 2.45-2.85; ≥ 65 years old, OR 2.15, 95%CI 1.99-2.32), leaving against medical advice (OR 2.19, 95%CI 2.12-2.27), smaller hospital size (medium, OR 1.71, 95%CI 1.68-1.75; small, OR 1.20, 95%CI 1.18-1.22), and Medicaid (OR 1.25, 95%CI 1.22-1.28). Factors protective against readmission were operative intervention (OR 0.72, 95%CI 0.71-0.72) and index admission to a non-metropolitan hospital (OR 0.88, 95%CI 0.87-0.89). Factors protective against readmission to another hospital were: operative intervention (OR 0.70, 95%CI 0.69-0.72), index admission to a metropolitan teaching hospital (OR 0.83, 95%CI 0.82-0.84), discharge with home health care (OR 0.87, 95%CI 0.85-0.88), and index admission to a not-for-profit hospital (OR 0.88, 95%CI 0.87-0.90). The total initial admission cost was $63.1 billion. The total readmission cost was $17.2 billion. The median index admission cost was $8,233 [$4,989-$14,644]. The median readmission cost was $8,500 [$4,981-$15,682]. Median different-hospital readmission cost was significantly higher than median same-hospital readmission cost ($9,231 [$5,221-$17,779] vs $8,324 [$4,921-$15,168]). All statistical analyses had p<0.001.

**Conclusions**: The previously undescribed national burden of nonelective readmission after EGS, especially to different hospitals, is significant. Common reasons for different-hospital readmission include important quality indicators such as infection and organ failure, which are incompletely captured by prior, limited studies. Predictive factors differ between same- and different-hospital readmission and offer areas for further research, intervention, and quality assessment.
EGS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (EQIP) – A PROPOSAL

Shahid Shafi* MBA, MD, MPH, Gerald O. Ogola Ph.D., Marie L. Crandall* MD, MPH, Baylor Scott & White Health

Invited Discussant: John Fildes, MD

Introduction: National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) and Trauma Quality Improvement Program (TQIP) have shown wide variations in risk-adjusted outcomes at participating hospitals. Emergency General Surgery (EGS) is practiced at hundreds of hospitals without such benchmarking. Our study hypothesis was that there are significant variations in risk-adjusted outcomes of EGS patient across these hospitals.

Methods: This is a retrospective analysis of National Inpatient Sample data for 2010 (a nationwide representative sample of inpatients, by the Agency for Healthcare Quality and Research). Patients with EGS diseases were identified using AAST defined ICD-9 codes. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine expected in-hospital mortality rates, adjusted for age, sex, race, ethnicity, insurance type, and comorbidities. Observed-to-expected (O/E) mortality ratios, with 90% confidence intervals, were used to identify hospitals as high performers (O/E ratio significantly lower than 1), low performers (O/E ratio significantly higher than 1), or average performers (O/E ratio overlapping 1).

Results: Nationwide, 2,640,725 patients with EGS diseases were treated at 943 hospitals in 2010. Less than a quarter of the hospitals (139, 15%) were high performers, a quarter were low performers (221, 23%), while the rest performed as well as expected (583, 62%) (see Figure). Mean O/E mortality ratio at high performing hospitals was almost three times lower than that of low performing hospitals (Mean O/E ratio 0.59 vs. 1.73, p < .001). The difference between the observed and expected number of deaths at low performing hospitals suggested that there were 4,823 potentially preventable deaths nationally in 2010.

Conclusion: There are significant variations in risk-adjusted outcomes of EGS patients across hospitals, with several hundred potentially preventable deaths. Based upon the success of NSQIP and TQIP, we recommend establishing EGS Quality Improvement Program (EQIP) at the American College of Surgeons. EQIP will provide risk-adjusted benchmarking of hospitals for EGS patients. It will spur performance improvement efforts for EGS care at participating hospitals, similar to the successes of NSQIP and TQIP.
INTERRUPTED VERSUS CONTINUOUS FASCIAL CLOSURE IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING EMERGENT LAPAROTOMIES: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

Marc A. De Moya* MD, Thomas Peponis MD, Jordan Bohnen MBA,MD, Sandra Muse NP, Eva Fuentes MD, Gwendolyn Van Der Wilden MD,Ph.D., Ali Mejaddam MD, Hasan Alam* MD, Peter Fagenholz MD, Haytham Kaafarani MD, Haytham Kaafarani MD, David King* MD, Yuchiao Chang Ph.D., George Velmahos* MD,Ph.D., Massachusetts General Hospital

Invited Discussant: Brandon Bruns, MD

Introduction: The optimal method of fascial closure, interrupted versus continuous techniques (IFC and CFC respectively) has been a topic of vigorous debate. The two methods have never been compared in the high risk setting of emergency surgery. We hypothesized that IFC leads to a decrease in postoperative incisional hernia development following emergent laparotomies.

Methods: Between August, 2008 and March, 2014, patients undergoing emergent laparotomies were consented and randomly assigned to either IFC or CFC. Patients were followed postoperatively for at least three months and assessed for the development of hernias, dehiscence, or wound infections. We excluded trauma patients and those who had elective surgery, mesh in place, primary ventral hernia, abdominal surgery within the past 4 weeks, or who were not expected to survive for more than 48 hours. Our primary endpoint was the incidence of postoperative incisional hernias.

Results: 136 patients were randomly assigned to IFC (n=67), or CFC (n=69). Baseline characteristics were similar between the two groups. No difference was noted in terms of the length of the abdominal incision, or the peak inspiratory pressure after the closure. The median time needed for closure was significantly longer in the IFC group (22 versus 13 minutes, p<0.001). Thirty-seven IFC (55.2%) and 41 CFC (59.4%) patients completed their follow-up visits. There was no statistically significant difference in the baseline and intraoperative characteristics between those who completed follow-ups and those who were lost to follow up. The median time from the day of surgery to the day of the last follow-up was similar between IFC and CFC (233 [112 - 307] versus 216 [131 - 688] days, p=0.674), as were the rates of incisional hernia development (13.5% versus 22.0%, p=0.251), dehiscence (2.7% versus 2.4%, p=1.0), and surgical site infection (16.2% versus 12.2%, p=0.748).

Conclusion: There was no statistically detectable difference in postoperative hernia development between those undergoing IFC versus CFC after emergent laparotomies.
LOWER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY (EGS) MORTALITY AMONG HOSPITALS WITH HIGH QUALITY TRAUMA CARE


Invited Discussant: Omar Danner, MD

Introduction: Patients undergoing emergency general surgery (EGS) procedures are six times more likely to die than patients undergoing the same procedures electively. This excess mortality is often attributed to patient factors including comorbidities and acute physiologic derangements, leaving few targets for quality improvement. The hospital-level traits contributing to variation in EGS outcomes are not well understood.

Methods: Using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (2008-2011), we calculated hospital-level risk-adjusted mortality rates for hospitals with >400 trauma admissions. We then calculated hospital-level risk-adjusted mortality rates for hospitals with ≥200 urgent/emergent admissions for seven core EGS procedures (Figure). We used bivariate and multivariate techniques to assess for associations between hospital-level risk-adjusted EGS mortality and hospital characteristics, patient-mix traits, EGS volume, and trauma mortality quartile.

Results: Data from 303 hospitals, representing 153,544 unweighted admissions, revealed a mean hospital-level EGS mortality rate of 1.27% (s.d.=0.65%) for seven core EGS procedures, and 5.50% (s.d.=2.62%) when excluding appendectomy and cholecystectomy. There was a moderate positive correlation between hospital-level trauma mortality and EGS mortality (Pearson’s rho=0.399, p<0.001). Adjusting for hospital traits, hospital-level EGS mortality was significantly associated with trauma mortality quartile (p<0.001, Figure). Risk-adjusted EGS mortality was 0.97% at hospitals in the lowest-quartile for risk-adjusted trauma mortality, and 1.49% at hospitals in the highest-quartile of trauma mortality (p<0.001). Sensitivity analyses excluding appendectomy and cholecystectomy found similar significant trends; 4.61% vs 6.73% at lowest vs highest trauma mortality quartile hospitals (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Patients at hospitals with lower risk-adjusted trauma mortality have a nearly 50% lower risk of mortality after admission for emergency general surgery procedures. This suggests that the system and process improvement efforts that strive to improve trauma mortality likely also have a positive impact on EGS mortality. EGS-specific systems- and process-measures are needed to better understand drivers of variation in quality of EGS outcomes.
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THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF FIREARM-RELATED INJURIES IN THE UNITED STATES

Jacob B. Avraham MD, Spiros G. Frangos* MD,MPH, Charles J. DiMaggio MPH,Ph.D.,
New York University Langone Medical Center
Invited Discussant: Thomas Weiser, MD

Introduction: Firearm-related injuries remain an important cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States (US), consuming healthcare resources and fueling political and public health discourse. Most analyses of firearm-related injuries are based on fatality statistics. Treatment and prevention strategies may benefit by a better characterization of the national extent and scope of those firearm-related injuries that survive to hospital care. The objective of this study is to describe the epidemiology of firearm-related injury presenting to the nation’s emergency departments (ED) over a recent 4-year period.

Methods: We conducted a secondary retrospective, repeated cross-sectional study of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Program (HCUP) Nationwide Emergency Department Data Sample (NEDS) from 2009-2012. NEDS is the largest all-payer ED survey in the US, based upon a 20% stratified single-cluster sample of hospital-based EDs across 30 states containing approximately 30 million records. Firearm-related injuries were identified using a variable created by HCUP based on ICD9 E-codes. Results of the analysis are survey-adjusted counts, proportions, means, and rates with associated standard errors (se), and 95% confidence intervals and graphs of age-stratified ED discharge rates for traumatic firearm-related injuries.

Results: There were 71,111 (se=613) ED diagnoses of firearm-related injuries in the US in 2009 (23.2 [se=0.2] per 100,000). This increased 3.9% (se=1.2) to 75,559 (se=610) in 2012 (24.1 [se=0.2] per 100,000). Patients aged 18 to 44 accounted for the largest proportion of overall firearm-related ED diagnoses with 52,187 (se=527) firearm-related ED visits (46.3 [se=0.5] per 100,000) in 2009 and 56,644 (se=528) (49.6 [se=0.6] per 100,000) in 2012—a 7.2% (se=1.6) relative rate increase and an absolute increase of 3.3 (se=0.7) diagnoses per 100,000. Although the absolute numbers were comparatively smaller than adults, the rates of firearm-related injuries increased across all pediatric age groups, most notably among the youngest children (ages 0-4: 17% rate increase, 5-9: 18% increase, 10-14: 6% increase).

Conclusions: Firearm-related injuries treated in US EDs increased during the four-year period studied, driven primarily by an increase in injuries to adults aged 18 to 44, but also with a concerning double-digit relative increase among young children.
NOTES
**RE-EXAMINATION OF A BATTLEFIELD TRAUMA GOLDEN HOUR POLICY**


Invited Discussant: Donald Jenkins, MD

**Introduction:** Most combat casualties die in the prehospital setting. Efforts directed toward alleviating prehospital combat trauma death, known as killed in action (KIA) mortality, have the greatest opportunity for eliminating preventable death. In 2009, Secretary of Defense Robert M. Gates mandated prehospital transport of casualties to a medical treatment facility within 60 minutes.

**Methods:** A retrospective analysis of battlefield data using multivariable tests of competing hypotheses was conducted to evaluate proposed explanations for observed KIA mortality reduction. Observational data obtained on 4,542 battlefield trauma patients during the Afghanistan conflict from September 11, 2001 through March 31, 2014 were analyzed. Inverse probability weighting was used to account for selection bias. Data were analyzed using weighted multivariable logistic regression analysis and bootstrapped simulation analysis to measure and compare alternative hypotheses, including 1) gradual improvement, 2) damage control resuscitation, 3) harm from inadequate resources, 4) change in wound pattern, and 5) reduced transport time, in terms of their relative effects on the outcome of KIA mortality.

**Results:** The effect of gradual improvement measured through a linear time trend was not significant (AOR=0.99; 95% CI 0.94-1.03; p=0.58). For critically injured casualties with military injury severity score ≥ 25, the odds of KIA mortality were 83% lower for casualties who both needed and received early damage control resuscitation through prehospital blood transfusion (AOR=0.17; 95% CI 0.06-0.51; p=0.002); 33% lower for casualties receiving timely damage control resuscitation and surgery initially at a resource limited forward surgical team (AOR=0.67; 95% CI 0.58-0.78; p<0.001); 70%, 74%, and 87% lower for casualties with dominant injuries to head (AOR=0.30; 95% CI 0.23-0.38; p<0.001), abdomen (AOR=0.26, 95% CI 0.19-0.36; p<0.001) and extremities (AOR=0.13; 95% CI 0.09-0.17; p<0.001); 35% lower for casualties categorized with blunt injuries (AOR=0.65; 95% CI 0.46-0.92; p=0.01); and 39% lower for casualties transported within one hour of injury (AOR=0.61; 95% CI 0.51-0.74; p<0.001).

**Conclusion:** Reduction in KIA mortality is associated with early treatment capabilities, blunt mechanism, select body locations of injuries, and rapid transport.
SPEED ISN'T EVERYTHING: IDENTIFYING PATIENTS WHO MAY BENEFIT FROM HELICOPTER TRANSPORT DESPITE FASTER GROUND TRANSPORT

Joshua B. Brown MD, MSc, Mark L. Gestring* MD, Matthew R. Rosengart* MD,MPH, Timothy R. Billiar* MD, Andrew B. Peitzman* MD, Xilin Chen MPH, Jason L. Sperry* MD,MPH, University of Pittsburgh

Invited Discussant: Robert Mackersie, MD

Introduction: Helicopter emergency medical services (HEMS) have shown survival benefits over ground emergency medical services (GEMS). Most conceptualize the benefit of HEMS as bringing the patient to the trauma center quickly, citing the time and speed advantage over GEMS. However, HEMS may offer benefits in selected patients by bringing the trauma center to the patient. Some severely injured patients may benefit from immediate critical interventions such as advanced airway management or transfusion, as well as more experienced providers regardless of time savings and even when GEMS transport may be faster. Our objective was to determine if any existing triage criteria identify patients at the scene of injury that benefit from HEMS even when prehospital time is shorter for GEMS transport.

Methods: Adults undergoing scene ALS transport by HEMS or GEMS between 2000-2013 in the Pennsylvania State Trauma Registry were included. Propensity score matching was used to match HEMS and GEMS patients for likelihood of HEMS transport based on demographics, prehospital physiology, mechanism, and anatomic injuries. Patients were matched within county to approximate similar distances. Iterative nearest neighbor 1:1 matching was performed, keeping only pairs at each iteration where HEMS patients had longer total prehospital time than the matched GEMS patient. Mixed-effects logistic regression then evaluated the effect of transport mode on survival while controlling for demographics, admission physiology, ISS, transfusions, and procedures, with a random-effect to account for matched pairs. Models were then stratified based on the presence/absence of triage criteria from national guidelines that had a significant interaction with transport mode to determine which triage criteria when present identify patients with a significant survival benefit when transported by HEMS despite being slower than GEMS.

Results: From 153,729 eligible patients, 8,307 pairs were matched. After matching, all propensity score variables were balanced with no absolute standardized difference between groups >0.1. HEMS total prehospital time was a median of 13 minutes (IQR 6, 22) longer than GEMS. Overall, regression revealed HEMS transport was associated with a 22% increase in the odds of survival (OR 1.22; 95%CI 1.03-1.45, p=0.02) among matched pairs. A significant interaction with transport mode was seen for respiratory rate <10 or >29 bpm, GCS<14, and hemo/pneumothorax (p<0.05). Patients presenting in the field with one of these criteria had a significant survival advantage when transported by HEMS despite longer prehospital time than GEMS, while there was no association between transport mode and survival in patients without these criteria (TABLE 1).

Conclusion: Patients with abnormal respiratory rate, GCS<14, and hemo/pneumothorax benefit from HEMS transport even when GEMS transport was faster. This suggests these patients benefit primarily from HEMS care, such as airway management, rather than simply faster transport to a trauma center. These criteria may help inform air medical triage protocols, and additional study should further elucidate which patients may benefit from HEMS care even if GEMS transport may be faster.
NOTES
Introduction: Traumatic injury is the leading cause of death and disability among the most productive members of society. In recent years, an increase in the number of trauma centers has been noted, galvanized controversially on either a perception or actual need or financial reimbursements. Few studies to date have evaluated the regional need for additional trauma systems. In this study, we employ the newly developed Needs Based Assessment of Trauma Systems (NBATS) Tool, to assess regional need for trauma centers in the state of Texas.

Methods: The American College of Surgeons (ACS) Committee on Trauma (COT) six question NBATS tool was employed to assess regional need for trauma systems within specific Trauma Service Areas (TSA). The first four questions consisted of population density, median transport time, community support, and number of patients with ISS > 15 discharged from non-Level I, II or III trauma centers. A raw score (3 to 23) was calculated and adjusted (by a score of -3 to 2.5) for the number of existing Level I, II and III centers and the volume of severely injured patients seen at those centers. A final score of ≤5 points meant allocation of 1 trauma center; 6-10: 2 centers; 11-15: 3 centers; and 16-20: 4 centers. Multiple date sources were used to collect this data: US Census for population, Department of State Health Services (DSHS) for MTT, Injury Severity Scoring (ISS) > 15 discharges from non-Levels I/II/III and ISS > 15 patients seen in I/II, Regional Advisory Committee (RAC) for Lead agency and ACS and American Trauma Society for existing level I/II/III centers.

Results: After IRB approval, data from 22 TSAs (A-V) in Texas were attained. The maximum point scored on Population was 10 (3 TSAs) and minimum point was 2 (14 TSAs). The maximum point on median transport time was 1 (17 TSAs) and minimum was 0 (5 TSAs). All TSAs had a RAC and hence scored 5 points on community support. All the TSAs noted between 0-200 (ISS>15) patients and received 0 points each. The maximum point scored on existing trauma centers was 0 (8 TSAs) and minimum was -9 (1 TSA). The maximum point scored on actual vs expected number of ISS>15 patients seen in level I/II centers was 2 points (1 TSA) and minimum was -2 (4 TSAs). The raw unadjusted scores for TSA A-V were 7, 8, 7, 8, 16, 7, 10, 8, 10, 7, 8, 8, 8, 14, 16, 16, 12, 8, 7, 8 and 12 respectively. The final scores for TSA A-V were 6, 4, 5, 7, 5, 6, 10, 7, 6, 5, 7, 6, 6, 6, 8, 17, 10, 10, 7, 6, 6 and 10 respectively. Based on above, the number of trauma centers to be allocated was 1 in 4 TSAs, 2 in 17 TSAs, and 4 in 1 TSA. The existing count of Level I/II/III trauma centers was 1 in 6 TSAs, 2 in 5 TSAs, 3 in 3 TSAs, 4 in 3 TSAs, 5 in 2 TSAs, 7 in 1 TSA, and 17 in 2 TSAs. The TSAs which were deficient in number of centers were A, D, H, M, and N. TSAs C, K, S, T, U had the required number of centers, and TSAs B, E, F, G, I, J, L, O, P, Q, and R had higher than required number of centers.

Conclusion: We observed that while cities like Dallas/Fort Worth and Houston had a surplus, Amarillo, Waco, and Brazos Valley were in need for more trauma centers. Although the NBATS tool does give a head start in the assessment of the actual need for trauma centers, one of its limitations is that there is not adequate documentation or uniformity in demonstration of community support by each RAC. Further research is needed to reassess the need of trauma centers in deficient areas and redistribute the funding to regulate the proliferation of trauma centers in saturated areas.
DEVELOPMENT OF A TRAUMA SYSTEM AND OPTIMAL PLACEMENT OF TRAUMA CENTERS USING GEOSPATIAL MAPPING

Frederick B. Rogers* MD, MS, FACS, Michael A. Horst Ph.D., Brian W. Gross BS, Eric H. Bradburn DO, MS, FACS, Alan D. Cook* MD, FACS Lancaster General Health/Penn Medicine

Invited Discussant: Ronald Stewart, MD

Introduction: The care of patients at individual trauma centers (TCs) has been carefully optimized, but not the care provided by trauma systems. We sought to objectively determine the optimal placement of trauma centers in a single state (PA) using geospatial mapping.

Methods: We used Pennsylvania Trauma Systems Foundation (PTSF) registry data of adult (age≥15) trauma for calendar years 2003-2015 (n=408,432), hospital demographics, road networks and US Census files. We included TCs and zip codes outside of PA to account for edge effects with trauma cases aggregated to the Zip Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) centroid of residence. Model assumptions included no prior trauma centers (clean slate), travel time intervals to TC (45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes), TC capacity based on mean ratios of trauma cases per bed size and candidate hospitals ≥ 200 licensed beds (n=64). We used the Network Analyst Location-Allocation function in ArcGIS Desktop to generate models optimally placing 1 to 27 TCs (27 current PA TCs) and assessed model outcomes.

Results: At a travel time of 60 minutes and 27 sites, the optimally placed model was able to reach 96% of trauma cases and 84% of ZCTA compared to the existing trauma network reaching 91% of trauma cases and 70% of ZCTAs. The optimally placed model was equivalent to the current trauma network with only 20 TCs at a 60 minute travel range. Similar results were observed with the other travel times.

Conclusion: Our algorithm selected a set of hospitals for trauma center designation that differed from the current set; we believe that this new set would provide more timely trauma care. Because it considers the complete system, it is likely that our algorithm, or one similar, can better develop trauma systems than the typical, often politically motivated, approach.

Figure 1. Candidate Hospitals for Clean Slate Modeling in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
HIGH-NEED HIGH-COST TRAUMA PATIENTS: A NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF INJURED PATIENTS EXPERIENCING HIGH FINANCIAL BURDEN
Lisa M. Knowlton* MD,MPH, Charlotte Rajasingh B.A., Lakshika Tennakoon MD, David A. Spain* MD, Kristan L. Staudenmayer* MD, MS Stanford University
Invited Discussant: Michael Rotondo, MD

Introduction: A small proportion of patients account for a majority of healthcare costs. This observation has never been applied to the trauma population. We hypothesized that a small proportion of trauma patients comprised the bulk of national expenses on trauma. We further hypothesized that this heavy financial burden was borne by those least likely to be able to pay.

Methods: We used the 2014 National Readmissions Database (NRD) from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). The NRD is a nationally representative database that includes longitudinal data for inpatient hospitalizations. Patients younger than 18 years were excluded, as were patients with missing cost data. We included all admissions with a primary diagnosis of trauma based upon ICD-9 codes. Patients admitted between April-June 2014 were analyzed over a 6-month follow-up period. “High-need, high cost” (HNHC) was defined as patients with 6-month inpatient costs in the top 5%. Patient demographic, injury and hospital characteristics of HNHC patients were evaluated. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. Weighted data are presented to provide national estimates.

Results: Of the 35.3 million patients represented in the 2014 NRD database, a total of 299,465 (0.8%) trauma patients met all inclusion and exclusion criteria. The 6-month costs for all trauma patients totaled $3.1 billion. Fewer than 1% of trauma patients (N=1,109) met HNHC criteria. The average 6-month costs were more than 10-fold higher for the HNHC group vs. the non-HNHC trauma group ($287,000 vs. $21,000, p<0.001). HNHC trauma patients vs. non HNHC were predominately male (67.6% vs. 32.4%), younger (51.3 vs. 63.6 years), and had a higher injury severity score (ISS>15: 92.1% vs. 51.8%). They were also more likely to have multiple injuries (62% vs. 29%). HNHC trauma patients had a greater mean number of hospitalizations (2.5 vs. 1.4, p<0.001), and higher 6-month readmission rates (34.2% vs. 23.4%, p<0.001). Factors associated with HNHC status in logistic regression modeling were male gender (odds ratio (OR):1.26, p=0.003), injury severity score of 15 or more (OR 2.1, p<0.001), and Medicaid payer status (2.9, p<0.001). HNHC status patients were also less likely to be hospitalized in private hospitals (vs. government, OR: 0.6, p<0.001).

Conclusion: The US HNHC trauma population consists of only 1% of patients, but cost and resource burden is significant. HNHC characteristics suggest vulnerability surrounding demographic factors including Medicaid status. In addition, HNHC patients are more often associated with care at government hospitals. This suggests that the highest cost burden rests with those least likely to pay. Policy efforts should focus on streamlining services within these centers, and dentifying targeted interventions to improve care and reduce costs.
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VARABILITY OF INJURED PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS AND CHARGES BY TRAUMA CENTER LEVEL AND OWNERSHIP TYPE

David J. Ciesla* MD, Etienne E. Pracht Ph.D., Steven R. Smith* MD, Joseph J. Tepas* III, MD, Barbara Langland-Orban Ph.D., University of South Florida

Invited Discussant: Michael Chang, MD

Introduction: The ascension of large healthcare systems coincides with a surge in hospitals seeking trauma center designations, primarily as investor owned Level II centers. In many areas this expansion is disproportionate to changes in population demands suggesting motivations other than public need. The purpose of this study was to compare injured patient charges between trauma centers by level and ownership type.

Methods: Injured patients discharged from the 24 state trauma centers in 2014 were identified using ICD-9 codes in a statewide discharge dataset. An inclusive trauma patient definition was chosen to match that used by the state’s department of health in its trauma registry. Elderly isolated hip fractures resulting from falls were excluded. There were 7 Level I (LI) and 17 Level II (LII) trauma centers. Hospital ownership type was defined as government/public (Gov), not for profit (NFP) or investor owned (Invest).

Results: Of 50,473 patients, 36% were discharged from LI and 64% discharged from LII. LII patients were more often older, female and had fall mechanisms and head injuries, but less often multisystem, high mortality risk injury patterns than LI patients. Patients from different hospital types were more similar to one another within trauma center levels than between levels. Payer mix differed between levels and between types within levels with the highest (19%) and lowest (10%) proportion of self-pay observed at LI(Gov) and LII(NFP). The highest (50%) and lowest (37%) proportion of commercial payers were observed at LII(Gov) and LI(Gov) centers. Mean±SEM trauma alert and total hospital charges are shown in the figure. LI(NFP) alert and total charges were higher (p<0.01) than LI(Gov). LII(NFP) alert and LII(Invest) alert and total charges were higher (p<0.01) than LII(Gov). LII(Invest) treated 52% of LII patients but accounted for 68% of LII charges.

Conclusion: Injured patient charges varied substantially by trauma center level and ownership type. Although higher LI charges may be explained by a higher patient acuity, variability within levels was still observed. The highest charges were observed at LII(Invest) and could not be explained by patient acuity or solely by higher trauma alert charges. This information is important to trauma system planning where value is dependent not only on quality but also on cost.
IMPACTING ACUTE STRESS REACTIONS WITH A BRIEF INTERVENTION TO PREVENT POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER AT A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER

Stacey S. Manser Ph.D., Thomas B. Coopwood* MD, Katherine Houck MSSW, LCSW
University Of Texas At Austin School Of Social Work

Invited Discussant: Gregory "Jerry" Jurkovich, MD

Introduction: Approximately 20-40% of injured trauma survivors experience posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) during the year following injury. The American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma reports that early screening and referral has the potential to improve symptomatic and functional outcomes and that further study of screening and intervention for PTSD would be beneficial. The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of a brief intervention in reducing or preventing development of PTSD over time in injured patients who screened positive for traumatic stress reactions. We hypothesized that a brief intervention provided at initial hospitalization is associated with a reduced rate of PTSD development.

Methods: In this prospective randomized trial, the 4-item Primary Care-PTSD (PC-PTSD) screen was administered to a convenience sample of injured patients admitted to an academic Level 1 trauma center. After informed consent, patients with identified symptoms of traumatic stress reactions were randomized to an Intervention (I) or a Control (C) group. The 1-hour brief intervention focused on symptom education and normalization, coping strategies, and utilizing supports. The control group received a 3-minute educational brochure review. Both groups completed baseline in-hospital interviews, then 45-day and 90-day telephone interviews. Interviews include the PTSD Checklist-Civilian (PCL-C), measures of social support, treatment seeking, and referral to PTSD treatment for patients with a positive PCL-C.

Results: During the study period, 72 participants completed baseline, 45- and 90-day interviews and were included in this analysis. 87.1% of admissions were due to blunt trauma. Multivariate analysis revealed that all patients who screened positive on the PC-PTSD (≥3) demonstrated statistically significant improvement in PCL-C scores over time (p=.003), regardless of the intervention. The intervention group demonstrated more treatment responsiveness, with a 5.54 decrease in 90-day PCL-C scores compared to a 0.85 decrease in the control group. Of those at or above the positive PCL-C cutoff, 25% at the 45-day interview and 43.8% at the 90-day interview had sought PTSD treatment and experienced access barriers. Barriers included no providers in the area, no transportation, no insurance or funds to pay for treatment, and focusing on their ongoing rehabilitation.

Conclusion: Based on initial results, a positive PC-PTSD screen identified patients who later screened positive for PTSD using the PCL-C. The brief intervention provided at initial hospitalization reduced later PTSD development in comparison to the control group. However, due to lack of treatment infrastructure in the community, access to needed PTSD treatment was difficult. It will be important for trauma centers to collaborate with community-based clinics and providers to address the PTSD treatment needs of patients.
A STATEWIDE ANALYSIS OF OUTCOMES AFTER EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY: DOES SURGEON VOLUME OR HOSPITAL VOLUME MATTER FOR GERIATRIC PATIENTS?

Ambar Mehta BS, Bellal Joseph* MD, Joseph K. Canner MHS, Kent Stevens MD, Christian Jones MD, MS, Elliott Haut* MD,Ph.D., David Efron* MD, Joseph V. Sakran MD,MPH, MPA Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Invited Discussant: Zara Cooper, MD, MSc

Introduction: Geriatric patients undergoing emergency general surgery (EGS) procedures face significant morbidity and mortality, often due to their age and comorbidities. We sought to assess outcomes after EGS procedures among geriatric patients and their association with both surgeon volumes and hospital volumes.

Methods: We used Maryland’s Health Services Cost Review Commission data set to identify patients at least 65-years-old who underwent one of 12 EGS procedures, as defined by the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma, that comprise 90% of the state's EGS burden from 2012–2014. We calculated three outcomes: mortality, the incidence of at least one of eight common EGS complications, and 30-day readmission rates. Median volumes divided both surgeons and hospitals into two groups (low-volume [LV] and high-volume [HV]). Multivariable logistic regressions determined the association between both surgeon volume and hospital volume with the three outcomes. The regressions accounted for hospital-level correlations and adjusted for gender, age, race, ethnicity, payer, comorbidities, region, beds, teaching affiliation, and procedure.

Results: We identified 3,382 patients undergoing an EGS procedure by 302 surgeons at 44 hospitals. LV-surgeons operated on one-sixth (16.5%) of patients. The overall mortality rate was 4.7%, complication rate was 27.0%, and 30-day readmission rate was 11.5%. After adjustment, LV-surgeons relative to HV- surgeons (Figure) were associated with higher mortality (aOR 1.65, 95%-CI 1.04-2.61) but not with complications (aOR 1.08, 95%-CI 0.84-1.39) or 30-day readmissions (aOR 1.06, 95%-CI 0.80-1.40). In contrast, LV-hospitals relative to HV-hospitals were not associated with any outcomes: mortality (aOR 0.91, 95%-CI 0.52-1.58), complications (aOR 0.99, 95%-CI 0.74-1.31), or 30-day readmissions (aOR 0.94, 95%-CI 0.71-1.23). Half (52.0%) of the 227 surgeons working at high-volume hospitals were low-volume surgeons.

Conclusion: Geriatric patients undergoing EGS procedures represent a vulnerable patient population. They experience a high mortality rate, one-in-four suffers a postoperative complication, and one-in-nine are readmitted within 30 days. After adjustment, low-volume surgeons relative to high-volume surgeons had 65% higher odds of mortality, and made up more than half of all surgeons at high-volume centers. As hospital volumes were not associated with outcomes, they should not solely be used for designating verified geriatric center.
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RESULTS OF A MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE PIVOTAL TRIAL OF THE FIRST IN LINE CONTINUOUS LACTATE MONITOR IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS

Grant V. Bochicchio* MD,MPH, Stan Nasraway MD, Laura Moore* MD, Eden Nohra MD, Tony Furnary MD, Kelly Bochicchio RN, MS Washington University in St. Louis

Invited Discussant: James Davis, MD

Introduction: Early and aggressive management/clearance of elevated lactate has been clearly demonstrated to improve survival. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign has recently included lactate measurement in their diagnostic and treatment bundles/guidelines. Several studies have demonstrated that a reduction in 2 hour lactate levels guided intervention sooner, with a statistically significant decrease in death and length of stay. It is extremely difficult however, to measure lactate every 2 hours in critically ill patients due to resource constraints and laboratory logistics. Our objective of this pivotal trial was to evaluate the first in human continuous lactate monitor (CLM) in surgical critically ill and trauma patients.

Methods: A multicenter prospective trial was conducted over a 1 year period (2014-2015) at 4 major academic centers. 200 critically ill patients admitted to SICU were enrolled. 3735 lactate measurements were obtained using the CLM and were then compared to the gold standard Yellow Springs Instrument (YSI). The CLM withdrew 0.13 ml of blood every 15 minutes from a central venous line, centrifuged the sample, and used mid-infrared spectroscopy to measure lactate. We plotted a Linear Regression, and analyzed Sensitivity and Specificity at 3 and 4 mmol. CLM and YSI values were “blinded” from clinicians.

Results: There were 2778 CLM to YSI paired measurements between 0-1.9 mmol, within the normal range. There were 957 paired values 2 mmol or greater with a correlation coefficient for linearity of 0.95. Of these, 316 values were 3 mmol or greater with a correlation coefficient of 0.97. Sensitivity and Specificity at 3 mmol (48 patients) was 94% and 96%, improving to 100% and 100% at 4 mmol (24 patients). 37 patients (20%) went from normal to elevated lactate level during the trial demonstrating the ability for early diagnosis of tissue hypoperfusion/sepsis.

Conclusion: This pivotal multicenter trial demonstrates that the first continuous lactate monitor (CLM) is safe and accurate for use in earlier detection of tissue hypoperfusion/sepsis.

Figure 1. Graphic Display of Patient Who Became Septic Over 72 Hour Enrollment Period.
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REINVENTING THE WHEEL: IMPACT OF PROLONGED ANTIBIOTIC EXPOSURE ON MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA IN TRAUMA PATIENTS

Richard H. Lewis Jr., MD, John P. Sharpe MD, Joseph M. Swanson PharmD, Timothy C. Fabian* MD, Martin A. Croce* MD, Louis J. Magnotti* MD, University of Tennessee Health Science Center - Memphis
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Introduction: Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) leads to both increased morbidity (including ventilator days, ICU days and cost) and a two-fold increase in mortality in critically-ill trauma patients. Despite often younger, healthier patients, Gram-negative pneumonias continue to be particularly virulent among the trauma population. More concerning, multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains of both Acinetobacter spp. and Pseudomonas spp. as causative VAP pathogens are becoming increasingly common. Still, the risk factors associated with this increased resistance have yet to be elucidated. The purpose of this study was to examine the changing sensitivity patterns of these pathogens over time and determine which risk factors predict MDR in trauma patients with VAP.

Methods: Patients with either Acinetobacter (AB) or Pseudomonas (PA) VAP (>10^5 CFU/mL in BAL effluent) over 10 years were stratified by pathogen sensitivity (sensitive (SEN) and MDR), age, severity of shock and injury severity. Prophylactic and empiric antibiotic days, risk factors for severe VAP (polymicrobial, multiple episodes of inadequate empiric antibiotic therapy (mIEAT) and nosocomial VAP diagnosed within 7 days of admission) and mortality were compared. Multivariable logistic regression (MLR) was performed to determine which risk factors were independent predictors of MDR.

Results: 679 VAP episodes were identified in 406 patients: 309 (76%) men and 97 (24%) women (mean age 44, mean ISS 29, 89% blunt). There were 293 episodes of AB (159 SEN and 134 MDR) and 386 episodes of PA (286 SEN and 100 MDR). The incidence of MDR VAP did not change over the study (p=0.578). Groups were clinically similar with the exception of 24-hour transfusions (18 vs 12 units, p<0.001) and extremity AIS (1.7 vs 1.4, p=0.04), both of which were significantly increased in the MDR group. In addition, antibiotic exposure (prophylactic antibiotic days 7 vs 2, p<0.001 and empiric antibiotic days 1.9 vs 1.7, p<0.001) as well as mIEAT (57% vs 25%, p<0.001) were significantly increased in the MDR group. MLR identified prophylactic antibiotic days (OR 20.3; 95%CI 18.0-21.4) and mIEAT (OR 7.8; 95%CI 6.9-11.3) as independent predictors of MDR in patients with AB and PA VAP after adjusting for severity of shock, injury severity, severity of VAP and antibiotic exposure.

Conclusion: Prolonged exposure to unnecessary antibiotics remains one of the strongest predictors for the development of antibiotic resistance. In fact, MLR identified prophylactic antibiotic days and mIEAT an independent risk factors for MDR VAP. Thus, limiting prophylactic antibiotic days is the only potentially modifiable risk factor for the development of MDR VAP in trauma patients.
EARLY DIAGNOSIS USING CANONICAL DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS OF INNATE IMMUNE RECEPTOR GENE EXPRESSION PROFILE IN INFECTIOUS OR STERILE SYSTEMIC INFLAMMATION

Goro Tajima MD,Ph.D., Ayako Tokunaga BS, Takahiro Umehara Ph.D., Kazuya Ikematsu MD,Ph.D., Osamu Tasaki* MD,Ph.D., Nagasaki University Hospital Emergency Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Ronald Maier, MD

Introduction: It is difficult to diagnose infection by single biomarker in patients who are under condition of systemic inflammation. We reported that murine sepsis model showed distinctive gene expression patterns of innate immune receptors 24 hours after injury in 75th AAST meeting. We aimed to clarify that time course change of gene expression profile of innate immune receptors in infectious or sterile inflammation, and to establish the early diagnostic method using canonical discriminant analysis of gene expression profile.

Methods: To compare infectious and sterile inflammation, we employed cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) and 20% full thickness burn injury (Burn) model. C57BL/6 mice underwent sham (n=9 x 3 groups), CLP (n=12 x 3 groups), or Burn (n=12 x 3 groups). 6, 12, and 24 hours after injury, mice were sacrificed, and total RNA was extracted from whole blood. Using quantitative real-time PCR, we investigated gene expression of innate immune receptors including TLR2, TLR4, TLR9, NLRP3 and RIG-I. To evaluate all the gene expression together as patterns, each value was standardized, and canonical discriminant analysis was performed at each time point.

Results: Gene expression of TLR2 and TLR4 was significantly increased in both CLP and Burn compared to sham already from 6 hours after injury (p<0.05). Gene expression of TLR9 was significantly decreased in CLP compared to both sham and Burn in 12 and 24 hours after injury (p<0.05), but not in 6 hours. Gene expression of NLRP3 was significantly increased in CLP and Burn compared to sham in 6 and 24 hours after injury (p<0.05) (Fig.1). In the canonical discriminant analysis, each group showed distinctive gene expression patterns already from 6 hours after injury. Each group was clearly classified, and the classification error rates were 3.03% (6 hr), 6.25% (12 hr) and 6.06% (24 hr) respectively (Fig.2).

Conclusion: Canonical discriminant analysis of gene expression profile of innate immune receptors could be convenient and powerful method to distinguish and diagnose infection from sterile systemic inflammation from early stage of the injury.
INDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES OF EXTRACORPOREAL LIFE SUPPORT IN TRAUMA PATIENTS


Invited Discussant: Jennifer Smith, MD

Introduction: The use of extracorporeal life support (ECMO) in the trauma population remains controversial and has been reported in small cohorts with the largest study including 60 patients. High quality evidence to assess realistic survival benefit in this group is required as ECMO remains a resource intensive critical care therapy.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of all adult (>16yo) trauma-related ECMO cases submitted to the ELSO registry (1985-2016) was performed. Baseline characteristics, support indications, therapeutic mode, physiologic characteristics, and survival was assessed.

Results: Among 26,813 adult ECMO patients registered since 1985, 279 trauma patients were identified. One third of cases were performed between 1985-1998 with the first registered case performed in 1993. The majority of cases (70%) were supported over the past 7 years. Patients are predominantly male (78%) with a mean age of 35 ± 15 yrs. The most common ECMO indication was for pulmonary support in ARDS (89%), followed by cardiac support of cardiogenic shock (7%), and E-CPR (4%). The average time on support was 8.8 ± 9.5 days with the longest reported run time of 83 days. ECMO was successfully weaned in 196 patients (70%) with an overall patient survival of 61%. This is favorable when compared to the overall adult registry for pulmonary (57%) and cardiac (40%) survival.

Conclusion: The largest registry to date demonstrates consistently realistic survival among trauma patients placed on ECMO support. With growing experience and an improved safety profile, traumatic injury may not be a contraindication for ECLS, even in cases of cardiac arrest or cases with contraindications for anticoagulation. Extracorporeal life support offers supplemental capacity in the early resuscitation of the trauma patient. Further extended analysis of the registry regarding trauma ECMO management in specific populations may continue to improve patient outcomes.

![Graph showing ELSO Registry Trauma Patients](image_url)
DIRECT PERITONEAL RESUSCITATION REDUCES INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY AFTER BRAIN DEATH

Jessica L. Weaver MD,Ph.D., Paul J. Matheson Ph.D., Amy Matheson BS, Brian G. Harbrecht* MD, Cynthia D. Downard MD, Richard N. Garrison MD, Jason W. Smith* MD,Ph.D., University of Louisville
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Background: Brain death is associated with a profound inflammatory response, but the source of this inflammation is controversial. Intestinal ischemia causes increased inflammatory mediators in other types of shock, but its role in post-brain death inflammation has not been well-studied. Direct peritoneal resuscitation (DPR) improves visceral organ blood flow and has been shown to reduce inflammation after hemorrhagic shock.

Methods: Male Sprague-Dawley rats had a Fogarty catheter inflated in the skull until brain death was achieved. Rats were resuscitated with enough normal saline to maintain a mean arterial pressure of 80 mmHg (targeted intravenous fluid resuscitation, TIVF). Those scheduled for DPR received 30 mL intraperitoneal Delflex solution. Rats were sacrificed at zero, two, four, or six hours after brain death. Protein levels were measured using ELISA. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using anti-ZO-1 antibodies.

Results: Fatty acid binding protein and lipopolysaccharide, markers of intestinal injury, were increased in serum after brain death and decreased with DPR. Staining for ZO-1 on IHC showed decreased tight junction proteins after brain death, which improved with DPR. Inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-6 were increased at two, four and six hours and decreased at all time points with DPR. Inflammatory markers HMGB-1 and HSP70 increased at two and six hours, respectively, and both were decreased with DPR.

Conclusions: We demonstrated that brain death causes intestinal injury and changes in tight junction integrity, suggesting increased permeability. This correlates directly with increased systemic inflammation. DPR prevents intestinal ischemia and reduces circulating inflammatory markers. This suggests that using this novel therapy as an adjunct to the resuscitation of brain dead donors has the potential to reduce inflammation and potentially improve the quality of transplanted organs.
VALIDITY AND RESOURCE UTILIZATION WITH THE APPLICATION OF THE BRAIN INJURY GUIDELINES: A MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL STUDY

Abid D. Khan MD, Anna J. Elseth BS, Jacqueline A. Brosius MD, Thomas J. Schroeppe1* MD, Richard P. Gonzalez* MD, University Of Colorado Health- Memorial Hospital

Invited Discussant: Deborah Stein, MD, MPH

Introduction: The Brain Injury Guidelines provide an algorithm for treating patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) that does not require repeat head CT (RHCT), neurosurgical consult (NSC), or hospital admission for all patients. Patients categorized as BIG 1 receive no RHCT, no NSC, and are observed in the ED for 6 hours without admission. Patients meeting BIG 2 criteria receive no RHCT, no NSC, and are admitted to the hospital. If BIG 3 criteria are met, a RHCT is performed, the patient is hospitalized, and a NSC is obtained. Heretofore, the algorithm has only been validated at a single institution and the actual decrease in resource utilization has not been measured. The objectives of this study are to provide a multi-institutional assessment of the validity of the Brain Injury Guidelines (BIG) and to quantify the potential reduction in resource utilization that may come from the implementation of these guidelines.

Methods: A multi-institutional retrospective review of all TBI patients over a three year period was conducted at ACS-verified Level 1 and 2 centers. Patients with positive initial head CT findings and a GCS of 13-15 on admission were classified as BIG 1, 2, or 3 based on the guidelines. Patients classified as BIG 3 were excluded from further analysis as the care of these patients under the guidelines does not deviate from the current standard practiced at the participating institutions. Data collected included CT findings, number of hospital days, number of ICU days, number of RHCTs, radiographic and clinical worsening, and neurosurgical interventions performed.

Results: 652 patients met criteria during the study period, 89 were classified as BIG 1, 129 as BIG 2, and 434 as BIG 3. 7.9% of BIG 1 patients and 13.2% of BIG 2 patient had worsening findings on RHCT. One of the BIG 2 patients did require a neurosurgical intervention, however the patient’s clinical decompensation would have lead to the application of BIG 3 management guidelines and a RHCT due to a change in neurological exam. BIG 1 and 2 patients had a total of 299 ICU days with an average ICU length of stay (LOS) of 1.37 days. 106 of the 299 (35.5%) ICU days were due to reasons other than TBI. Application of the guidelines would have lead to a dramatic decrease in resource utilization. The BIG 1 and 2 patients would have spent a total of 193 fewer days in the ICU and the average ICU LOS would have dropped from 1.37 days to 0.35 days. 86 of the 89 BIG 1 patients that were admitted would not have required admission for TBI, and 215 NSCs would have been avoided. Additionally, a total of 297 CT scans would not have been obtained, with an average of 1.36 fewer CT scans per patient.

Conclusion: Despite a higher rate of radiographic progression than was observed in the initial study, the application of the BIG algorithm is safe. Overall, the application of BIG criteria would lead a profound decrease in resource utilization by TBI patients due to fewer CT scans, hospital admissions, ICU days, and neurosurgery consults.
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THE FOURTH EDITION BRAIN TRAUMA FOUNDATION GUIDELINES OFFER NO INDICATIONS FOR INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE MONITORING: CAN PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED AGE ANALYSIS OF THE NATIONAL TRAUMA DATA BANK GUIDE US?

Anna Liveris MD, Afshin Parsikia MD,MPH, Melvin E. Stone* Jr., MD, Jacobi Medical Center, Albert Einstein College Of Medicine

Invited Discussant: Bellal Joseph, MD

Introduction: The fourth edition Brain Trauma Foundation Guidelines offer no clear indications for intracranial pressure monitoring (ICPM) due to scarce high grade evidence. Evidence supports ICPM for reducing mortality. Other evidence cites decreased survival in elderly patients suggesting age may serve as a clinical indicator ICPM. To reduce selection bias, we used propensity score matching (PSM) to determine the impact of age on mortality.

Methods: All patients ≥18 years old with isolated traumatic brain injury (TBI), an abbreviated injury scale (AIS) ≥3, and a Glasgow coma scale (GCS) ≤8 between 2008-2014 were included from the National Trauma Data Bank. Exclusion criteria were AIS=6 and death on arrival or within 24 hours. Patients with and without ICPM were compared using Trauma Quality Improvement Program comorbidity and TBI-specific variables, and then were matched via PSM. Logistic regression modeling was used to determine the odds ratio (OR) for death stratified by age.

Results: A total of 23,652 patients were analyzed. Overall, mortality was 29.2% and 15.6% patients underwent ICPM. After PSM, ICPM was associated with death beginning at the age strata, 56-65 (OR 1.5; 95% CI 1.3-1.7; p<0.001), with OR increasing for older ranges thereafter. A survival advantage for ICPM began at the age strata, 36-45 (OR 0.8; 95% CI 0.7-0.9; p=0.001), with subsequent decreasing OR in younger age ranges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Strata (years)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.284 - 0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.402 - 0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.664 - 0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.861 - 1.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-65</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.514</td>
<td>1.334 - 1.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.044</td>
<td>1.760 - 2.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.740</td>
<td>2.299 - 3.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 or greater</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.772</td>
<td>1.854 - 4.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Matched dataset with a caliper of 0.2 times the standard deviation of the logit of the propensity score

Conclusion: Based on a large propensity-matched sample of TBI patients, ICPM is not associated with survival above 55 years. Until level one data is available, this age threshold should be considered in determining indication for ICPM.
ALTERED MONOCYTE AND NK CELL PHENOTYPE CORRELATES WITH POST-TRAUMA INFECTION

Anupamaa Seshadri MD, Gabriel A. Brat MD,MPH, Brian K. Yorkgitis DO, Matthew Giangola MD, Joshua Keegan BS, Jennifer Nguyen BS, Wei Li MD, Yasutaka Nakahori MD, Takeshi Wada MD, Ali Salim* MD, Reza Askari MD, James A. Lederer Ph.D., Brigham and Womens Hospital

Invited Discussant: Philip Efron, MD

Introduction: Trauma induces a complex immune reaction, requiring a systems biology approach to capture multicellular changes. Using mass cytometry by time-of-flight (CyTOF), we evaluated time series changes in circulating immune cell types in a cohort of trauma patients to identify changes that correlate with predisposition to infection.

Methods: Total blood leukocytes were prepared via red blood cell lysis using peripheral blood samples collected at days 1, 3, and 5 after injury from trauma patients aged > 18 with injury severity score > 20 (n=20, mean ISS 37, 60% male), and from age-matched uninjured controls. Cells were stained using a 33-marker broad immune cell phenotyping CyTOF staining panel. Patients were stratified by whether they developed an infection during their hospital course, as defined by both clinical diagnosis and culture-proven infection. Statistics were calculated by one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons.

Results: CyTOF staining results demonstrated changes in many cell subsets. CD86 mean expression intensity (MEI) on monocytes decreased significantly at all time points after injury (Figure A). When the patients were stratified based on development of infection, there was a trend to decreased CD86 expression on monocytes of those patients that developed subsequent infection as compared to those who did not at each time point (Figure B). On NK cells, we identified significantly increased expression of CD39, which was found by stratification to be significantly increased only in those patients that subsequently developed an infection (Figure C).

Conclusion: This study used an unbiased systems biology approach to identify novel changes in circulating immune cell subsets in trauma patients that correlate with development of post-traumatic infection. Decreased expression of CD86, a costimulatory molecule, on monocytes demonstrates that trauma affects the innate system's ability to control T-cell immunity, and the trend to lower expression on monocytes from patients who develop infection suggests that this breakdown in immune communication may lead to worse outcomes for patients. We also found that CD39 expression on NK cells increased significantly in patients with subsequent infection. CD39 is a protein involved in the generation of adenosine, which has immunosuppressive effects on several immune cell types including NK cells. In summary, our results point to pathways that may be central to second-hit infections, and further study to delineate these pathways could be key to generating clinical biomarkers or targeted immune therapies for trauma patients.
VITAMIN D BINDING PROTEIN (DBP DEFICIENCY DECREASES RENAL INFAMMATORY CYTOKINE LEVELS IN A MURINE MODEL OF RHABDOMYOLYSIS

Randeep S. Jawa* MD, Tamineh Tabrizian MD,Ph.D., James Davis MD, James A. Vosswinkel MD, Richard R. Kew Ph.D., Stony Brook University Hospital

Invited Discussant: Joseph Galante, MD

Introduction: Rhabdomyolysis (acute muscle injury) results in a massive release of actin into extracellular fluids where it forms a complex with vitamin D binding protein (DBP). We have previously presented data demonstrating altered levels of select systemic (plasma) cytokines following muscle injury in DBP deficient versus wild-type mice. Herein, we investigate the effects of DBP deficiency on renal cytokine levels.

Methods: With IACUC approval, approximately ten-week old C57BL/6 wild-type (DBP+/+) and DBP deficient (DBP−/−) mice received intramuscular (IM) injections with either 50% glycerol or phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) into the thigh muscles. After 48 hours kidneys were harvested and cytokine levels were measured in pooled tissue lysates (n=8 per group) using a multiplex ELISA.

Results: All animals survived. At 48 hours following IM glycerol injection, DBP−/− mice demonstrated substantially (>50%) reduced renal tissue levels of CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CCL7 (CC chemokines); CXCL1, CXCL10 (CXC chemokines); as well as IL-6 and IL-23 in renal tissue, as compared to wild-type (DBP +/+) mice. Several of these are demonstrated in the figure. As expected, H&E staining did not identify obvious kidney injury in either group of mice.

Conclusion: In the only worldwide DBP knock-out model, we demonstrate that deficiency of DBP, and thus a lack of DBP-actin complexes, in a murine rhabdomyolysis model reduces inflammatory cytokines. As IL-6 levels are correlated with the magnitude of tissue injury, reduced levels would suggest attenuated tissue injury. Reduced CXC cytokine levels would result in less neutrophil recruitment and activation. Reduced CC cytokine levels could indicate a decreased need for monocyte and lymphocyte reparative type functions or may suggest a general inhibition of the leukocyte response. Thus, DBP deficiency may be renal protective. Electron microscopic studies are needed to confirm the reduced renal injury in DBP−/− mice.
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保险状态与创伤性伤害：创伤患者经历保险覆盖不稳定吗？

Charlotte M. Rajasingh AB, Thomas G. Weiser* MD, Lakshika Tennakoon MD, MPhil, David A. Spain* MD, Kristan L. Staudenmayer* MD, MS Stanford University

邀请讨论人：David Hoyt, MD

介绍：创伤性伤害会影响病人，进而可能影响保持保险的能力。这种不稳定性可能使得伤害后患者难以获取后续的康复治疗。还不清楚创伤性伤害事件与个人保险状态之间的时间关联。我们旨在描述在需要在伤害后重新入院的患者中，保险状态改变的模式。我们假设大量病人在伤害后会经历保险覆盖的变化。

方法：我们使用了2013-2014年的全美重新入院数据库（Nationwide Readmission Database，简称NRD），该数据库是一个代表美国所有重新入院的全国性样本。我们纳入了26-64岁的创伤入院患者，且至少一次在6个月内重新入院。被纳入的患者需要排除那些政府支付的保险或医保（Medicaid或其它政府项目）患者和那些没有明确的支付人信息的患者。主要的结局是保险状态改变。报告的数据是加权的。

结果：共有48,057名患者符合纳入标准。在入院时，44%的患者是由政府支付（Medicaid或其它政府项目），42%是通过私人保险支持，14%是自付。共有9,699名患者（20%）在6个月内发生了保险状态的改变。这些患者中，53%从一种保险状态转变为另一种，25%从未保险转变为被保险，22%完全失去了保险（图1）。在所有改变了保险状态的患者中，50%通过Medicaid得到了保险。在9,699名改变了保险状态的患者中，政府吸收了更大比例的病人（从40%增加到64%），而私人保险覆盖比例下降（从36%下降到15%）。自付支付比例相对不变（从24%下降到21%），但在这些患者中，我们观察到了保险覆盖的波动。

结论：这是首次在国家层面评估创伤患者保险状态的改变。每5例患者中，就有1例发生了保险状态的改变，且这种保险状态的波动显著。在受伤后，政府吸收了更多的病人，而私人保险的覆盖比例下降。伤后，政府依赖于Medicaid等政府项目覆盖更多患者。创伤患者特别容易因为保险状态的改变而受到影响，这应该在制定未来立法政策时加以考虑。
HOW HAS THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT CHANGED OUTCOMES IN EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY?

Michelle Hamel MD,Ph.D., Laura N. Godat MD, Raul Coimbra* MD,Ph.D., Jay Doucet* MD, University of California, San Diego

Invited Discussant: John Harvin, MD

Introduction: Lack of insurance coverage increases complications and mortality from surgical procedures. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) has insured more Americans but it is unknown if this improves outcomes from emergency general surgery (EGS) procedures. This study seeks to determine how increasing insurance coverage changes outcomes in EGS.

Methods: This is a retrospective review using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) database from 2012-2014. Patients aged 18-64 undergoing EGS procedures were identified by ICD-9 codes. Patient demographics, hospital characteristics and Charlson Comorbidity Scores (CCS) were obtained. Outcomes were measured by mortality, complications and calculated costs. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to determine the factors associated with worsened outcomes for EGS procedures.

Results: 303,411 patients were included. Medicaid (MCD) admissions increased 16% from 19,900 to 23,172 (p<0.001) and Self-pay (SP) admissions decreased 33% from 16,297 to 10,919 (p<0.001). Mortality significantly increased for SP patients in 2014 (Fig. 1, p<0.001). Complications increased annually in all payer groups (Fig. 2, p<0.001). Wage-index adjusted costs increased annually in all groups (Fig. 3, p<0.001). After adjusting for age and CCS, SP status in 2014 was associated with increased mortality (OR 2.06, 95% CI 1.67-2.53). There was an increased odds of complications with MCD (OR: 1.39, 95% CI 1.32-1.46) but not Private insurance or SP. After adjusting for age and CCS, regression analysis indicated MCD status increased costs (+$974.68, 95% CI: 248.50-1700.58).

Conclusions: The ACA has increased the number of insured Americans admitted for EGS procedures with an associated decrease in mortality for those patients who became insured. The decreased mortality may reflect newly covered patients presenting earlier without fear of financial repercussions of an uninsured EGS admission. It is unclear why ACA implementation is associated with higher costs for insured patients and higher rates of complications for MCD patients. Further study is needed to determine why costs and complications are increasing in EGS.
NOTES
CONTEMPORARY UTILIZATION OF RESUSCITATIVE THORACOTAMY: RESULTS FROM THE AAST AORTIC OCCLUSION FOR RESUSCITATION IN TRAUMA AND ACUTE CARE SURGERY (AORTA MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE REGISTRY)

Joseph J. DuBose* MD, Laura Moore* John B. Holcomb* MD, Megan Brenner* MD, Thomas M. Scalea* MD, David Skarupa* MD, Tim Fabian* MD, Tiffany Bee* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Thomas O'Callaghan* MD, Nathaniel Poulin MD, Todd E. Rasmussen* MD, David Grant Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Mark Seamon, MD

Introduction: Resuscitative thoracotomy (RT) has been a tool of trauma care for over 5 decades. Interval reviews of RT use have been conducted over this time frame, most recently the evidence-based practice management guideline (PMG) of the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST). The present study was designed to examine contemporary RT utilization and outcomes compared to historical data (n = 10,238) from the EAST PMG review from published series 1974 – 2013.

Methods: The AAST AORTA registry was utilized to identify patients undergoing RT from Feb 2013 to Dec 2016. Demographics, injury data, physiologic presentation and outcomes were reviewed. Contemporary data were compared to those of the EAST PMG review.

Results: Three-hundred and ten RT patients from 18 contributing AORTA participating centers were identified. The majority were injured by penetrating mechanisms (197/310, 64%), most commonly from gunshot (163/197, 83%). Signs of life (SOL) (organized electrical activity, pupillary response, spontaneous movement or appreciable pulse/blood pressure) were present on arrival in only 47% (147/310). When compared to the EAST PMG results, there was no difference in either hospital survival (5% vs 8%) or neurologically intact survival between historical controls or AORTA registry patients in any category combination of mechanism / anatomic location / presenting signs of life. [Table] RT continues to be utilized in 14% (45/310) of blunt injuries W/O SOL on admission, without documented survivors.

Conclusion: Comparison of historical RT controls to more contemporary patients from the AORTA registry suggests that outcomes following RT have not changed. Despite a wealth of accumulated data over several decades, RT continues to be performed for patients after blunt mechanisms of injury who present W/O SOL despite lack of demonstrated hope for survival benefit.
OUTPATIENT ADHERENCE WITH VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM PROPHYLAXIS AFTER ORTHOPAEDIC TRAUMA: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF ASPIRIN VS. LOW MOLECULAR WEIGHT HEPARIN


Invited Discussant: M. Margaret Knudson, MD

Introduction: Orthopaedic trauma patients are often treated with outpatient venous thromboembolism (VTE) chemoprophylaxis with Aspirin (ASA) or low molecular weight heparin (LMWH). Poor adherence is known to be associated with increased VTE rates. While patients may prefer oral prophylaxis compared to injections, rates of outpatient adherence to these two regimens are not known. We hypothesized that adherence would be greater with ASA compared to LMWH. A secondary objective was to identify determinants associated with higher adherence.

Methods: We conducted a prospective randomized controlled trial of adult trauma patients presenting to a level-I trauma center with operative extremity fracture or any pelvic/acetabular fracture requiring VTE prophylaxis. Patients were randomized to receive either LMWH 30mg BID or ASA 81mg BID. Patients prescribed outpatient prophylaxis were contacted 10 to 21 days after discharge to assess adherence measured by the validated Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8) which ranges from 0 (lowest) to 8 (highest) and categorizes into low, medium, and high adherence groups.

Results: 150 patients (64 on LMWH, 86 on ASA) on chemoprophylaxis at time of follow-up completed the questionnaire. There were no differences in demographic variables between groups. Adherence was high overall (mean MMAS 7.2, SD 1.5). 98 patients (65%) had high, 32 (21%) had medium and 20 (13%) had low adherence. Adherence for the two regimens was similar (LMWH: 7.4 vs. ASA: 7.0, p=0.13). However, patients on LMWH were more likely to feel hassled by their regimen (23% vs. 9%, p=0.02). High adherence was associated with older age (OR 1.04, CI 1.01-1.06, p<0.01), longer hospital length of stay (OR 1.12, CI 1.00-1.26, p=0.048), having a nurse administer the prophylaxis (OR 4.56, CI 1.65-12.56, p<0.01), and having health insurance (OR 3.9, CI 1.2-12.3, p=0.02). Injury severity and pattern were not significant determinants of adherence. However, there was a trend towards higher adherence scores in patients with pelvis or lower extremity orthopaedic injuries (OR 8.08, CI 0.88-74.31, p=0.06) and in patients who were non-weight bearing or had stand-pivot restrictions (OR 1.83, 0.89-3.75, p=0.10). There was no significant correlation for sex, race, education, distant history of VTE, daily ASA or Plavix use pre-injury, or inpatient refusal of a dose with outpatient adherence (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Outpatient adherence with VTE prophylaxis is high with no significant difference between ASA and LMWH, but there are some differences in adherence within subpopulations. These must be considered when designing larger trials to explore efficacy.
OPTIMAL TIMING OF INITIATION OF THROMBOPROPHYLAXIS AFTER NON-OPERATIVE SPINAL TRAUMA: A PROPENSITY MATCHED ANALYSIS

Muhammad Khan MD, Fahad Shabbir MD, Terence O’Keeffe* MB, ChB, MSPH, FACS, Joseph V. Sakran MD, MPH, Michael Truitt MD, Andrew L. Tang* MD, FACS, Lynn M. Gries MD, Arpana Jain MD, Narong Kulvatunyou* MD, FACS, Bellal A. Joseph* MD, FACS University of Arizona - Tucson
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Introduction: Patients with spinal trauma have the highest risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE). Guidelines recommend initiation of anticoagulation; however, timing of its initiation is not well defined. The aim of our study was to assess the impact of early vs late initiation of venous thromboprophylaxis in patients with spinal trauma managed non-operatively.

Methods: We performed 2-year (2013-14) review of all patients with isolated spine trauma (S-AIS ≥ 3 and other body region AIS < 3) managed non-operatively and received prophylactic anticoagulation in Trauma Quality Improvement Program (TQIP) database. Patients were divided into two groups based on timing of initiation of thromboprophylaxis; early (≤ 48 hours), and late (> 48 hours) and were matched in a 1:1 ratio using propensity score matching for demographics, admission vitals, injury severity, and type of prophylaxis (Heparins vs Low Molecular weight heparin). Outcome was incidence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), red cell transfusions (used as surrogate marker for bleeding events), and mortality after initiation of thromboprophylaxis.

Results: 39,876 patients had isolated spine trauma. 30,291 were managed non-operatively, of which 9080 patients (early: 4540, late: 4540) were matched. Matched groups were similar in age (p=0.50), gender (p=0.40), systolic blood pressure (p=0.75), heart rate (p=0.25), ISS (p=0.70), spine-AIS (p=0.84), and type of prophylaxis (p=0.82). DVT rate was higher in late group without affecting PE or mortality rates. (Table 1.)

Conclusion: Early VTE prophylaxis is associated with decreased rates of DVT in patients with non-operative spinal trauma without increasing the risk of bleeding and mortality. VTE prophylaxis should be initiated within 48-hours post injury to reduce the risk of DVT in this high-risk patient population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early (n=4540)</th>
<th>Late (n=4540)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVT, % (n)</td>
<td>1.7% (78)</td>
<td>7.7% (348)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE, % (n)</td>
<td>0.7% (32)</td>
<td>0.8% (39)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cell transfusions, (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, % (n)</td>
<td>3% (136)</td>
<td>3.8% (172)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMPLIANCE WITH ACS-COT RECOMMENDED CRITERIA FOR FULL TRAUMA TEAM ACTIVATION AND ASSOCIATION WITH UNDERTRIAGE DEATHS

Christopher J. Tignanelli MD, Wayne E. Vander Kolk MD, Judy N. Mikhail Ph.D.,RN, Matthew J. Delano MD,Ph.D., Mark R. Hemmila* MD, University of Michigan

Invited Discussant: Robert Winchell, MD

Introduction: Over-triage of injured patients within a trauma system is associated with improved mortality and optimal resource utilization. The American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma (ACS-COT) has put forward six minimum criteria for full trauma team activation (ACS-6) in the Resources for the Optimal Care of the Injured Patient (6th Edition). We hypothesized that ACS-COT verified trauma center compliance with these criteria is associated with low under-triage rates and improved overall mortality.

Methods: Data from a state-wide collaborative quality initiative was utilized. We used data collected from 2014 through 2016 at 29 ACS verified Level 1 and 2 trauma centers. Inclusion criteria were: adult patients (≥16 years) and ISS ≥5. Patients directly admitted, missing data, or with no signs of life were excluded. Trauma team activation status was divided into four categories: full, partial, trauma consult, and no activation. Data collected reflects the highest activation status and accounts for activation upgrades. Quantitative data existed to analyze four of the ACS-6 criteria (ED SBP ≤ 90 mmHg, respiratory compromise or emergent intubation, central GSW, and GCS < 9). An intervention was defined as receiving one or more of the following: transfusion of blood within 4 hours of arrival, emergent central line insertion, emergent operation, emergent angiography, emergent intubation, emergent chest tube placement, or placement of cerebral monitor. Patients were considered to be under-triaged if they had major trauma (ISS>15) and did not receive a full trauma team activation.

Results: 43,461 patients were included in the study. Compliance with the individual ACS-6 minimum criteria for full trauma team activation varied from 49% to 81%. The presence of any ACS-6 criteria was associated with a high intervention rate and significant risk of mortality (OR 16.6, 95% CI 15.0-18.4). Of the 853 deaths that were not a full activation, 364 (43%) were classified as under-triaged, and 266 (31%) had at least one ACS-6 criteria present. Under-triaged patients with at least one ACS-6 criteria exhibited increased mortality (OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.3-1.9). GCS less than 9 and need for emergent intubation were the ACS-6 criteria most frequently associated with under-triage mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS-6 Activation Criteria</th>
<th>Incidence % (N)</th>
<th>Full Activation % (N)</th>
<th>Intervention % (N)</th>
<th>Under-triage % (N)</th>
<th>Under-triage Mortality % (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>12 (5,112)</td>
<td>65 (3,328)</td>
<td>79 (4,027)</td>
<td>12 (630)</td>
<td>29 (184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>88 (38,349)</td>
<td>5.2 (2,009)</td>
<td>15 (5,821)</td>
<td>12 (4,759)</td>
<td>1.4 (464)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP&lt; 90</td>
<td>2.6 (1,121)</td>
<td>49 (546)</td>
<td>63 (710)</td>
<td>12 (129)</td>
<td>16 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation</td>
<td>6.7 (2,923)</td>
<td>74 (2,161)</td>
<td>100 (2,161)</td>
<td>15 (451)</td>
<td>33 (149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central GSW</td>
<td>3.7 (1,604)</td>
<td>75 (1,207)</td>
<td>67 (1,072)</td>
<td>2.1 (34)</td>
<td>26 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS&lt;9</td>
<td>4.8 (2,080)</td>
<td>81 (1,680)</td>
<td>91 (1,897)</td>
<td>12 (241)</td>
<td>46 (111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: Compliance with the ACS-COT minimum criteria for full trauma team activation remains sub-optimal and is associated with increased mortality. This data suggests that compliance with minimum activation criteria can be used as a potential quality metric. This study suggests that practice pattern modification to more strictly adhere to the minimum ACS-COT criteria for full trauma team activation will save lives.
REDUCING OPIOID USE IN TRAUMA PATIENTS: A PAIN MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL LEADS TO FEWER OPIOID PRESCRIPTIONS, BETTER PAIN MANAGEMENT, AND GREATER PATIENT SATISFACTION

Jessica L. Gross MD, Anna N. Miller MD, Allyson K. Bryant MD, Margaret R. Rukstalis MD, Christen M. Seguin CNP, Gerald Rebo PharmD, Kristin Rebo PharmD, Paul F. Smith Robert S. Weller MD, Preston R. Miller* MD, Wake Forest University School of Medicine
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Introduction: Ninety one Americans die every day from an opioid overdose, and nearly half of these deaths involve a prescription opioid. Sales of prescription opioids have increased four-fold from 1999 through 2014; however, there has not been an overall increase in the amount of pain reported by Americans. Sales of opioids vary state by state and North Carolina is one of 13 states with the highest rate of opioid prescriptions. Due to concern over these issues, our trauma service developed a pain management protocol (PMP) with the goals of adequate pain control while using fewer opioids in the post-discharge setting. The goal of this project is to compare opioid use and patient satisfaction before and after protocol implementation.

Methods: A multidisciplinary team was formed to create a standardized approach to pain control in trauma patients. The team included members from trauma, orthopedic trauma, psychiatry, anesthesia, and pharmacy. Prior to the creation of the PMP, pain control on the trauma service was not standardized and included oxycodone and intravenous opioids as needed. The PMP provided a step wise approach to pain control: Acetaminophen or ibuprofen for mild pain, 5 mg oxycodone/ 325 mg Tylenol every six hours as needed for moderate to severe pain (maximum 8 tablets/24 hours) and tramadol (50 mg to 100 mg) every 6 hours as needed for breakthrough pain. The medications were staggered to allow administration of oral pain medications every three hours as needed. If pain was not adequately controlled on these short acting agents, long acting oral opioids such as extended release oxycodone or extended release morphine were added and titrated as necessary. At discharge, the patients were provided with a weaning plan for their pain medication. The PMP was implemented on a day to day basis by our advanced practice providers. We performed a review of patients that were then seen in follow up by these same advanced practice providers. We compared the amount of opioid medication (converted to morphine milligram equivalents-MME) prescribed at discharge and in clinic follow up before and after the institution of the PMP.

Results: From 1/1/2015 - 12/31/2016, 2006 patients were managed by our advanced practice providers. 905 patients were cared for prior to PMP initiation on 12/14/15 and 1101 were cared for after initiation. Prior to the protocol, the average MME per prescription was 1402 (2233 MME/person) as compared to 728 MME per prescription (2093 MME/person) after PMP implementation. (p=<0.0001) Additionally, the trauma unit in which these patients were housed was recognized for the most improved patient satisfaction and the most improved pain control after initiation of the PMP on the trauma service.

Conclusion: A PMP for the trauma service created by a multidisciplinary team was associated with better pain control for our patients with increased patient satisfaction. This standardized PMP including a set weaning plan also let to decreased MME prescription after patient discharge.
TRAUMA TRANSITIONAL CARE COORDINATION: A MATURE SYSTEM AT WORK

Erin C. Hall MD,MPH, Rebecca Tyrrell RN, Thomas Scalea* MD, Deborah Stein* MD,MPH, R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center
Invited Discussant: Karen Brasel, MD, MPH

Introduction: We have previously demonstrated effectiveness of a Trauma Transitional Care Coordination Program (TTCC) in reducing 30 day readmission rates for trauma patients most at risk. With program maturation, we achieved improved readmission rates for specific patient populations.

Methods: TTCC is a nursing driven program that supports patients at high risk for 30 day readmission. TTCC interventions include calls to patients (or caregivers) within 72 hours of discharge to identify barriers of care, complete medication reconciliation, coordinate medical appointments or home visits, and offer individualized problem solving. Account IDs were used to link TTCC patients with the Health Services Cost Review Commission (HSCRC) database to collect data on statewide unplanned 30 day readmissions.

Results: 430 patients were enrolled in the TTCC program from January 2014 to December 2016. The majority were men (73% n=315) with a mean age of 43 years (range 17-92 years). Only 11% (n=50) of TTCC enrollees were privately insured, 60% had Medicaid (n=259), and 14.6% had Medicare (n=63). 73% had HSCRC severity of injury (SOI) ratings of 3 or 4 (maximum SOI = 4). The most common All Patient Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (APR-DRG) for participants were: lower extremity procedures (n=63, 14%); extensive abdominal/thoracic procedures (n=35, 8%); musculoskeletal procedures (n=33, 7.7%); complicated tracheostomy and upper extremity procedures (n=24 each, 5.6%); infectious disease complications (n=14, 3%); major chest and respiratory trauma, major small and large bowel procedures and vascular procedures (n=12 each, 2.8%). TTCC was particularly helpful in patients with complicated tracheostomy and lower extremity injury. TTCC participants with complicated tracheostomy had a 9% reduction (15% vs 24%) in 30 day readmission rates compared to those without TTCC and those with lower extremity injury had a 6% (10% vs 16%) reduction in 30 day readmission rates when compared to those without TTCC.

Conclusion: Targeted outpatient support for high risk patients can decrease 30 day readmission rates. As our TTCC program matured, we reduced 30 day readmission in patients with complicated tracheostomy and lower extremity injury. This represents over one million dollars savings for the hospital per year through quality based reimbursement.
IMPLEMENTATION OF A CT SCAN PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR PEDIATRIC TRAUMA PATIENTS REDUCES UNNECESSARY SCANS WITHOUT IMPACTING OUTCOMES


Invited Discussant: Richard Falcone, Jr., MD

Introduction: Computed Tomography (CT) scans are commonly used to evaluate trauma patients. Despite proven efficacy in diagnosing many injuries, they are costly and pose risks from ionizing radiation in children. Recent literature has demonstrated the utility of CT scan algorithms in the management of pediatric trauma.

Methods: Our Pediatric Level 2 Trauma Center (TC) implemented a CT scan practice guideline for pediatric trauma patients in March 2014. The guideline recommended for or against CT of the head and abdomen/pelvis from clinical findings, and was based on publications from the Pediatric Emergency Care and Research Network (PECARN). There was no chest CT guideline. We reviewed all pediatric trauma patients for CT scans obtained during their initial evaluation before and after guideline implementation. The Trauma Registry Database was queried to include all pediatric (age<13) trauma patients seen in our TC from 2010-2016. Penetrating mechanism and deaths in the TC were excluded. CT scans of the head, chest, and abdomen/pelvis obtained in the TC were collected; inpatient scans were not included. Scans were considered positive if any organ injury was detected. Primary outcome was the number of patients undergoing CT and number of positive CT. Secondary outcomes were hospital length of stay (LOS), readmissions, and mortality. Statistical tests used were Chi-square and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results: In the study period, 1934 patients were identified, 1106 pre- and 828 post-guideline. Patient volume increased during the study period. There was no difference in injury mechanisms, although Injury Severity Scale was higher in the post-guideline group (5 vs. 4, p=0.03). Absolute reductions in head, chest, and abdomen/pelvis CT scans were 17.7%, 11.5%, and 18.8% respectively (p<0.01). Percent positive head CTs were equivalent, but percent positive chest and abdomen CT increased after guideline implementation. Mortality, LOS, and readmissions were unchanged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Guideline</th>
<th>Post-Guideline</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>7.4 (3.1-12.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (3.0-12.1)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Severity Scale, median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (4-10)</td>
<td>5 (4-10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head CT – All (%)</td>
<td>639 (57.8)</td>
<td>332 (40.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head CT – Positive (%)</td>
<td>159 (24.9)</td>
<td>95 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest CT – All (%)</td>
<td>216 (19.5)</td>
<td>66 (8.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest CT – Positive (%)</td>
<td>47 (21.8)</td>
<td>24 (36.4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen CT – All (%)</td>
<td>465 (42.0)</td>
<td>192 (23.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen CT – Positive (%)</td>
<td>75 (16.1)</td>
<td>49 (25.5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital LOS, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1 (1-3)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmissions (%)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>5 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (%)</td>
<td>27 (2.3)</td>
<td>18 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: Implementation of a pediatric CT guideline significantly decreases quantity of all CTs, reducing the radiation exposure without a difference in outcome. Trauma centers treating pediatric patients should adopt similar guidelines to decrease unnecessary CT scans in children.
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**Introduction:** Exosomes are nano-sized extracellular vesicles that act as endogenous mediators that modulate the immune response. We have previously shown that exosomes released into mesenteric lymph (ML) following trauma/hemorrhagic shock (T/HS) induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production in macrophages and are involved in the pathogenesis of post-shock acute lung injury. However, the cellular origin of ML exosomes and their role in the post-trauma immune response remains unclear. We hypothesized that exosomes released from damaged intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) contribute to post-trauma immune dysfunction by altering the function of dendritic cells (DCs), key regulators of the adaptive immunity.

**Methods:** Male rats underwent cannulation of the femoral artery, jugular vein and ML duct prior to hemorrhagic shock. T/HS was induced by laparotomy and 60 minutes of HS (mean arterial pressure, 35 mmHg) followed by resuscitation with shed blood and two times normal saline. The ML was collected before hemorrhagic shock (pre-shock) and after T/HS (post-T/HS) for isolation of exosomes by differential centrifugation. Surface markers of exosomes harvested from pre-shock and post-T/HS ML were assessed by flow cytometry to determine their cellular origin and phenotypic changes. DCs were generated from bone marrow cells with GM-CSF to study the effects of exosomes on DC maturation by measuring CD80 and CD86 by flow cytometry. Exosome-mediated DC apoptosis was studied using Annexin V.

**Results:** Exosomes isolated from ML highly expressed CD63 (exosome marker) and EpCAM (epithelial cell-specific marker) but were negative for CD4 (T cell) and CD11b/c (macrophage and DC), suggesting their derivation from IECs. The expression of immunomodulatory molecules such as MHC class II and Fas ligand on ML exosomes were significantly increased after T/HS (p<0.05 vs. pre-shock). Co-incubation of DCs with post-T/HS ML exosomes, but not pre-shock ML exosomes, markedly suppressed the expression of CD80 and CD86 on DCs by 20% and 30%, respectively (p<0.05 vs. pre-shock). Furthermore, post-T/HS ML exosomes induced DC apoptosis as demonstrated by increased Annexin V+ cells compared to DCs exposed to pre-shock ML exosomes (see figure).

**Conclusion:** Gut epithelial cells release “killer” exosomes carrying death signals into the ML after injury. ML exosomes may be critical mediators of post-traumatic immunosuppression causing dysfunction and depletion of DCs.
Changes in Exhaled $^{13}$CO$_2$/12CO$_2$ Breath Delta Value as a New Early Predictor of Infection in ICU Patients

Ann P. O'Rourke MD,MPH, Sara A. Buckman MD, PharmD, David C. Evans* MD, Andrew J. Kerwin* MD, Emily A. Breunig BS, Daniel E. Butz Ph.D., University Of Wisconsin

Invited Discussant: Jon Simmons, MD

Introduction: We have developed a new, non-invasive predictive marker for onset of infection in surgical ICU patients. The exhaled $^{13}$CO$_2$/12CO$_2$ ratio, or breath delta value (BDV), has been shown to be an early marker for infection in a proof of concept human study and in animal models of bacterial peritonitis. In these studies, the BDV changes during onset and progression of infection, and these changes precede physiological changes associated with infection. Earlier diagnosis and treatment will significantly reduce morbidity, mortality, hospitalization costs, and length of stay. The objective of this prospective, observational, multi-center study was to determine the predictive value of the BDV as an early diagnostic marker of infection.

Methods: Critically ill adults after trauma or acute care surgery with an expected length of stay of >5 days were enrolled. The BDV was obtained every 4 hours for 7 days and correlated to clinical infection diagnosis, serum C-reactive protein and procalcitonin levels. Clinical infection diagnosis was made by an independent endpoint committee.

Results: Groups were demographically similar (n=20). Clinical infection diagnosis was confirmed on day 3.9 ± 0.6. Clinical suspicion of infection (defined by SIRS criteria and/or new antibiotic therapy), was on day 2.1 ± 0.5 in all infected patients. However, 5 of 9 (56%) non-infected subjects also met clinical suspicion criteria. The BDV significantly increased by 1-1.7‰ on day 2.1 after enrollment (p<0.05) in subjects who developed infections, while it remained at baseline (+/- 0.5‰) for subjects without infections.

Conclusion: A BDV >1‰ accurately differentiates subjects who develop infections from those who do not and predicts the presence of infection up to 48 hours before clinical confirmation. The BDV may predict the onset of infection and aid in distinguishing SIRS from infection, which could prompt earlier diagnosis, earlier appropriate treatment, and improve outcomes.
EARLY CYTOKINE CHANGES PREDICT TRAUMA-INDUCED COAGULOPATHY IN MULTIPLY INJURED PATIENTS

Stephanie Savage* MD, MS, Greg E. Gaski MD, Ben L. Zarzaur* MD, Tyler McCarroll BS, Jennifer L. Hartwell MD, Todd O. McKinley MD, Indiana University School Of Medicine

Invited Discussant: Yashuhiro Otomo, MD

In Introduction: Injury and the complex immunologic response to injury can result in trauma-induced coagulopathy (TIC). The MAR ratio, calculated from admission thromboelastography (TEG), offers a novel surrogate of underlying coagulation dysregulation and it predicts death. We have postulated that, in part, exhaustion of substrate from unregulated thrombin burst results in inability to manufacture normal clot. This results in weak clot (low MA) and longer onset to clot formation (R-time). Activation of the inflammatory cascade, a hallmark of severe injury, is associated with TIC. In this study, we hypothesized that trauma-associated changes in admission cytokines would correspond to predictable changes in TEG values in TIC.

Methods: Injured patients admitted to the TICU at a Level 1 trauma center were included in this IRB approved study. Basic demographic, injury and laboratory data, including admission TEG, were collected on all patients. Serial blood samples were collected, centrifuged within 2 hours and plasma was stored for subsequent analysis of inflammatory mediators. Correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between the admission R-time and MA and the inflammatory mediators IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1.

Results: 93 patients were included in this study. 80% were male, mean age was 37 years (SD 12), 98% suffered blunt trauma and mean ISS was 29.4 (SD 12.5). The mean base excess on admission was -5.3 mEq/L (SD 4.3), mean R-time was 3.75s (SD 0.96) and the median MA was 61.4mm (IQR 55.4, 64.3). Median values for cytokines included: IL-1β 1.09 ng/L (IQR 0, 3.06), IL-6 118.96 ng/L (IQR 43.67, 428.88), IL-8 29.91 (IQR 14.53, 100.52), MCP-1 757.24 ng/L (445.91, 2318). Correlation analysis was performed between these cytokines and the admission R-time and MA (Table 1). IL-6 and IL-8 levels were positively correlated with the R-time while IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1 were negatively correlated with MA.

Conclusion: Interaction between the innate inflammatory response and the coagulation cascade occurs following severe trauma. Dysregulation may lead to extreme conditions including trauma-induced coagulopathy. In this study, we demonstrated a relationship between increased levels of IL-6 and IL-8 and increasing R-time. Though increased activation of coagulation by these cytokines would theoretically result in more rapid onset of clot formation (lower R-time), we found the reverse. We hypothesize based on previous research with the MAR ratio that this is due to rapid consumption of clot substrate immediately following injury, with inadequate levels remaining for clot formation by the time of TEG at admission. This is reflected by the inverse ratio of rising IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1 with lower MA – a weaker clot.
MICROFLUIDICS: A HIGH THROUGHPUT SYSTEM FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE ENDOTHELIOPATHY OF TRAUMA AND THE EFFECT OF TIMING OF PLASMA ADMINISTRATION ON AMELIORATING SHOCK ASSOCIATED ENDOTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION

Lawrence N. Diebel* MD, Jonathan V. Martin MD, David M. Liberati MS Wayne State University
Invited Discussant: Martin Schreiber, MD

Introduction: Early resuscitation after trauma-hemorrhagic shock (HS) with plasma rather than crystalloid may ameliorate systemic endothelial cell (EC) injury and dysfunction (endotheliopathy of trauma [EOT]). Animal studies have shown that the protective effects of plasma after HS may in part be due to the preservation of the syndecan-1 component of the endothelial glycocalyx (EG) and resultant EG restoration. Early endothelial cell activation or injury is associated with increased release of tPA and thrombomodulin. In vitro modeling suggests that plasma also may attenuate increased susceptibility to tPA mediated fibrinolysis in part by preservation of plasma proteins. Microfluidics is a high throughput technology that has been used to study bleeding and thrombotic disorders in vitro. We postulated that endothelial lined microfluidic networks would be a useful platform to study the endothelial cell activation/injury under flow conditions to mimic HS. We also hypothesized this would allow characterization of the potential protective effects and optimal timing of plasma administration on the development of “EOT” in our model.

Methods: Confluent human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were added to microfluidic flow channels of a BioFlux 48 well plate that has been primed and coated with fibronectin. Monolayers are formed within the microfluidic channels after overnight perfusion of the cells with complete media at a shear force of 1 dyne/cm². The microfluidic plate was subsequently treated with epinephrine (epi) and exposed to hypoxia reoxygenation (HR) for 60 minutes. 5% human plasma in culture media or media alone (control) was perfused either immediately following treatment (early plasma) or after a 3 hr. delay (late plasma). The perfusate was collected 60 and 120 minutes after treatment and glycocalyx injury indexed by syndecan-1, and endothelial cell activation/injury determined by soluble thrombomodulin (TM) and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) concentrations (all by ELISA). Injury to the glycocalyx was also assessed by staining cells with FITC-wheat germ agglutinin (FITC-WGA) antibody and visualizing the glycocalyx with a fluorescent microscope and performing image analysis.

Results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tPA (pg/mL)</th>
<th>PAI-1 (pg/mL)</th>
<th>TM (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Syndecan-1 (ng/mL)</th>
<th>EG fluorescent intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC control</td>
<td>1685 ± 150.5</td>
<td>5977 ± 232.9</td>
<td>25.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>25.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>17.1 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-HR+Epi</td>
<td>3621 ± 385.5*</td>
<td>4996 ± 193.2</td>
<td>100.5 ± 8.7*</td>
<td>92.2 ± 8.2*</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-HR+Epi+ &quot;early&quot; plasma</td>
<td>1735 ± 140.2</td>
<td>5962 ± 243.8</td>
<td>28.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>13.1 ± 1.2*#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-HR+Epi+ &quot;late&quot; plasma</td>
<td>3674 ± 394.1*</td>
<td>5164 ± 358.2</td>
<td>84.7 ± 7.9*</td>
<td>86.1 ± 5.5*</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 vs HUVEC control; # p < 0.05 vs HUVEC + HR + epi ± late plasma.
No significant changes occurred with prolonged (2-hr) perfusion.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrates that microfluidic technology may be useful to recreate endothelial biology associated with EOT. “Early” plasma administration protects against EG degradation and EC activation/injury and resultant hypocoagulable state. Microfluidics may provide a useful platform for the identification of endothelial dysfunction associated with HS and to monitor the efficacy of endothelium targeted therapies.
Introduction: Translocation of phosphatidyserine (PS) from the inner leaflet to the outer leaflet of the endothelial membrane via scramblase has been implicated as the apoptotic signal responsible for loss of endothelial barrier integrity after ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI). We hypothesized that inhibition of PS expression on endothelial cells attenuates increases in PS expression in vitro and microvascular permeability (L_p) observed during IRI in vivo.

Methods: In vitro, using Annexin-V labeled bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cells (BPAECs) we measured intracellular and extracellular production of PS during anoxia/reoxygenation (A/R). We then measured mesenteric microvascular permeability (L_p) in rat post-capillary mesenteric venules subjected to IRI via SMA occlusion (45 minutes) and release (300 minutes) with known scramblase inhibitors. Rats underwent extracellular inhibition (DTE, n=3), inhibition of trafficking to the endothelial membrane (2-bromopalmitate, n=3), intracellular downregulation of activity (DIDS, n=3), and targeted RNA interference of scramblase production (siRNA scramblase, n=3). Rats also underwent pre (n=3) and post (n=3) SMA occlusion diannexin administration (400µg/kg 15-minute continuous femoral vein infusion). Diannexin is a highly specific PS antagonist that prevents PS dependent signaling pathways.

Results: In vitro, A/R increased intracellular PS production by 83% compared to controls (ratio signal PS/Beta actin control vs. A/R = 2.4±0.1 vs. 4.4±0.3 optical intensity units, OIU, p<0.01). Extracellular PS exposure increased 2-fold compared to controls (control = 2374±82.6 vs. A/R = 5430±165 OIU, p < 0.01). IRI increased L_p 6-fold in 1st phase (105 minutes) and 8-fold in 2nd phase (255 minutes). When compared to controls, DTE attenuated microvascular permeability by 35% 1st phase and 46% 2nd phase (L_p DTE vs. IRI 1st phase = 4.3±0.24 vs. 6.0±0.47, L_p DTE vs. IRI 2nd phase = 5.1±0.22 vs. 8.1±0.45, p<0.01 for both). 2-BP attenuated microvascular permeability by 45% 1st phase and 64% 2nd phase (L_p 2-BP vs. IRI 1st phase = 3.6±0.18 vs. 6.0±0.47, L_p 2-BP vs. IRI 2nd phase = 2.9±0.58 vs. 8.1±0.45, p<0.01 for both). DIDS attenuated microvascular permeability by 50% 1st phase and 23% 2nd phase (L_p DIDS vs. IRI 1st phase = 3.3±0.29 vs. 6.0±0.47, L_p DIDS vs. IRI 2nd phase = 7.2±0.30 vs. 8.1±0.45, p<0.01 for both). Targeted inhibition by RNA interference attenuated microvascular permeability 67% 1st phase and 74% 2nd phase (L_p combined vs. IRI 1st phase = 2.2±0.34 vs. 6.0±0.47, L_p combined vs. IRI 2nd phase = 2.5±0.17 vs. 8.1±0.45, p<0.01 for both). When administered after SMA occlusion, diannexin diminished L_p 98% (pre) and 92% (post) overall compared to IRI (L_p diannexin vs. IRI 1st phase = 1.9±0.13 vs. 6.0±0.47, L_p diannexin vs. IRI 2nd phase = 1.4±0.06 vs. 8.1±0.45, p<0.01 for both).

Conclusion: PS exposure is a key event in the pathogenesis of microvascular dysfunction during IRI. Antagonism of PS by intracellular and extracellular mechanisms after anoxic insult in vitro or ischemic insult in vivo protects endothelial cells against injury. Clinically, surgeons may potentially use PS antagonism as a strategy to mitigate the effects of IRI.
NON-ANTICOAGULANT DESULFATED HEPARIN ACUTELY REDUCES LEUKOCYTE MOBILIZATION AND BRAIN EDEMA AND IMPROVES WATERMAZE LEARNING ABILITY WEEKS AFTER TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Katsuhiro Nagata MD, Yujin Suto MD, Ph.D., John Cognetti MSE, Kevin D. Browne BA, Kenichiro Kumasaka MD, Ph.D., Victoria E. Johnson Ph.D., MBChB, Lewis Kaplan* MD, Joshua Marks MD, Douglas H. Smith MD, Jose L. Pascual* MD, Ph.D., University Of Pennsylvania, Perelman School Of Medicine

Invited Discussant: Vishal Bansal, MD

Introduction: Unfractionated heparin (UFH) after TBI reduces leukocyte (LEU) accumulation, and enhances early cognitive recovery but may increase bleeding from trauma. It is unknown if non-anticoagulant 2,3-O desulfated heparin (ODSH) may similarly reduce post-TBI cerebral inflammation. We hypothesized that ODSH after TBI reduces LEU-mediated brain inflammation and improves neurological recovery.

Methods: CD1 male mice (n=66) underwent either TBI (controlled cortical impact; CCI) or sham craniotomy. ODSH [25mg/kg (25ODSH) or 50mg/kg (50ODSH)] or saline was administered (≈Q8H) for 48h after TBI. At 48h, intravital microscopy (n=46) visualized rolling LEUs and fluorescent albumin leakage, the Garcia Neurological Test (GNT) assessed neurologic function and brain wet/dry ratios evaluated post-mortem cerebral edema. In separate animals (n=20), learning/memory ability (% time swimming in Probe platform quadrant) was assessed by the Morris Water Maze (MWM) 17days after TBI. ANOVA with Bonferroni correction determined significance (p<0.05).

Results: Compared to CCI, both ODSH doses reduced post-TBI pial LEU rolling and cerebrovascular albumin leakage (FigA). 50ODSH reduced injured cerebral hemisphere edema (77.7±0.4% vs. CCI (78.7±0.4%, p<0.01). Compared to CCI, both ODSH doses improved GNT at 48hrs (FigB). Learning/memory ability which was lowest in CCI (5.9±6.4%) improved in 25ODSH (27.5±8.2% p=0.02). Conclusion: ODSH after TBI reduces LEU recruitment, microvascular permeability and cerebral edema. Lower dose ODSH also improves acute neurological recovery leading to improved learning/memory ability weeks after injury.
PREVENTING UNNECESSARY PLATELET TRANSFUSIONS IN TRAUMA RESUSCITATION: PAR-1 PATHWAY INHIBITION IS MORE SPECIFIC THAN ADP INHIBITION FOR PREDICTING COAGULOPATHIC HEMORRHAGE IN THE SETTING OF PLATELET DYSFUNCTION
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Introduction: Early platelet dysfunction has been identified as a sensitive biomarker for trauma induced coagulopathy (TIC) and has been specifically implicated in TIC associated with traumatic brain injury. Platelet function in trauma is typically evaluated using agonists of the P2Y12 receptor (ADP) or the thromboxane A2 receptor (arachadonic acid/AA). We have noted that ADP platelet dysfunction may be seen with relatively modest injuries without significant associated bleeding or other evidence of TIC. Literature from the medical and cardiac critical care communities have also indicated that ADP inhibition is an overly sensitive marker of platelet dysfunction and its use as a guide for goal directed transfusion may produce false positives and result in unnecessary platelet transfusions. We hypothesized that measurement of platelet function by stimulation of a more robust platelet activation pathway, such as the thrombin (PAR) receptors, would yield a more specific and clinically reliable metric of platelet dysfunction in TIC.

Methods: Platelet function was assessed in 436 consecutive trauma activation patients using two assays: ROTEM Platelet Aggregometry using ADP and TRAP-6 (a PAR-1 stimulatory peptide) as agonists. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for prediction of (1) severe clinical coagulopathy requiring blood component administration (as scored by the attending trauma surgeon, who is blinded to the platelet function test results) and (2) massive transfusion (defined as ≥10 units of PRBCs in 6 hours). The optimum sensitivity and specificity for each ROC curve was defined as the point closest to the error-free point (0,1) on the plot.

Results: TRAP-6 aggregometry yielded an ROC curve with an area-under-curve (AUC) of 0.81 (95% CI: 0.71 to 0.91) for prediction of severe clinical coagulopathy, compared to 0.77 for ADP (95% CI 0.64 to 0.90). For prediction of massive transfusion, TRAP-6 yielded an AUC of 0.74 (95% CI: 0.63 to 0.88) compared to 0.69 for ADP (95% CI 0.54 to 0.84). Notably, the improved AUC for TRAP-6 over ADP was the result of a leftward shift of the optimized point, such that for the optimum specificity for prediction of severe clinical coagulopathy for TRAP-6 was 77%, compared to 64% for ADP, at corresponding sensitivities of 82% and 83% respectively. Similarly, optimum specificity for prediction of massive transfusion was 76% for TRAP-6, compared to 62% for ADP, at corresponding sensitivities of 78% and 80%, respectively.

Conclusion: TRAP-6 aggregometry, which is a metric of platelet function via the thrombin receptor (PAR-1) pathway, is a more specific predictor of both clinical coagulopathy and massive transfusion requirement than platelet function testing using an ADP agonist. This superiority in specificity of the TRAP-6 agonist over ADP would result in a roughly 17% reduction in unnecessary platelet transfusion, if the test were applied clinically to goal-directed hemostatic resuscitation with blood components.
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LATE TXA UTILIZATION IS ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED BLOOD PRODUCT ADMINISTRATION IN PATIENTS PREDICTED TO RECEIVE MASSIVE TRANSFUSION: A SECONDARY ANALYSIS OF THE PRAGMATIC RANDOMIZED OPTIMAL PLATELET AND PLASMA RATIOS (PROPPR) STUDY
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Introduction: Exsanguination is the leading cause of preventable death after trauma. In addition to a balanced ratio blood component strategy, tranexamic acid (TXA) is used as an adjunct in hemorrhaging patients. This secondary analysis was performed to determine the incidence of TXA utilization and outcome in patients predicted to receive a massive transfusion (MT) in level 1 trauma centers.

Methods: Trauma patients who were predicted to require a MT and admitted to 12 level I North American trauma centers were studied. Patients were divided into those who received TXA and those who did not. We examined 3 hour, 24 hour, and 30 day mortality. We also examined incidence of thromboembolic events, blood product administration within the first 24 hours, length of stay (hospital free days), ICU free days, as well as development of complications including acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), acute kidney injury (AKI), sepsis, and multisystem organ failure (MOF). In our multivariate analysis, we controlled for Injury Severity Score (ISS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), treatment group, mechanism of injury, hypotension and/or tachycardia on admission, geriatric patient (age > 65), and site as independent variables.

Results: 137 out of 680 (20.1%) patients in the PROPPR study received TXA with 130 patients receiving TXA within the first 3 hours after admission. Other adjunctive therapies administered included cryoprecipitate (25.4%), and others (6.6%). The incidence of TXA administration did not differ between the ratio groups (50.3% vs 47.4%, p=0.55), but patients receiving TXA were more severely injured with a median(interquartile range (IQR)) ISS of 34(21) vs 26(20), p<0.01 and a lower median(IQR) GCS of 9(12) vs 14(12), p<0.01. Multivariate linear regression analysis revealed no association between TXA administration and blood transfusion requirements (Table 1). Further analysis revealed that patients who received late (from >1 hour to ≤3 hours after arrival) TXA (41 patients) experienced increased blood requirements in the first 24 hours (Table 1) compared to those remaining 543 patients that did not receive TXA. There was no difference in blood product requirement in those patients who received TXA early (≤1 hour) (89 patients) versus those that did not receive TXA. In patients that received TXA, there was an increased incidence of ARDS (OR (95% CI) 1.99 (1.06,3.73), p=0.03), AKI (1.90 (1.13,3.20), p=0.01), and MOF (4.18 (1.52,11.48), p<0.01) even when controlling for the factors mentioned above. There was also a difference in adjusted 3 hour mortality (OR (95% CI) 0.22 (0.07,0.73), p=0.01) but not 24 hour (0.61 (0.30,1.24), p=0.18) or 30 day mortality (1.42 (0.78,2.59), p=0.25) for any TXA administration. There was no difference in adjusted thromboembolic events or adjusted length of stay. Subgroup analysis with additional variables that were found to have a difference between groups with a p<0.20 were added to the regression model including hematocrit, platelet count, international normalized ratio, creatinine, lactate, and R value on thrombelastography. This analysis showed an increase in PRBC transfusion with late TXA administration (16 out of 232 patients, 7.51 (0.46,14.56), p=0.04), but showed no difference in FFP or platelet administration.

Conclusion: Early TXA use was not associated with improved outcomes. Late TXA use was associated with increased blood product resuscitation. TXA administration in general was associated with improved 3 hour mortality. This did not translate to an improvement in mortality at 24 hours or 30 days. There was a significant increase in the incidence of ARDS, AKI, and MOF in patients who received TXA but this analysis is limited by the differences in the 2 populations despite attempts to control for them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Any TXA β (95% CI)</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>Late TXA β (95% CI)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICU free days</td>
<td>-0.49 (-1.82,0.84)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-1.37 (-3.55,0.82)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital free days</td>
<td>-0.50 (-2.06,1.06)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-1.27 (-3.80,1.27)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRBC</td>
<td>1.39 (-1.14,3.91)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.09 (2.99,11.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFP</td>
<td>1.25 (-0.71,3.22)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>5.47 (2.25,8.68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>2.02 (-0.19,4.23)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6.67 (3.08,10.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalloid</td>
<td>1.09 (0.23,1.95)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.74 (3.86,9.61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*β calculated using linear regression, CI: confidence interval

Table 1. Patients receiving TXA and those receiving late (from >1 hour to ≤3 hours after arrival) TXA.
ADMINISTRATION OF TRANEXAMIC ACID IS ASSOCIATED WITH DEVELOPMENT OF FIBRINOLYSIS SHUTDOWN AMONG CRITICALLY INJURED TRAUMA PATIENTS

Jonathan P. Meizoso MD, MSPH, Juliet J. Ray MD, MSPH, Charles A. Karcutskie MD, MA, Sarah A. Eidelson MD, Nicholas Namias* MD, MBA, Carl I. Schulman* MD,Ph.D., MSPH, Kenneth G. Proctor Ph.D., Roman Dudaryk MD, University of Miami

Invited Discussant: Ernest Moore, MD

Introduction: Trauma patients present with physiologic fibrinolysis, fibrinolysis shutdown, or hyperfibrinolysis. Shutdown is the most common phenotype and is associated with significant mortality. The association between tranexamic acid (TXA), an antifibrinolytic agent routinely given to trauma patients, and development of shutdown is unknown. We hypothesize that TXA is associated with the development of fibrinolysis shutdown in critically ill trauma patients.

Methods: Prospective observational study of 218 critically ill adults admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) at an urban level 1 trauma center from 08/2011-01/2015 who had thromboelastography performed upon ICU admission. Groups were stratified based on fibrinolysis shutdown, which was defined as LY30<0.8%. Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation or median(IQR). Logistic regression was used to determine predictors of shutdown. Adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are reported; significance set at p<0.05.

Results: Patients were age 46y, 81% male, 75% blunt trauma, 83% had ISS>15, 17% received TXA, 64% developed shutdown, and mortality was 15%. Overall, they received median of 4(2-10) units PRBC, 2(0-6) units FFP, & 0(0-1) units platelets in the first 24 hours. Those with shutdown had worse hemodynamics and BE (-5±6 vs -3±5 mEq/L, p=0.013); received more PRBC [6(3-11) vs 3(2-6) units, p<0.0001], FFP [3(0-8) vs. 2(0-4) units, p=0.001], and platelets [0(0-1) vs 0(0-0), p=0.011]; and more often received TXA (25% vs 4%, p <0.0001). After controlling for these confounders, TXA [aOR 3.69 (95% CI 1.01-13.41), p=0.048] and PRBC transfusions [aOR 1.12 (95% CI 1.04-1.22), p=0.005] were independent predictors of fibrinolysis shutdown (c-statistic 0.71, 95% CI 0.64 – 0.78, p<0.0001).

Conclusion: In a large cohort of severely injured trauma patients with thromboelastography performed on ICU admission, TXA is associated with fibrinolysis shutdown. Those with shutdown were almost 4 times as likely to have received TXA as those who did not. Judicious use of TXA is warranted given the known association between fibrinolysis shutdown and mortality after trauma.
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Introduction: Although several analog prediction models exist, accurate prediction of MTP activation remains a challenge. This study prospectively assesses the accuracy of a previously published MTP prediction tool that embeds a complex predictive algorithm within convenient smartphone application for bedside use.

Methods: Prospective patient recruitment was performed at an urban, Level I trauma center from September 2014 to November 2016. For level I activations, the app recorded the surgeon’s initial opinion for massive transfusion [MT (≥10 U PRBCs/24 hours)] protocol (MTP) activation, then prompted inputs for the predictive model (gender, admission heart rate and systolic pressure, FAST, base deficit, mechanism). The app provided a probability (Very Low, Low, Moderate/Equivocal, High) that the patient would require MTP & recorded the surgeon’s final decision on MTP activation.

Results: Over the study period, 321 trauma activations were enrolled with 36 (11%) requiring and 285 (89%) not requiring MT, and 40 (11%) app predictions were discordant with initial 24 hour transfusions when MTP was activated. Of 285 not requiring MTP, the app correctly predicted 255 (89%), and falsely predicted “High” (6/24, 25%) or “Moderate” (18/24 75%) risk. In 6 cases, surgeon’s initial decision to activate MTP was correctly altered because of the “Very Low” predicted risk with none of these patients requiring MTP. Of 36 patients who required MTP, the app correctly predicted that 23 (64%) were at “High” risk of requiring MTP and 7 at “moderate” risk. In 8/18 (44%) of these cases, use of the app caused the surgeon to activate MTP after an initial decision to not activate. For for 7/18 (39%) of those requiring MTP, while the app predicted that MTP would be required, the surgeon’s decision, both before and after using the app, was not to activate – the MTP was started & patients received MT without formal MTP activation. In evaluating the predictive power of the mobile app, excluding the moderate/equivocal predictions, the app achieved a sensitivity =86%, specificity = 97%, respectively, PPV = 0.75 and NPV = 0.99. When moderate/equivocal risk was included as a positive for MTP activation, sensitivity = 67%, Specificity = 90%, NPV = 0.96, & PPV= 0.43. Figure demonstrates AUROC for predictive model.

Conclusion: Smartphone based clinical decision tools can aid surgeons in prediction of MTP activation & may alter practice in real time. Further study is needed to further calibrate models to clinical practice.
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TO SHUNT OR NOT TO SHUNT IN COMBINED ORTHOPEDIC AND VASCULAR EXTREMITY TRAUMA
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Intro: There exists a long established but not validated practice of placing temporary vascular shunts in cases of combined vascular and orthopedic extremity trauma. Though logical to prioritize blood flow, large-scale data to support this practice is lacking. We hypothesize that shunting yields no difference in outcomes compared to initial definitive vascular repair. Our study offers a larger scale analysis than previously available in the literature.

Methods: A retrospective chart review was conducted at 6 Level 1 trauma centers from 2004 – 2015 in patients presenting with combined orthopedic and vascular extremity trauma. Patients under 18 or who died in the initial operation were excluded. Patients who received a temporary intravascular shunt in their initial surgery were compared with those who did not. Patients who did not receive a temporary shunt were further subdivided into initial definitive vascular repair vs. initial orthopedic fixation groups. Age, Sex, ISS, Shock Index, AIS, MESS, and mechanism of injury (MOI) were used to control for sampling bias while revision rate, amputation, HLOS, thrombosis, development of compartment syndrome or rhabdomyolysis were used to assess outcomes. Fisher exact tests, Chi Square and Wilcoxon’s nonparametric tests were used to assess for statistical significance.

Results: In the study period 291 patients presented with the above inclusion criteria. Seventy-two had temporary shunt placement, 97 had initial definitive vascular repair, and 122 had initial orthopedic fixation. There was no difference between shunted vs. non-shunted patients regarding Age, Sex, ISS, Shock Index and MOI. The shunted group had a higher AIS (3.0 vs. 2.8, p=0.04) and MESS (6.1 vs. 5.7, p=0.006) than the non-shunted groups. Shunted patients had a significantly lower rate of compartment syndrome (15% vs. 34%, p=0.002). Among those patients who developed compartment syndrome, those who were shunted tended to be younger (23 vs 35 yrs, p=0.03) and were more likely to sustain a penetrating injury (p=0.007). There was no difference in gender, MESS, AIS, Shock index, or ISS to account for the development of compartment syndrome. When initial definitive vascular repair vs. initial orthopedic fixation were compared, those receiving initial orthopedic fixation had an increased AIS (82% vs 68%, p=0.04) and more commonly sustained blunt trauma (80% vs 65%, p=0.02). Initial orthopedic fixation had a longer HLOS (61% vs 38% with HLOS >15 days, p=0.049) and a higher rate of amputation (20% vs 7%, p=0.006).

Conclusion: There was a significant increase in the development of compartment syndrome associated with lack of a temporary shunt during the initial operation. Though it seems to have become common practice to proceed directly to definitive vascular repair during the initial surgery, the morbidity is improved with the placement of a temporary shunt. Patients also have better outcomes when having their orthopedic injury addressed after the vascular injury. Until prospective studies can further elucidate the protective effect of shunt placement on compartment syndrome, we advocate that the practice of placing temporary shunts continue, especially in the young, penetrating trauma patient.
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TIME COURSE AND OUTCOMES ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSIENT VERSUS PERSISTENT FIBRINOLYTIC PHENOTYPES AFTER INJURY: A NESTED, PROSPECTIVE, MULTICENTER COHORT STUDY
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Introduction: The extremes of fibrinolysis (hyperfibrinolysis, HF, and shutdown, SD) acutely after injury are associated with increased mortality. However, the temporal changes in fibrinolytic activity and impact on mortality remain poorly defined. Recently, two independent single center studies suggested that persistent SD after injury is associated with increased mortality and morbidity. We conducted a nested, prospective cohort study to determine the incidence of different fibrinolytic phenotypes and the trajectories and associated outcomes of these phenotypes over time.

Methods: The study was set at three American College of Surgeons-verified, level-1 trauma centers over a 14-month period. Injured adult patients meeting the highest level of trauma team activation that arrived within six hours of injury were included in the analysis. Serial rapid thrombelastography (rTEG) measures, including clot lysis at 30-minutes (LY30), were obtained at presentation and 3-, 6-, 12-, 24-, 48-, 72-, 96-, and 120-hours. We used LY30 values and previously published definitions to divide patients into the following fibrinolysis phenotypes: SD (LY30 ≤0.8%), physiologic fibrinolysis, PHYS, (LY30 >0.8% to <3%), and HF (LY30 ≥3%). Multivariable logistic and mixed-effects regression models were used to estimate odds ratios (OR) [and surrounding 95% confidence interval (CI)] for mortality and predictors of persistent SD, respectively.

Results: In total, 1242 patients were enrolled, of which 795 had serial rTEG data. The median age was 38 years and most patients were injured by blunt mechanisms (73%), resulting in a median Injury Severity Scale (ISS) score of 21. In total, 44% presented with SD, 36% with PHYS, and 20 with HF. The overall mortality was highest among those who presented with HF (18%), followed by SD (9%), and PHYS (6%) (p=0.001). While mortality within the first 24-hours was highest with admission HF (14% vs. 5% SD vs. 4% PHYS; p=0.001), both admission HF (7%) and SD (6%) had higher mortality after 24-hours compared to PHYS (3%) (p=0.04). Admission HF was independently associated with an increased 30-day mortality (OR, 4.19; 95% CI, 1.03-16.98; p=0.04). With respect to trajectory, all patients who presented with HF switched into another phenotype or died within 24-hours of admission. The majority of patients that presented in SD remained in the SD phenotype: 75% through 96 hours and 68% at 120-hours. Further, persistent SD at 24 hours was associated with increased mortality after 24 hours (OR 3.20, 95% CI, 1.51-6.67).

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that almost 70% of major trauma patients who present with SD remain in the SD phenotype up to 120-hours post-injury. Patients presenting with HF, on the other hand, transition to SD/PHYS phenotypes or die within 24 hours. While early mortality remains greatest with the HF phenotype, persistent SD at 24-hours predicted late mortality.
THE ROLE OF DIVERSION IN EMERGENT COLECTOMY FOR HEMORRHAGE
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Introduction: Patients undergoing emergency colectomy for hemorrhage represent a unique challenge in intraoperative decision making as most receive blood transfusions prior to surgery. Data mandating diversion with significant blood product usage are largely extrapolated from the trauma population and are not directly relevant to the lower GI bleed patient. Therefore, we evaluated the decision to proceed without diversion on 30-day outcomes in emergency surgery patients undergoing partial colectomy for hemorrhage. We hypothesize that primary anastomosis in patients that received a blood transfusion preoperatively is at least as safe as diversion.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was performed utilizing the targeted colectomy module of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) for patients undergoing emergency partial colectomy from 2012-2014. Demographic characteristics and 30-day outcomes were compared amongst patients by type of surgery performed at the index operation: primary anastomosis (PA), primary diversion (PD), and anastomosis with proximal protective ostomy (PPO). Multivariable analysis was performed to identify predictors of 30-day outcomes.

Results: 342 emergency colectomy patients were included with 233 PA patients, 87 PD patients, and 22 PPO patients. Of those, 60 PD, 159 PA, and 12 PPO patients received a preoperative blood transfusion. In all comers, demographic characteristics were similar except for the ACS calculated risk of morbidity (PD 46.33±13.06, PA 36.14±11.9, PPO 36.77±10.20, p<0.001) and mortality (PD 20.27±19.87, PA 10.71±13.26, PPO 13.25±11.87, p<0.001). Overall infectious complications, organ space infections, and need for intervention for anastomotic leak were similar between groups. For patients that received a transfusion prior to surgery, there was no significant difference in postoperative overall infectious complications (PD 26.7%, PA 23.9%, PPO 33.3%, p=0.729), rates of anastomotic leak requiring intervention (PD 1.7%, PA 8.2%, PPO 8.3%, p=0.211), or mortality (PD 16.7%, PA 11.9, PPO 8.3%, p=0.577). After controlling for NSQIP calculated risk of morbidity and mortality in both all comers and transfused patients, type of operation was not a significant predictor of mortality (p=0.063 and 0.238, respectively) or infectious complication (p=0.444 and 0.532, respectively); however, preoperative risk of morbidity and mortality were significant predictors of worse outcomes. There were no observed anastomotic leaks in patients that had an ACS risk calculated morbidity less than 25%.

Conclusions: Preoperative blood product usage does not mandate diversion in patients undergoing emergency partial colectomy for hemorrhage and the decision to divert patients should not be based on blood use alone. Preoperative risk stratification of postoperative morbidity should guide intraoperative decision making, and high risk patients likely benefit from diversion.
DON’T MISS AN OPPORTUNITY: ROUTINE HIV AND HCV SCREENING AMONG TRAUMA PATIENTS
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Introduction: Many patients who seek care for trauma-related encounters have limited access to healthcare, yet remain at high-risk for certain conditions. Our penetrating trauma population was previously studied and has a 1.2% prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and 7.6% prevalence of hepatitis C antibody (HCV Ab). In this study, we sought to routinely screen all trauma patients for HIV and HCV.

Methods: In the last 6 months, all patients evaluated at an urban Level I trauma center were prospectively screened for HCV Ab and offered HIV testing, after verbal consent was obtained. Demographics were collected on gender, ethnicity, age, and history of intravenous drug use (IVDU). Data was collected on the number of tests ordered by the trauma service and resulted for HCV and HIV. We determined the prevalence of HIV and HCV Ab. All inpatients who tested positive for HCV Ab underwent confirmatory viral load testing. If patients were discharged prior to confirmatory testing, a navigator contacted them to return for confirmatory testing. If results were available after a patient was discharged, a navigator contacted them to discuss results. If patients were diagnosed with chronic HCV or HIV, the navigator worked with the patient to link them to care or re-engage them in care.

Results: During the last 6 months, 799 patients were screened for HCV with prevalence of 14% (109). Forty-five patients (41%) had history of IVDU. Forty-three percent (47) patients were baby boomers and 55% (60) were not. Sixty-three patients (58%) underwent HCV confirmatory testing, with 46 patients diagnosed with chronic HCV and 17 patients who spontaneously cleared HCV. Four patients were newly diagnosed with chronic HCV. Twenty-one patients (45%) were previously aware of their chronic HCV diagnosis, but not following with a physician. HIV prevalence was 2.8% (3) of the 107 patients screened for HIV, but there were a total of 12 patients already living with HIV among the patients screened for HCV. There were two patients newly diagnosed with HIV. Of the patients previously aware of their HIV diagnosis, 33% were not on antiretroviral therapy. With the help of our navigator, 22 of the 47 chronic HCV patients (47%) have attended at least one visit with a HCV specialist.

Conclusion: Our trauma service cares for over 2000 patients per year, but many of these patients do not have regular access to care. By routinely screening patients for HIV and HCV at the point of care, in an emergency setting, we are able to diagnose high-risk patients. With the use of a navigator, we are able to re-engage these patients back into care. Trauma service HIV and HCV screening is an opportunity to diagnose and re-engage a vulnerable population, which cannot be missed.
EXAMINING RACIAL DISPARITIES IN LATE WITHDRAWAL OF CARE AMONG SEVERELY INJURED PATIENTS
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**Introduction:** Racial disparities in medical treatment for seriously injured patients across the spectrum of care are well established, but racial disparities in end of life decision making practices have not been well scrutinized. As time from admission to time of withdrawal of care increases, so does the potential for ineffective care, healthcare resource loss, and patient and family suffering. We sought to determine the existence and extent of racial disparities in time to withdrawal of care after severe injury.

**Methods:** A cohort of severely injured patients (ISS > 15, age > 16) with a withdrawal of care order > 24 hours after admission were derived from ACSTQIP (2013 – 2017). We identified patients with late withdrawal of care, defined as those whose care was withdrawn at a time interval beyond the 75th percentile for the entire cohort. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed utilizing descriptive statistics, and t-tests and chi-squared tests where appropriate. Multivariable regression analysis was performed with random effects to account for hospital-level clustering using late withdrawal as the primary outcome, and race as the primary predictor of interest.

**Results:** 13,067 patients with withdrawal of care orders were included in the analysis from 393 centers. Median time to withdrawal was 5.6 days (IQR 2.6-10.3). African American patients were over-represented among patients whose care was withdrawn late (10.16% vs 7.08%, p<0.01). After adjustment for patient and injury characteristics, African American race (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.20-1.64) was a significant predictor of late withdrawal of care.

**Conclusion:** There is substantial variability in time to withdrawal in seriously injured patients, and African American race is a significant predictor of late withdrawal. These findings might be due to patient preference or medical decision making, but speak to the value in assuring a high standard related to identifying goals of care and improving end of life care.
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Introduction: Angioembolization (AE) is widely used for hemorrhagic control in patients with pelvic fractures. The latest version of the Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient issued by the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma requires interventional radiologists to be available within 30 minutes to perform an emergent AE. However, the impact of time-to-AE on patient outcomes remains unknown. We hypothesized that a longer time-to-AE would be significantly associated with increased mortality in patients with pelvic fractures.

Methods: This is a 2-year retrospective cohort study using the American College of Surgeons Trauma Quality Improvement Program (ACS-TQIP) database from January 2013 to December 2014. Adult patients (age ≥18 years) with blunt pelvic fractures who underwent AE of the pelvis <4 hours after hospital admission were included. Patients who required hemorrhagic control surgery <4 hours for any associated injuries in other body regions were excluded. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of time-to-AE on patient in-hospital mortality.

Results: There were 51,545 patients with pelvic fracture during the study period. A total of 181 patients (0.4%) met our inclusion criteria and were included for analysis. The median age was 54 (IQR: 38-68) and 69.6% were male patients. The median ISS was 34 (IQR: 27-43). Overall in-hospital mortality rate was 21.0% (5.3%, 16.7%, 25.3%, and 23.4% for time-to-AE of 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, and 4 hours, respectively; p for trend=0.09). The median volume of packed red blood cell transfusion <4 and 24 hours after admission was 4 and 7 units, respectively. After adjusting for other covariates in the multivariable logistic regression analysis, longer time-to-AE was significantly associated with increased in-hospital mortality (AOR: 1.71 for each hour delay, 95%CI: 1.07-2.71, p=0.024).

Conclusion: Prolonged time-to-AE for hemorrhagic control after pelvic fracture is associated with an increased risk of mortality. Every trauma center should have resources allocated to preventing significant delay in performing AE. Further studies are warranted to determine the role of other adjunctive techniques (e.g. REBOA) to temporize pelvic hemorrhage while waiting for AE.
USE OF OPEN AND ENDOVASCULAR SURGICAL TECHNIQUES TO MANAGE VASCULAR INJURIES IN THE TRAUMA SETTING: A REVIEW OF THE AAST PROOVIT REGISTRY

Edwin R. Faulconer MBBS, Bernardino C. Branco MD, Melissa Loja MD, Kevin Grayson Ph.D., James Sampson MD, Timothy C. Fabian* MD, Tiffany Bee* MD, John Holcomb* MD, Megan Brenner* MD, Thomas M. Scalea* MD, David Skarupa* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Nathaniel Poulin* MD, Todd E. Rasmussen* MD, Joseph J. Dubose* MD, David Grant USAF Medical Center

Invited Discussant: J. David Richardson, MD

Introduction: Vascular trauma data have been submitted to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma PROspective Observational Vascular Injury Trial (PROOVIT) database since 2013 from multiple level I and II trauma centers throughout the United States. To date over 2,500 records have been submitted. We present preliminary data from the registry to describe the current use of endovascular surgery in vascular trauma.

Methods: We reviewed registry data from March 2013 to December 2016 with permission from the PROOVIT review panel. All patients who had an injury to a named artery, excepting forearm and lower leg, were included. Arteries were grouped into anatomical regions (neck, thoracic outlet, thorax, upper limb, major abdominal, abdominal branches and lower limb) and regions (compressible and non-compressible) for analysis. This review was limited to patients with non-compressible transection, partial transection, or flow limiting defect injuries. In addition to descriptive statistics, we developed multivariate linear models to assess the relationships between study variables.

Results: 1143 patients from 22 institutions had 1 or more arterial injuries in the regions defined. Median age was 32 years (interquartile range [IQR] 23-48) and 76% were male. Mechanisms of injury were 49% blunt, 41% penetrating, and 1.8% of mixed aetiology. Gunshot wounds accounted for 73% of all penetrating injuries. Endovascular techniques were used least often in limb trauma (upper limb 3% (n=7/203), lower limb 5% (n=18/381)) and most commonly in patients with blunt injuries to more than one region (50%, n=116/231). Penetrating wounds to any region were preferentially treated with open surgery (74%, n=341/459) with endovascular and combined approaches only accounting for 34 cases (7%). The most common indication for endovascular treatment was blunt non-compressible truncal injuries (NCTI). Patients with transection, partial transection or flow limiting NCTI treated with endovascular surgery had higher overall injury burden as reflected by injury severity scores and longer associated hospital stays, but required less packed red blood cells (PRC), and had lower in hospital mortality than those treated with open surgery on univariate analysis. On multivariate analysis of this NCTI group, low hemoglobin and abdominal injury were independent predictors of mortality, and amongst survivors, type of injury, hemoglobin, lactate, and vasopressor use were predictors of PRC use in the first 24 hours.

Conclusion: Our review of the PROOVIT registry demonstrates that both endovascular and open surgery is being performed for vascular injuries in all regions of the body. These findings support the use of endovascular treatment of vascular injuries in the severely injured, but additional investigation is needed to define indications and optimal utilization of endovascular technologies in the setting of vascular trauma.
CIVILIAN PRE-HOSPITAL TOURNIQUET USE IS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPROVED SURVIVAL IN PATIENTS WITH PERIPHERAL VASCULAR INJURIES

Pedro G. Teixeira MD, Carlos V. Brown* MD, Brent Emigh MD, Michael Long MD, Michael Foreman* MD, Brian Eatridge* MD, Stephen Gale* MD, Michael S. Truitt* MD, Sharmila Dissanaike* MD, Therese Duane* MD, John Holcomb* MD, Alex Eastman* MD,MPH, Justin Regner* MD, University Of Texas At Austin, Dell Medical School

Invited Discussant: Jay Doucet, MD, MSc

Introduction: Tourniquets have proven effective in achieving temporary hemostasis and reducing mortality from extremity wounds incurred on the battlefield. Although the use of tourniquets was empirically transitioned from the military to the civilian pre-hospital environment, there has been a paucity of civilian data to substantiate an attributable survival benefit. We hypothesized that civilian prehospital tourniquet use is associated with reduced mortality in patients with peripheral vascular injuries.

Methods: Multicenter retrospective review was conducted of all patients sustaining peripheral vascular injuries admitted to 11 Level 1 trauma centers from January 2011 to December 2016. The study population was divided into two groups based on prehospital tourniquet use. Demographics and injury characteristic were compared and factors associated with mortality were identified. Logistic regression analysis, adjusting for demographics as well as physiologic and injury-related parameters was used to evaluate the association between pre-hospital tourniquet use and mortality. The secondary outcome of delayed amputation was also assessed.

Results: Over the 6-year study period, 1,026 patients with peripheral vascular injuries were admitted to participating centers. Pre-hospital tourniquet was used in 181 (17.6%) patients. Tourniquets remained in place for 77.3±63.3min (Interquartile Range: 39.0-92.3 min). Traumatic amputations occurred in 98 patients; 35.7% of those utilized a tourniquet. Mortality was 3.9% in the Tourniquet group compared to 5.2% in the No-Tourniquet group [OR (95% CI): 0.73 (0.33-1.65), p=0.452]. After multivariable analysis adjusting for age, gender, mechanism of injury, hypotension on admission, GCS, ISS, presence of associated severe head or torso injury, presence of major vascular injury, and traumatic amputation, the use of tourniquets was found to be independently associated with survival [Adjusted OR (95% CI): 5.86 (1.41-24.47), Adjusted p=0.015]. Delayed amputation rates were not significantly different between the two groups [1.4% vs. 1.2%, OR (95% CI): 1.19 (0.26-5.57), p=0.687].

Conclusion: Although still underutilized, civilian prehospital tourniquet application was independently associated with a six-fold mortality reduction in patients with peripheral vascular injuries. Our data support a more aggressive pre-hospital approach to the application of extremity tourniquets in civilian trauma patients with extremity hemorrhage and traumatic amputation.
THE IOs HAVE IT: A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY OF VASCULAR ACCESS SUCCESS RATES IN TRAUMA PATIENTS IN EXTREMIS USING VIDEO REVIEW

Kristen M. Chreiman MSN, Ryan P. Dumas MD, Mark J. Seamon* MD, Patrick K. Kim* MD, Patrick M. Reilly* MD, Lewis J. Kaplan* MD, Jason D. Christie MD, MSCE, Daniel N. Holena* MD, MSCE University of Pennsylvania

Invited Discussant: Peter Rhee, MD, MPH

Introduction: Quick and successful vascular access in injured patients arriving in extremis is crucial to enable early resuscitation and rapid OR transport for definitive repair. We hypothesized that intraosseous (IO) access would be faster and have higher success rates than peripheral IVs (PIVs) or central venous catheters (CVCs).

Methods: High-definition video recordings of resuscitations for all patients undergoing Emergency Department Thoracotomy (EDT) from 4/2016-2/2017 were reviewed as part of a quality improvement initiative. Demographic information and mechanism of injury were recorded as were access type, access location, start and stop time, and success of each vascular access attempt. Times to completion for access types (PIV, IO, CVC) were compared using Kruskal-Wallis test adjusted for multiple comparisons while success rates by access type were compared using chi-squared test.

Results: Study patients had a median age of 31 (IQR 29-49), were 93% male, 96% African American, and 93% sustained penetrating trauma. A total of 120 access attempts in 31 patients occurred (median 4 (IQR3-5) attempts per patient). PIVs and IOs attempts took similar amounts of time (p>0.05), but both were faster than CVC attempts (PIV 226(IQR128-322); IO 283 (IQR174-446); CVC 682 (IQR529-890) seconds; p <0.001 for both PIV and IO vs. CVC). Intraosseous lines had higher success rates than PIVs or CVCs (95% vs. 42% vs. 46%, p<0.001). Times and success rates for specific access attempts by site and type can be seen in Figure 1.

Conclusions: Access attempts using IO are as fast as PIV attempts but are more than twice as likely to be successful. Attempts at CVC access in patients in extremis have high rates of failure and take a median of over 10 minutes. While IO access may not completely supplant PIVs and CVCs, IO access should be considered as a first line therapy for trauma patients in extremis.
NOTES
SIX-MONTH FOLLOW-UP OF THE INJURED TRAUMA SURVIVOR SCREEN: CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Joshua C. Hunt Ph.D., Karen J. Brasel* MD, Terri A. DeRoon-Cassini Ph.D., Medical College of Wisconsin

Invited Discussant: Kamela Scott, MD, PhD

Introduction: A significant minority of admitted trauma patients will develop posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) or depression. The Injured Trauma Survivor Screen (ITSS) has been shown to predict PTSD and depression risk at 1 month after traumatic injury. We hypothesized that the ITSS would retain accuracy at 6 months post-injury. The added effect of a measure of acute stress at the time of injury was also examined.

Methods: Patients were enrolled following admission to a Level I trauma center. All participants were administered the ITSS and the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 during initial hospitalization an average of 3 days after injury (SD = 2.4). The Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5), and the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale Revised (CESD-R) were administered by graduate and postdoctoral level mental health professionals an average of 6.5 months after injury (SD = 36.44 days). Data from two studies were pooled yielding a 6-month follow-up sample of 202 participants. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were run controlling for participants that had mental health intervention and were not symptomatic for PTSD (n = 33) or depression (n = 24) since their injury, and for those who had experienced additional potentially psychologically traumatic events (PTSD, n = 23).

Results: The ROC curve analysis for the ITSS PTSD scale (n = 146) was conducted with 32.88% (n = 48) of the sample testing positive for PTSD at 6 months. PTSD specific prediction indices are listed in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Tool</th>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>CAPS 6 Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITSS PTSD (≥3)</td>
<td>ROC curve (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.644 (0.605, 0.683)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.520% (n = 41,441)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.6735% (n = 86,688)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL-5 (≥16)</td>
<td>ROC curve (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.602 (0.528, 0.683)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.7705% (n = 3946)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.7705% (n = 7496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Risk Group (Both ITSS and PCL-5 risk positive)</td>
<td>ROC curve (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.773 (0.696, 0.851)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.7292% (n = 3546)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.8163% (n = 6096)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With regard to depression, 22% percent (n = 40/178) of the sample was positive for depression and the ITSS depression scale yielded a sensitivity of 72.50%, specificity 70.29%, NPV 91.1% and PPV 37.9% (AUC = .714, 95% CI = 0.642, 0.779). Of those with PTSD (n = 59) in the full sample 55% (n = 33) had comorbid depression.

Conclusion: The 9-item ITSS, which takes approximately 5 minutes to administer, is a stable screening tool for predicting those most at risk for PTSD and/or depression. The combined risk group data provide evidence that symptom evaluation by a psychologist would improve specificity in identifying those likely to develop posttraumatic psychological distress. These results help to further inform the recommendation of the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma regarding PTSD and depression screening in trauma centers.
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Peter C. Canizaro was born on June 20, 1935, in Vicksburg, Mississippi. He received his B.A. degree from the University of Texas, Austin, in 1956 and his M.D. degree from the University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, in 1960. Following an internship at Parkland Memorial Hospital/UTSMS, he spent two years as a Captain in the Surgical Research Unit, Brooke Army Hospital, Fort Sam Houston. Following another year as a NIH Research Fellow, he completed his surgical residency at Parkland/UTSMS from 1964-1968. He remained on staff at Parkland/UTSMS from 1968-1974, and then subsequently served on the faculty at the University of Washington (1974-1976) and Cornell University Medical Center (1976-1981) where he became Professor of Surgery. Dr. Canizaro became Professor and Chairman of the Department of Surgery at the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center in 1982 and remained there until his untimely death in 1990. Dr. Canizaro was an innovative surgical scientist who made multiple contributions to the field of trauma and resuscitation. Examples of topics covered in his published manuscripts include the following:

- 1960 Distribution changes in extracellular fluid during acute hemorrhage (with G. Tom Shires, M.D.)
- 1963 Use of dextran
- 1963 Use of hypertonic glucose
- 1969 Diagnostic abdominal paracentesis in trauma
- 1970 Fluid resuscitation of hemorrhagic shock
- 1971 Use of Ringer’s lactate during shock
- 1974 Oxygen-hemoglobin dissociation curve
- 1975 Stroma-free hemoglobin
- 1985 Ultrasound detection of fluid collection
In recognition of Dr. Peter Canizaro’s outstanding contributions to the science of trauma, the AAST has presented the Canizaro Award since 1993 to the best paper by a new member in their first two years of membership.

**PETER C. CANIZARO AWARD**

1993 Philip S. Barie, M.D., M.B.A.
1994 Frederick A. Luchette, M.D.
1995 Patrick J. Offner, M.D.
1996 Rodney M. Durham, M.D.
1997 Ronald J. Simon, M.D.
1998 Charles N. Mock, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.
1999 David A. Spain, M.D.
2000 John T. Owings, M.D.
2001 Hans-Christoph Pape, M.D.
2002 Karen J. Brasel, M.D., M.P.H.
2003 James Jeng, M.D.
2004 Eileen M. Bulger, M.D.
2005 Carnell Cooper, M.D.
2006 Saman Arbabi, M.D.
2007 Kari Hansen, M.D.
2008 Randall S. Friese, M.D.
2009 Andrew C. Bernard, M.D.
2010 Oscar D. Guillamondegui, M.D.
2011 Jay Manaker, M.D., FACEP
2012 Stephanie Savage, M.D.
2013 Jason Smith, M.D.
2014 Sarah Majercik, M.D.
2015 Matthew B. Bloom, M.D.
2016 Jon Simmons, M.D.
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VARIABILITY IN MANAGEMENT OF BLUNT LIVER TRAUMA AND CONTRIBUTION OF LEVEL OF ACS-COT VERIFICATION STATUS ON MORTALITY

Christopher J. Tignanelli MD, Bellal Joseph* MD, Jill L. Jakubus PA-C, MHS, MS, Gaby A. Iskander* MD, MS, Brian C. George MD, MAEd, Mark R. Hemmila* MD, University of Michigan

Invited Discussant: Rajesh Gandhi, MD, PhD

Introduction: Patients who sustain blunt liver trauma and are treated at an ACS-COT verified Level 1 trauma center have an overall lower risk of mortality compared with patients admitted to a Level 2 trauma center. However, studies comparing outcomes for Level 1 and 2 trauma centers have failed to identify specific elements contributing to these differences. We hypothesize that clinical practice variation exists between Level 1 and 2 trauma centers in management of blunt liver injury. Our objective in this study is to identify practice variations and their effect on clinical outcomes.

Methods: Data from a state-wide collaborative quality initiative for trauma was utilized. The dataset contains information from 29 ACS-COT verified Level 1 and 2 trauma centers from 2011 to 2016. Inclusion criteria were: adult patients (≥16 years), ISS ≥ 5, blunt mechanism of injury, and evidence of AIS grade 3 or higher liver injury. Patients directly admitted, transferred out for definitive care, missing data, or with no signs of life were excluded. Propensity score matching was used to create cohorts of patients treated at Level 1 or 2 trauma centers. The 1:1 matched cohorts were used to compare in-hospital mortality, management strategy, complications, ICU and hospital LOS, failure to rescue, and differences in admitting patterns.

Results: 454 patients were included in the analysis (227 Level 1 cohort and 227 Level 2 cohort). After propensity matching, no significant baseline characteristic differences were noted between groups. Patients treated at Level 2 trauma centers had higher in-hospital mortality than those treated at Level 1 trauma centers. Level 2 trauma centers utilized angiography for patients with grade 3 liver injuries less compared with Level 1 centers and admitted significantly fewer patients with a grade 3 or above liver injury to the ICU. ICU admission status was associated with reduced mortality. Despite a lower rate of overall complications, Level 2 trauma centers were more likely to fail to rescue their patients. Patients treated at Level 1 trauma centers were more likely to develop pneumonia and ARDS. No significant differences were noted in other complications or hospital length of stay.

Conclusions: Admission with an AIS grade 3 or higher liver injury to a Level 2 trauma center is associated with increased in-hospital mortality. Level 2 trauma centers were less likely to utilize angiography or admit high grade liver injuries to the ICU. This variation in practice may lead to the inability to rescue critically ill patients when a complication develops. Future research should investigate contributors to underutilization of resources for patients with high grade liver injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Level 1 Trauma Center</th>
<th>Level 2 Trauma Center</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, % (n)</td>
<td>8.8 (20)</td>
<td>15.4 (35)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication, % (n)</td>
<td>22 (51)</td>
<td>15 (35)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to rescue, % (n)</td>
<td>16 (8)</td>
<td>34 (12)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS, % (n)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia, % (n)</td>
<td>11 (25)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Level 1 Trauma Center</th>
<th>Level 2 Trauma Center</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Treatment, % (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operative</td>
<td>77 (174)</td>
<td>79 (179)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography</td>
<td>12 (28)</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>11 (25)</td>
<td>16 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission, % (n)</td>
<td>77 (175)</td>
<td>64 (145)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS, mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>5.2 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital LOS, mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.2 ± 10.1</td>
<td>8.3 ± 9.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: Admission with an AIS grade 3 or higher liver injury to a Level 2 trauma center is associated with increased in-hospital mortality. Level 2 trauma centers were less likely to utilize angiography or admit high grade liver injuries to the ICU. This variation in practice may lead to the inability to rescue critically ill patients when a complication develops. Future research should investigate contributors to underutilization of resources for patients with high grade liver injuries.
NOTES
DECREASED MORTALITY, LAPAROTOMY AND EMBOLIZATION RATES FOR LIVER INJURIES, WITH 70% NOM OF GRADE 4&5 INJURIES

Iver A. Gaski MD, Jorunn Skattum MD,Ph.D., Tomohide Koyama MD, Torsten Eken MD,Ph.D., Pal A. Naess* MD,Ph.D., Christine Gaarder* MD,Ph.D., Oslo University Hospital

Invited Discussant: Mayur Narayan, MD, MPH, MBA

**Introduction:** Although the management of liver injuries is based on physiology with NOM as the treatment of choice in hemodynamically normal patients, the optimal management of OIS grade 4&5 injuries is still being discussed. From 2002, we formalized a treatment protocol including mandatory angiography for OIS grades 3-5. Based on published results from this period, angiography has been performed only when signs of bleeding since 2009. Simultaneously, our resuscitation strategy was updated. We hypothesized that these changes would result in a further decreased laparotomy rate and need for AE, as well as increased survival.

**Methods:** All adult patients with liver injuries admitted during 2002-2014 were analyzed retrospectively based on data from the institutional Trauma Registry and patient charts. The cohort was divided in two consecutive periods 2002-2008 (P1) and 2009-2014 (P2). The total study population, and subgroups of transfused patients and patients with OIS grade 4&5 liver injuries, underwent analyses for trends and differences between P1 and P2.

**Results:** 583 patients were included (P1:237, P2:346), median ISS 29. The groups were comparable for age, gender, MOI, injury severity and physiology, both the total population and subgroups. The overall laparotomy, angiography and AE rates decreased from P1 to P2; 35% to 24%; p<0.01, 31% to 9 %; p<0.01, and 11% to 5%; p<0.01, respectively. The NOM failure rate was 1% in both periods. Simultaneously, a reduction in 30-day crude mortality was observed (14% to 7%; p=0.02), with a concomitant decrease in hemorrhage related deaths (8% to 3%; p<0.01). A multivariate logistic regression model identified age, BD, ISS and laparotomy as independent predictors of mortality with an OR of 3.8 (CI 1.84-8.00) for dying if laparotomy was required, and a 64% reduced adjusted risk of death in P2 (p<0.01). In the subgroup with OIS grade 4&5 injuries [n=149 (26%), median ISS 34], a similar reduction in angiography and AE rate was seen (68% to 22%; p<0.01 and 30% to 12%; p<0.01). In both periods 70% of grades 4&5 injuries underwent NOM, with overall 98% success rate and 2% mortality. The 30% requiring surgery were critically ill (median BD 15, ISS 43), and the mortality remained high (P1 52%; P2 40%). Analysis of the subgroup transfused ≥5 RBCs confirmed a more balanced transfusion strategy and fewer hemorrhagic deaths in P2 (29% to 13%, p=0.04).

**Conclusion:** Changes in resuscitation and treatment protocols were associated with decreased laparotomy, angiography and AE rates, as well as overall and hemorrhage related mortality. NOM is safe in 70% of patients with grade 4&5 injuries, with low failure rates and mortality, in contrast to the critically ill 30% requiring surgery who still have poor outcome.
CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT OF RECTAL INJURIES AT LEVEL I TRAUMA CENTERS: THE RESULTS OF A AAST MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL STUDY

Carlos V. Brown* MD, Pedro G. Teixeira MD, Elisa Furay MD, John P. Sharpe MD, Tashinga Musonza MD, John Holcomb* MD, Eric Bui MD, Brandon R. Bruns* MD, Andrew Hopper MD, Michael S. Trott* MD, Clay C. Burlow* MD, Morgan Schellenberg MD, Jack Sava* MD, John VanHorn PA-C, The AAST Contemporary Management Of Rectal Injuries Study Group* Dell Medical School, University Of Texas At Austin

Invited Discussant: Timothy Fabian, MD

Introduction: Traumatic injuries to the rectum are uncommon. Limited published data exist to drive decision-making while caring for patients with these complex injuries. Rectal injuries have been historically treated with a combination of modalities including direct repair, resection, proximal diversion, presacral drainage, and distal rectal washout. We hypothesized that rectal injuries may be selectively managed without diversion and the addition of distal rectal washout and pre-sacral drainage are not beneficial.

Methods: AAST multi-institutional retrospective study from 2004-2015 of all patients who sustained a traumatic rectal injury and were admitted to one of the 22 participating centers. Demographics, mechanism, location and grade of injury, and management of rectal injury were collected. The primary outcome was abdominal complications (abdominal abscess, pelvic abscess, and fascial dehiscence).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direct Repair/Resection</th>
<th>Diversion</th>
<th>Drain</th>
<th>Distal Washout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire population</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraperitoneal</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapерitoneal</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results: There were 812 patients in the cohort, mean age was 33 years old, 86% were male, and 72% sustained penetrating trauma. Rectal injury was intraperitoneal in 41%, extraperitoneal in 58%, and not documented in 1%. Rectal injury severity included the following grades I: 28%, II: 41%, III: 13%, IV: 12%, and V: 5%. In order to eliminate confounding variables contributing to early mortality, we examined the 785 patients who survived > 48 hours with univariate and multivariate analysis. Patients with intraperitoneal injury managed with a proximal diversion developed more abdominal complications (23% vs. 9%, p=0.003), but independent risk factors [adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval), p-value] for abdominal complications included high grade injury [2.6 (1.2-5.1), p=0.006] and penetrating mechanism [2.7 (1.1-6.7), p=0.04]. Among patients with extraperitoneal injuries there were more abdominal complications in patients who received proximal diversion (p=0.0002), presacral drain (p=0.004), or distal rectal washout (p=0.002). After multivariate analysis, distal rectal washout [3.4 (1.4-8.5), p=0.008] and presacral drain [2.6 (1.1-6.1), p=0.02] were independent risk factors to develop abdominal complications.

Conclusion: Traumatic rectal injury is uncommon and usually occurs after penetrating trauma. Most injuries are extraperitoneal and lower grade. The majority of patients undergo direct repair/resection as well as diversion, though diversion is not associated with improved outcomes. While some patients still receive a presacral drain and/or distal rectal washout, these additional maneuvers are independently associated with an increase in abdominal complications and should not be routinely included in the treatment of rectal injuries.
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SMALL BORE CATHETERS ARE EQUALLY EFFICACIOUS WHEN COMPARED TO LARGER CHEST TUBES REGARDLESS OF INDICATION FOR PLACEMENT IN THE TRAUMA PATIENT

Adele P. Williams MD, Taylor E. Hillburn Jerre Hinds RN, Danielle Tatum Ph.D., Terri Frazier BS, Sara Al-Dahir PharmD, Tomas H. Jacome* MD, Victoria Aucoin MD, Claudia Leonardi Ph.D., Patrick Greiffenstein MD, Jennifer L. Mooney MD, LSU Department of Surgery
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**Introduction:** Small bore catheters have gained popularity in trauma centers following several studies showing their equivalency in evacuating pneumothorax as compared to traditional large bore tubes. The efficacy of small bore catheters in treating hemothorax is not as well described. Our practice has changed to allow for a more liberal use of small bore catheters regardless of indication for placement. This study compares our experience between small bore catheters (SB) and the more traditional large bore tubes (LB) for both pneumothorax and hemothorax in the injured patient.

**Methods:** This is a retrospective study involving two regional trauma centers. All adult patients admitted to the trauma service with a chest tube placed between January 2014 and December 2015 were eligible for enrollment. Those who died within the first 24 hours or before chest tube removal were excluded. Patients were then divided into reason for chest tube placement, isolated pneumothorax or presence of hemothorax. We then compared SB versus LB within each group with a primary outcome being effectiveness of tube size at evacuating air or blood. Secondary outcomes consisted of narcotic usage, chest tube duration and insertion related complications. SB was defined as those 19F or less (average 14F) and LB as greater than or equal to 20F (average 32F). Outcomes were compared using generalized linear mixed models adjusting for baseline covariates which were deemed significantly different between the two groups using the SAS/STAT software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

**Results:** A total of 301 tubes were included for analysis. Pneumothorax was the indication for 146 subjects of which 75 were LB and 71 SB. Demographics were similar between groups apart from those in the large bore subset tending to be younger (39.2 vs 45.6, p=0.02) and having a larger proportion of penetrating mechanism of injury (30.8% vs 14.1%, p=0.02). There was no difference in failure to resolve the pneumothorax between the two groups (20.6% vs 17.5%, p=0.64). There was a significant difference in continued air leak at 72 hours (1.9% vs 9.1%, p=0.047); however, this did not translate into increased chest tube duration (3.4 days vs 3.3 days, p=0.75). Narcotic usage was significantly decreased in the SB group (343.8 units vs 214.3 units, p=0.025).

Hemothorax led to tube placement in 155 patients of which 102 were LB and 53 SB. The two groups differed demographically in terms of age, sex and proportion of penetrating injury. The LB group was younger (36.6 vs 44.3, p=0.01), male (87.3% vs 73.6%, p=0.03) and with a higher proportion of penetrating mechanism (63.7% vs 32.1%, p<0.001). The SB catheters were equally as efficacious as the LB tubes with a similar rate of failure (25.6% vs 36.6%, p=0.18). Narcotic usage (480.1 units vs 439.3 units, p=0.63), chest tube duration (5.0 days vs 4.4 days, p=0.19) and insertion related complications (2.9% vs 3.8%, p=0.78) were also similar.

**Conclusion:** To our knowledge this is the largest study comparing tube sizes in trauma patients with hemothorax as an indication. Small bore catheters are equally effective when compared to larger more traditional thoracostomy tubes regardless of indication for placement in the trauma patient.
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FIREARM-RELATED INJURIES IN THE UNITED STATES: 6-MONTH READMISSION AND COST BURDEN
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Introduction: The United States has the highest rate of firearm injuries and deaths of any developed country. In 2014 alone, firearms caused an estimated 33,700 deaths and 81,000 nonfatal injuries. The readmission risk for patients discharged following a firearm injury and the costs of these readmissions are unknown. We hypothesized that a large proportion of patients who suffered a firearm injury would be readmitted after their initial injury, and aimed to determine the financial burden these readmissions impose.

Methods: We used the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Nationwide Readmission Database (NRD), an all-payer, all-ages national database that allows for longitudinal tracking of inpatient hospitalizations. We identified patients admitted for firearm-related injuries between 2013 and 2014 using ICD-9 codes. Patients were included if discharged within the first six months of each year and readmitted within 180 days of discharge from their initial injury. Charges were converted to costs using the HCUP-NRD cost-to-charge ratio files, and costs were inflation-adjusted to 2014 dollars. Unadjusted and adjusted analyses were performed. Weighted numbers are reported.

Results: During 2013 and 2014, 31,363 patients were admitted for firearm injuries in the first six months of each year. A total of 5,322 patients (17.0%) experienced at least one readmission within 6 months. Of those readmitted, 41.0% were readmitted within 30 days of discharge from their index injury (Figure). The 6-month costs of readmission for firearm-related injuries was $65.5 million dollars (Table). The largest proportion of costs was covered by governmental insurance, totaling $35 million dollars (52.8%) divided between Medicare (10.2%) and Medicaid (43.6%). Self-pay patients generated $10 million (15.2%) in costs.

Conclusion: Readmission following firearm injuries is common, with over half occurring within the first 60 days of discharge. In addition to the known annual costs of approximately $700 million accrued during the initial hospitalization, 6-month readmission costs for firearm related injuries account for an additional 10%, totaling almost $70 million dollars. Forty percent of the financial burden was placed on Medicaid, indicating that firearm-related injuries place a particular burden on government payers. These figures likely underestimate true healthcare costs of readmissions, as they do not include outpatient care. The burden imposed by firearm-related injuries persists beyond patients' initial hospitalization. Future policy should consider the long-term implications of these injuries.

Costs of Inpatient Readmissions for Firearm-Related Injuries by Payer Status, 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payer Status</th>
<th>Total Readmission Cost</th>
<th>Average Readmission Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>$4,673,087.29</td>
<td>$15,564.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>$28,566,399.40</td>
<td>$19,423.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>$13,882,045.06</td>
<td>$18,379.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Pay</td>
<td>$9,587,147.63</td>
<td>$11,479.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$4,654,283.81</td>
<td>$15,060.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$65,462,873.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ROUTINE POSTOPERATIVE HEPATIC ANGIOGRAPHY IS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED MORTALITY IN SEVERE LIVER INJURY

Shokei Matsumoto MD, Emily Cantrell MD, Alan Smith Ph.D., Raul Coimbra* MD,Ph.D., University of California, San Diego

Invited Discussant: Daniel Holena, MD

Background: Mortality rate for high grade liver injuries remains high. In recent years, a combined multidisciplinary approach utilizing both surgical and interventional endovascular techniques has been used and may offer survival advantage when compared to surgery alone. As an adjunct to operative management, routine postoperative hepatic angiography (PHA) may have a marked impact on outcome because of its ability to identify continued bleeding not completely controlled by surgical maneuvers, thus triggering arterial embolization when indicated. This study sought to compare outcomes following surgical management of severe liver injuries with and without PHA using propensity score matching analysis.

Methods: Data from the National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB) from 2007 – 2014 was analyzed. The study population consisted of patients greater than 18 years of age sustaining severe liver injuries (ie AAST-OIS grade IV or V) who underwent acute surgical management. Patients were divided into two groups. The PHA group consisted of those undergoing acute surgical intervention followed by PHA. In the surgery only (SO) group, no angiography was performed. Demographics, patient and injury characteristics, complications and mortality were compared. To determine the impact of PHA on outcomes, propensity score matching analysis (1:1) was utilized.

Results: During the study period, 20,941 patients were identified in the NTDB dataset with severe liver injury. A total of 3,871 patients met inclusion criteria. Of those, 205 patients (5.3%) underwent PHA. The rate of severe liver injury undergoing surgical intervention followed by PHA was noted to increase during the study period. Prior to matching, patients in the PHA group had higher liver injury severity and ISS, but overall in-hospital mortality was found to be similar between the two groups. One to one propensity-score matching generated 196 pairs with well-balanced baseline characteristics. After propensity score matching, in-hospital mortality was significantly lower in the PHA group compared with the SO group (24.5% vs 34.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI: 0.40-0.97). However, hospital length of stay was longer (16.0 [7.0-29.8] vs 12 [1.0-24.0] days, P < 0.006) and the incidence of AKI was higher (10.7% vs 4.1%; OR, 2.80; 95% CI: 1.21-6.50) in the PHA group.

Conclusion: The use of PHA was associated with decreased mortality rates in patients with high grade liver injuries and increased hospital length of stay and higher AKI rates. A multimodality approach utilizing both surgical intervention followed routinely by hepatic artery angiography appears to identify patients that may benefit from further intervention (arterial embolization) leading to decreased mortality of severe liver injuries.
BALLOONS UP: SHORTER TIME TO ANGIOEMBOLIZATION AND REDUCED MORTALITY IN PATIENTS WITH SHOCK AND PELVIC FRACTURES

Kathleen M. O'Connell MD, Sarah M. Kolnik MD,MPH, Qian Qiu MBA, Khalida Arif BS, BA, Sean T. Jones MD, Frederick Rivara MD,MPH, Monica Vavilala MD, Christopher Ingraham MD, Eileen Bulger* MD, University of Washington
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Introduction: A recent AAST multicenter observational study reported a 32% mortality rate for patients with pelvic fractures and shock. (Costantini et al, 2016) Additionally, several prominent Level I trauma centers have reported unacceptably long mobilization times for Interventional Radiology (IR) teams, raising the question whether these patients are better served with immediate operative intervention and preperitoneal packing. We hypothesized that shorter average time from admission to start of angiography/angioembolization is associated with lower in-hospital mortality in patients with pelvic fractures and shock.

Methods: This is a retrospective observational study of patients 18 years and older who were diagnosed with a pelvic fracture after blunt trauma, and admitted to a single regional Level I trauma center from 2012-2016. Patients were included in the study group based on the presence of hemorrhagic shock, using the same criteria as Costantini et al. (systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg, heart rate > 120 beats per minute, and base deficit >5). Time from admission to procedure start was examined in patients who went directly to IR for angiography, and in patients who went directly to the operating room (OR) for surgical control of hemorrhage. In-hospital mortality rates were examined for the overall cohort, as well as for the shock group.

Results: During the 5 year study period, 1,170 adult patients were admitted with a pelvic fracture; 25% presented during weekdays (0730-1730), and 75% presented during nights and weekends. Sixty-two percent of the patients were male, with a median age of 48 years (IQR 29, 62), and median Injury Severity Score (ISS) of 29 (IQR 21, 38). The study group included 424 (36%) patients who met criteria for shock, with a median ISS of 38 (IQR 30, 50). Within this shock group, 175 (41%) underwent diagnostic angiography, and 129 (30%) received therapeutic angioembolization. 143 (34%) of the 424 shock patients who screened negative for an intra-abdominal source of hemorrhage went directly to IR from the emergency department; median time to start of angiography was 1.4 hours (IQR 1.1, 1.9). 69 (16%) of the shock patients who screened positive for an intra-abdominal source of hemorrhage went directly to the operating room; median time to start of the operation was 0.9 hour (IQR 0.6, 1.2). Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion was utilized in three patients, and one patient received extracorporeal life support. Cumulative in-hospital mortality for the entire cohort was 7%, and 15% in the overall shock group. Cumulative in-hospital mortality for patients who went directly to IR was 18%, and 23% for patients who went directly to the OR.

Conclusion: Compared to recent reports of patients with hemorrhagic shock and pelvic fractures at other Level I trauma centers, our median time to IR procedure start and associated cumulative in-hospital mortality are significantly lower. This study supports the use of angioembolization for hemorrhage control, as opposed to pre-peritoneal packing, at institutions equipped to mobilize the IR team expeditiously.
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TIME TO ANGIOEMBOLIZATION FOR PELVIC HEMORRHAGE: REAL WORLD EXPERIENCE AND IMPACT ON OUTCOMES

James P. Byrne* MD, Stephanie A. Mason MD, Melissa Hornor MD, Ryan Murphy MPH, Christopher Hoeft MA, Melanie Neal MS, Avery B. Nathens* MD,Ph.D., Sunnybrook Health Science Centre
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Introduction: Pelvic trauma is a common source of fatal hemorrhage. Angiography for embolization remains the mainstay of treatment in North America. The Committee on Trauma requires that level I and II trauma centers have radiology support available within 30 mins to perform interventional procedures. While timely access to angiography may improve survival, recent studies report significant delays. Therefore, we examined factors influencing time to angiography (AT) and its association with mortality for patients treated at trauma centers participating in the ACS Trauma Quality Improvement Program (ACS TQIP).

Methods: Patients with blunt trauma who underwent angiography with embolization for pelvic hemorrhage were identified in the ACS TQIP database (2013–2016). Data related to injury severity, ED vital signs, transfusion requirements and operations for hemorrhage control were derived. A subgroup of patients with hemorrhagic shock was defined (SBP≤90mmHg). Three analytic approaches were then taken. First, predictors of AT were identified using multiple linear regression with random effects to account for hospital-level clustering. Second, hierarchical logistic regression was used to determine the association between AT and mortality. Finally, hospital-level variability in AT was examined. Specifically, the median odds ratio (MOR) was calculated to measure trauma center variation in achieving early (<90 mins) vs. delayed (≥90 mins) angiography.

Results: We identified 1,369 patients who underwent angioembolization at 248 trauma centers. The median ISS was 34 and overall mortality was 28%. One-in-five patients (n=276) underwent hemorrhage control surgery prior to angiography. Excluding these patients, the median AT was 3 hours (IQR 2–4 hours). Few (1%) received angiography within 30 mins. Hemorrhagic shock and higher institutional volumes of angiography were associated with shorter AT. Presentation on weekends or overnight was predictive of delay. Among all patients, AT was not associated with mortality. However, longer AT was associated with significantly greater risk of death in patients with hemorrhagic shock (Figure 1; aOR 1.50 per hour; 95%CI 1.10–2.05). Between trauma centers, median AT ranged from 1–5.6 hours. The MOR for achieving angiography within 90 mins was 2.1, reflecting significant institutional variability in timely access to angiographic resources.

Conclusion: Delays in angiography are associated with increased risk of death in patients with hemorrhagic shock from pelvic bleeding. Nonetheless, significant variability persists between trauma centers in timely access to angiography. Strategies to reduce delays are needed to minimize mortality in this high-risk patient population.

Figure 1. Relationship between AT and mortality in patients with hemorrhagic shock
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THE NOVEL TRAUMA WORK FLOW WITH HYBRID EMERGENCY ROOM SHORTENS THE TIME TO START EMERGENCY SURGERY IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Motohisa Hayashi MD, Takahiro Kinoshita MD, Kazuma Yamakawa MD,Ph.D., Hiroki Matsuda MD, Naoki Nakamoto MD, Satoshi Fujimi MD,Ph.D., Osaka General Medical Center
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Introduction: In August 2011, an interventional radiology - computed tomography (CT) system was installed in our trauma resuscitation room. We named the novel room "Hybrid emergency room (ER)" as it was possible to perform both examinations including X-ray, ultrasonography, and CT, and life-saving procedures including damage control surgery, transarterial embolization, and burr hole craniostomy on the same CT examination and intervention table. Since then, we tried to reduce time to treatment for patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) by using the Hybrid ER.

Methods: This retrospective historical control study, conducted in a tertiary care hospital in Japan from August 2007 to July 2015, included 181 patients with severe TBI (Glasgow coma scale [GCS] ≤ 8) who were transferred directly from the scene. These patients were divided into two groups: Conventional group (from August 2007 to July 2011) and Hybrid ER group (from August 2011 to July 2015). We set the primary endpoint as the time interval from arrival on the trauma resuscitation room to the beginning of emergency operation including burr hole craniostomy, craniotomy, and craniectomy. The secondary endpoints included 28 day mortality and Glasgow outcome scale - extended at 6 months after injury. Unfavorable outcome was defined as death, vegetative state, or severe disability. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to adjust for hemoglobin, prothrombin time - international normalized ratio, injury severity score, and risk of unfavorable outcome calculated by Corticosteroid Randomization After Significant Head injury (CRASH) trial prognostic model.

Results: There were no significant differences between the two groups in age (53 years vs 54 years, \( p = 0.96 \)), admission GCS score (6 vs 5, \( p = 0.38 \)) and risk of unfavorable outcome calculated by CRASH trial prognostic model (0.89 vs 0.88, \( p = 0.64 \)). The time interval between arrival and emergency operation was significantly shorter in the Hybrid ER group (64 minutes vs 48 minutes, \( p < 0.01 \)). After adjusting for confounders, Hybrid ER group had significantly lower 28 day mortality (odds ratio [OR], 0.43; 95 % confidential interval [CI], 0.18−0.96), however, there was no significant difference in the ratio of unfavorable outcome at 6 months (OR, 0.50; 95 % CI, 0.23−1.08).

Conclusion: Hybrid ER may shorten the time to emergency surgery and improve mortality in patients with severe TBI. The efficacy of Hybrid ER on neurological prognosis, however, is still unclear.
POST-DISCHARGE STROKE RISK AFTER BLUNT CEREBROVASCULAR INJURY


Invited Discussant: Walter Biffl, MD

Introduction: Injury to the internal carotid (ICA) or vertebral arteries (VA) as a result of blunt trauma is associated with increased risk of stroke detected during admission. While many strokes occur shortly after injury, it is unknown whether elevated stroke risk persists long-term. The objective of this study was to assess the risk of post-discharge stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) among patients with blunt cerebrovascular injury (BCVI) compared to blunt trauma patients without BCVI.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study of blunt trauma patients hospitalized at our Level I trauma center from 2009-2015. The primary exposure was BCVI diagnosed during index hospitalization, ascertained via radiology review. Unexposed patients were all those who underwent CTA screening within 3 days of hospital arrival, but were not diagnosed with BCVI. Since patients may later receive care at other institutions following discharge from trauma admission, patients were probabilistically linked to statewide hospital discharge and vital statistics records to assess outcomes through 2015. The primary outcome was post-discharge stroke or TIA, ascertained using diagnosis codes in the statewide discharge data. Data were analyzed using Cox proportional hazards and adjusted for Injury Severity Score, maximum head Abbreviated Injury Scale score, and age over 65 years.

Results: 704 BCVI patients and 2,099 control patients were included. Median age was 48 years (IQR 28-65) in both BCVI and control groups. Median ISS was 26 (IQR 14-36) in the BCVI group compared to 19 (IQR 11-29) in the control group. Post-discharge stroke/TIA was identified in 6 (1.3%) of BCVI patients and 31 (2.0%) of control patients. Of the six BCVI patients who developed stroke/TIA, four had isolated VA injuries (grades 1, 2, 2, 4), one had bilateral grade 1 VA injuries, and one had a grade 4 VA injury as well as a grade 1 ICA injury. After adjustment, there was no significant difference in the hazard of stroke/TIA among BCVI patients compared to controls (aHR 0.63, 95% CI 0.26-1.52). Median time to post-discharge stroke was similar: 20.0 months (IQR 2.9-37.2) in BCVI patients (IQR 6.8-38.6) compared to 19.2 months in control patients (p=0.74).

Conclusion: This is the only study to date which assess post-discharge stroke risk after BCVI. In our data, BCVI was not associated with a significant difference in post-discharge stroke/TIA compared to blunt trauma patients with negative screening CTAs. While patient compliance with risk-reducing therapies is unknown, these data suggest that the risk of post-discharge stroke is low and/or that provided treatments are effective.
THE THINK AHEAD SCORE: ADMISSION FRAILTY ASSESSMENT IN HOSPITALIZED ELDERS AT RISK OF DEATH PREDICTS 6 MONTH MORTALITY AFTER A FALL

Christine M. Leeper MD, MS, Elizabeth Lin BS, Matthew Rosengart* MD,MPH, Gregory Watson* MD, UPMC
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Introduction: Falls are common in older adults and are associated with poor prognosis. Frailty is a contributing factor to falls and mortality in geriatric trauma patients. Our objective was to risk stratify patients at increased risk of 6-month mortality after a fall.

Methods: We developed and validated the Think AHEAD Score using our level 1 academic center trauma database. Subjects from 01/01/2013-12/31/2013 were included in the working dataset if >age 65 and mechanism of fall with CT abdomen, height and weight documented. Stepwise regression modeling identified patient and injury characteristics associated with mortality; these comprised the score. We validated the score in a cohort of patients from 01/01/2014-12/31/2014 with the same inclusion criteria. Wilcoxon rank sum and Kaplan-Meier testing were utilized to compare groups. Youden index defined the score cutoff point with highest sensitivity and specificity for mortality prediction.

Results: 445 subjects were included in the working dataset. Patient and injury characteristics associated with mortality, and therefore included in the score, were sarcopenia, age, pre-injury residence in skilled nursing facility, ICU admission, severe traumatic brain injury, and cervical spine fracture. 514 subjects were included in the validation dataset. In this cohort, subjects who died had significantly higher Think AHEAD scores (median(IQR)=6(4-7)) than surviving subjects (median(IQR)=4(3-5))(p<0.001). Each point increase correlated with an increased odds of death of 50%. Scores ≥6 best predicted mortality with an odds ratio of 3.46 (95% CI 2.14-5.60, p<0.001).

Conclusion: The Think AHEAD Score can reliably predict 6-month mortality risk after a fall. Clinicians can utilize this score to identify patients on admission for consultation and therapies (nutrition, home services, palliative care), counseling regarding risks/benefits of interventions, and close interval followup.
VALPROIC TREATMENT CHANGES THE TRANSCRIPTOME OF THE INJURED BRAIN TO ENHANCE NEURONAL PROTECTION
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**Introduction:** Early treatment with valproic acid (VPA) has demonstrated neuroprotective effects in pre-clinical models of traumatic brain injury (TBI), including smaller brain lesion size, decreased brain edema, reduced neurologic disability, and faster recovery. Mechanisms underlying these favorable outcomes are poorly understood, especially at the level of the transcriptome. Given the magnitude of the beneficial effects, we hypothesized that administration of VPA would cause protective changes in the transcriptional signals of injured brain and that these changes could be measured within hours of treatment.

**Methods:** Ten female swine (40-45 kg) were subjected to a standardized protocol of TBI and 40% total blood volume hemorrhage. They were maintained in a state of shock for 2 hours before being resuscitated with (n=5/group) normal saline (NS; 3X volume of shed blood), or NS + VPA (150 mg/kg). Following 6 hours of observation, brain tissue was harvested to evaluate for lesion size and edema. Tissue from the penumbra was processed to isolate RNA, which was subjected to high-throughput RNA-Sequencing data analysis using DESeq2. Gene set enrichment and pathway analysis was performed to determine the differential gene expression patterns following injury.

**Results:** All animals demonstrated comparable degrees of shock and response to resuscitation. No adverse events were associated with VPA treatment. Animals treated with VPA were noted to have a significant reduction in brain lesion size (46% decrease, p = 0.01) and ipsilateral edema (57% decrease, p = 0.01). Analysis of transcripts demonstrated that VPA significantly up-regulated genes involved in morphology of the nervous system (p=1.39E-41; Fisher’s exact test), neuronal development (p=4.37E-39) and neuron quantity (p=5.74E-35). VPA treatment downregulated pathways related to apoptosis (p=5.12E-28), glial cell proliferation (p=6.07E-26), and neuroepithelial cell differentiation (p=2.94E-25). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis identified VPA as the top upstream regulator of activated transcription (p=1.51E-20), supporting it as a direct cause of these transcriptional changes. Master transcriptional regulator NEUROD1 was also significantly upregulated (p=1.78E-19), suggesting that VPA may induce additional transcription factors.

**Conclusions:** Administration of a single dose of VPA attenuated brain lesion size, reduced brain edema, and induced significant changes in the transcriptome of injured brain within 6 hours. Patterns of differential expression were consistent with the proposed neurogenic and pro-survival effects of VPA treatment.
PERIOPERATIVE GLYCEMIC CONTROL AND POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY: WHAT IS THE ROLE OF HBA1C
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Introduction: Plasma Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) reflects quality of glucose control in diabetic patients. Literature reports that patients with an elevated HbA1c level are associated with increased postoperative morbidity and mortality undergoing surgery. The aim of our study was to evaluate the impact of HbA1c level on outcomes in Emergency General Surgery (EGS).

Methods: 3 year analysis of prospectively maintained database of EGS patients was performed. All patients who had HbA1c levels measured within 3 months before surgery were included. Patients were divided into two groups (HbA1c<6 and HbA1c>6) Primary outcome measure was in-hospital major complication, using the Clavien-Dindo complication system (II, III, IV, and V). Multivariate, and linear regression were performed to control for confounders.

Results: 402 patients included in the analysis. Mean age was 61±12 y and 53% were females. 63.8% patients were diabetics. Overall 49% patients had HbA1c ≥ 6% and mortality rate was 6%. Patients with hypertension, history of coronary artery disease, and BMI ≥30kg/m² were more likely to have HbA1c ≥ 6.0%. 19.7% patients experienced major complication. Patients with HbA1c > 6% had higher complication rate (31% vs 9.8%, p<0.001) as compared to HbA1c<6. However there was no difference in mortality between two groups (p=0.63). On multivariate regression analysis, after controlling for confounders, HbA1c ≥ 6.0% (odds ratio= 2.9; 95% CI, 2.5-6.6; p<0.001) and post-op RBS ≥200mg/dl (odds ratio= 2.3; 95% CI, 1.9-3.7; p<0.001) was independent predictor of major complications. Patients with HbA1c≥6.0% and post-op RBS≥200 has higher odds (OR: 4.2 [2.4-6.7]) of developing major complication. After adjusting for confounders, higher HbA1c was independently correlated with higher post-op RBS (b=0.494, [19.7–28.4]). However, there was no correlation with pre-op RBS.

Conclusion: Patients with HbA1c≥6.0% and post-op RBS≥200mg/dl have 4 times higher risk of developing major complications after EGS. Pre-op HbA1c can help stratify patients who are more prone to develop post-op hyperglycemia regardless of their pre-op RBS. Postoperative RBS should be maintained below 200mg/dl.
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A DUAL METHOD APPROACH TO IDENTIFYING INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE WITHIN A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER
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Introduction: Intimate partner violence (IPV) is a serious public health problem leading many health care organizations to recommend universal screening as part of standard health care practice. However, our prior work has demonstrated that the vast majority of IPV victims and perpetrators are unidentified by health care staff. A retrospective review of our trauma registry from 2007 to 2015 identified only 19 cases out of 17649 patients (0.1%) that documented IPV. We sought to enhance the capacity of the urban trauma center to identify IPV using a dual method screening tool, and establish a base-rate of IPV victimization and perpetration among our trauma patients.

Methods: Recruitment of male and female patients age 18 years or older has been underway at our trauma center since February 2015. Participants were given a touch-screen tablet with the Revised Conflict Tactics Scale (CTS-2) to assess IPV victimization and perpetration. IPV was subsequently assessed by a research assistant who interviewed patients in person using the Extended HITS (EHITS) tool. Chi square goodness of fit was calculated to find a correlation between the two measures in identifying positive results. The data were used to determine a base-rate of IPV among this patient population.

Results: Of 368 eligible patients, 138 have been recruited for the study (37.5% response rate). Preliminary analyses of the 138 cases (excluding 6 withdrawals) currently collected are as follows: The CTS-2 elicited overall lifetime and past-year rates of IPV of 82.6% and 72.5% for perpetration, with 80.4% and 73.2% for victimization respectively. Subscales including psychological aggression, physical assault, injury, and sexual coercion had lifetime and past year rates ranging from 29.7% to 81.9% for perpetration and 30.4% to 79.7% for victimization. The EHITS interview elicited a 34.8% rate of IPV among 125 respondents. We used the lifetime measure of physical assault victimization from the CTS to calculate a chi square test for independence with the EHITS tool, which indicated a significant correlation between the two measures $X^2 (1, n = 125) = 12.077, p = .001$.

Conclusion: This study directly compares two methods that identify IPV among male and female trauma patients for both victimization and perpetration. The tablet-based CTS screening demonstrated a higher sensitivity to IPV than the in-person EHITS screening, which is congruent with current literature on IPV disclosure. Our findings provide preliminary evidence to support a proposal to standardize universal IPV screenings in our trauma center. As a result of this study we plan to link screening results to medical record data to identify predictors of patients’ current experiences of psychological and physical IPV. Our ultimate goal is to use these predictors to build a model for identifying patients who are at high risk for IPV victimization or perpetration thereby addressing an important injury prevention strategy.
Introduction: Triaging severely injured trauma patients to accredited trauma centers is essential to improve survival. We sought to determine the percentage of patients meeting trauma criteria who are receiving definitive care at non-trauma centers within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We hypothesized that a substantial proportion of the total trauma population would be undertriaged to non-trauma centers.

Methods: Pennsylvania has been an organized, state-designating trauma system since 1986. All adult (aged ≥15) hospital admissions meeting trauma criteria (ICD-9: 800-959; Injury Severity Score [ISS] >9) from 2003-2015 were extracted from the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council (PHC4) database, and compared to the total trauma volume within the Pennsylvania Trauma Systems Foundation (PTSF) state registry with an ISS >9. PHC4 contains all hospital admissions within the state, whereas PTSF collects data on all trauma cases managed at designated trauma centers (Level I-IV). Total volume within PHC4 was compared to PTSF to determine the percentage of patients meeting trauma criteria who are undertriaged to non-trauma centers. Network Analyst Location-Allocation function in ArcGIS Desktop was used to generate a geospatial representation of undertriage throughout the state.

Results: Within PTSF, 173,010 trauma cases were identified from 2003-2015, while 255,086 cases meeting trauma criteria (ICD-9: 800-959; ISS >9) were found in the PHC4 database over the same timeframe. This suggests that 82,076 trauma cases (33.2% of total trauma volume) were undertriaged to non-trauma centers throughout the state. Visual geospatial analysis suggests regions with limited access to trauma centers comprise the highest proportion of undertriaged trauma patients (Figure 1).

Conclusion: Despite over 30 years of trauma system maturation within the state of Pennsylvania, over a third of severely-injured trauma patients are managed at hospitals outside of the trauma system. Intelligent trauma system design should include an objective process like geospatial mapping rather than the current system which is driven by competitive models of financial and healthcare system imperatives.

Figure 1. Distribution of Undertriage in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
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UNPLANNED INTUBATION IN TRAUMA PATIENTS: DOES IT MATTER?

Jordan T. Lilienstein MD, Preston R. Miller* MD, Amy N. Hildreth* MD, Andrew M. Nunn MD, Wake Forest University School of Medicine
Invited Discussant: Raeanna Adams, MD

Introduction: Unplanned intubation in medical intensive care units is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. However, available small studies in trauma patients suggest reintubation does not increase mortality. The purpose of our study is to determine whether reintubation is associated with morbidity and mortality in the trauma population.

Methods: A review of all intubated trauma patients admitted to a Level I trauma center over a 7 year period was performed. Patients successfully extubated were compared to those requiring reintubation. TQIP/NTDB criteria were used to define reintubation (unplanned replacement of endotracheal tube > 24 hours after extubation). Demographics, disposition, mortality and tracheostomy rate were compared.

Results: Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2016, 2,505 adult trauma patients were intubated with four hundred eighty-eight (19.5%) requiring reintubation. Reintubated patients were older (57.8 vs 46.3, p<0.01), had a higher GCS (12.2 vs 8.1, p<0.01), and more commonly had a history of smoking (31.4% vs 23.8%, p<0.01) while there was no difference in ISS (21.8 vs 23.2, p=0.06) or gender (male 75.8% vs 73.1%, p=0.21). Reintubated patients had more ventilator (11.6 vs 5.1, p<0.01) and ICU days (12.62 vs 5.96, p<0.01), a longer overall stay (24.7 vs 13.9, p<0.01), and a higher rate of tracheostomy (32.0% vs 16.1%, p<0.01). In those surviving to discharge, reintubation was associated with a higher rate of disposition to SNF, LTAC or rehab (60.3% vs 40.6%, p<0.01). On multivariable logistic regression, reintubation independently predicted both need for tracheostomy (OR 2.56, CI 1.99 – 3.28, p < 0.01) and mortality (OR 1.74, CI 1.14 – 2.65, p < 0.01).

Conclusion: Despite earlier reports, these data show that reintubation in trauma patients is associated with increased mortality and need for tracheostomy. Reintubated patients are also more likely to require inpatient skilled care upon hospital discharge. These findings may inform discussions between physicians and family regarding the consequences of reintubation. Future studies should focus on the modifiable factors that influence the need for reintubation.
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CONTEMPORARY TOURNIQUET USE IN EXTREMITY VASCULAR TRAUMA: THE AAST PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL VASCULAR INJURY TREATMENT (PROOVIT REGISTRY)

Sarah-Ashley Ferencz MD, Joseph J. DuBose* MD, Jamie Hennigan MD, Kailey Nolan BS, James B. Sampson MD, Todd E. Rasmussen* MD, Joseph M. Galante* MD, Tiffany Bee MD, Timothy C. Fabian* MD, Jay A. Menaker MD, Thomas M. Scalea* MD, John B. Holcomb* MD, David J. Skarupa MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, John K. Bini* MD, Wright State University

Invited Discussant: Mark Bowyer, MD

Introduction: Correct tourniquet application can be a lifesaving technique prior to definitive surgical treatment of extremity vascular trauma. After World War II, tourniquet use had fallen out of favor due to potential complications such as nerve damage and limb loss. Current guidelines recommend tourniquet use to control hemorrhage from penetrating lower extremity trauma. There are many reports of successful tourniquet use in military conflicts; however, only a few small studies have evaluated their use in the civilian trauma population. We aimed to describe the contemporary use of tourniquets in the management of civilian extremity vascular trauma and evaluate the associated outcomes.

Methods: We reviewed data from the multicenter AAST Prospective Observational Vascular Injury Treatment (PROOVIT) registry from Feb 2013 to Dec 2016. This data included key elements of vascular trauma presentation, diagnosis, management and outcomes. Data was compared with student t-tests and propensity score matching using R software. Controls were matched using the covariates Injury Severity Score, Abbreviated Injury Score of the extremity, initial systolic blood pressure, initial Glasgow Coma Scale score, initial lactate level, and age. Patients with multiple arterial injuries were excluded from analysis.

Results: A total of 623 patients with extremity arterial injuries were included for analysis. Pre-hospital tourniquets were placed in 17.5% of patients with extremity arterial injury. The overall number of amputations following any arterial extremity injury was low, with or without the placement of a tourniquet, and not statistically different when compared to propensity matched controls (tourniquet 0.04 vs control 0.10; p=0.12). There was no statistical difference between the in-hospital mortality rates when tourniquets were used (tourniquet 0.08 vs control 0.04; p=0.18). In patients with brachial artery injuries the use of tourniquets was associated with a reduced average hospital length of stay (11.3 days vs 17.0 days; p=0.23) and average ICU length of stay (3.5 days vs 7.0 days; p=0.04). When compared to controls, tourniquet use did not significantly affect 24-hour packed red blood cell (pRBC) transfusion requirement (tourniquet 7.98 vs control 7.12; p=0.35), need for post-operative therapeutic anticoagulation (tourniquet 0.65 vs control 0.68; p=0.36), or the rate of infection in the affected limb (tourniquet 0.01 vs control 0.02; p=0.45).

Conclusion: The PROOVIT registry shows that in contemporary civilian practice, tourniquets are used for extremity arterial injury in just 17.5% of cases, a rate much lower than previously reported for both civilian and military settings. Tourniquet use was not associated with an increased rate of amputation, in-hospital mortality, 24-hour pRBC transfusion, or subsequent infection in the affected limb when compared to matched controls. There was a statistically significant shorter ICU length of stay in patients who had tourniquets placed for brachial artery injuries. There was also a trend toward shorter hospital length of stay by over 5 days in this group as well, which while not statistically significant, may have important clinical implications.
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TETHERED-LIQUID OMNIPHOBIC SURFACE COATING INHIBITS BLOOD ADHERANCE TO PLASTIC, DELAYS CLOT FORMATION AND REDUCES CLOT STRENGTH IN EX VIVO HUMAN BLOOD

Invited Discussant: Mitchell Cohen, MD

Introduction: Coagulation management is a significant hurdle during extracorporeal life support (ECLS), especially in trauma patients. Anti-thrombogenic surfaces are being developed to prevent clot formation in the circuitry without systemic heparin. We investigated a novel polymer coating for its ability to inhibit blood adherence and prevent thrombus formation. This bilayer coating consists of a covalently bound perfluorocarbon that tethers a mobile, liquid surface layer to create an anti-thrombogenic liquid top-coat, referred to as tethered liquid perfluorocarbon. We hypothesized that application of this coating in thromboelastography (TEG) cups reduces the rate of clot formation and clot strength by inhibiting surface adhesion in ex vivo human blood preparation.

Methods: Standard TEG cups were briefly exposed to low-pressure oxygen plasma (100 W), then immersed in a liquid silane solution, rinsed and dried to covalently attach a perfluorinated layer. Before TEG analysis, a thin layer of liquid perfluorodecalin (PFD Group) or liquid Fluorinert FC70 (FC70 group) was applied to the cups. Uncoated TEG cups were used as a control (CTR Group). As an additional control, liquid perfluorodecalin was applied to standard TEG cups without the silane layer (CTR+LP Group) to account for volume changes. 340 μL of citrated human donor blood (n=10) was added to each cup with 20 μL CaCl₂. Reaction time (R), clot formation rate (K), fibrin formation and thrombin burst (alpha), clot strength (MA), and percent fibrinolysis at 30 min and 60 min (L<30, L<60) were measured. Cups were weighed before addition of blood, and after TEG was complete and non-adherent blood materials were removed by inversion, to determine the adherent clot weight. Statistical tests were conducted using SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) with an alpha = 0.05 for significance. Data are expressed as means ± SEM.

Results: Adherent clot weight was significantly lower in FC70 (8.45±2.37 mg) than PFD (95.7±21.3 mg), and both were lower vs. CTR (279±7.00 mg) and CTR+LP (258±46.0 mg). Clot formation rate was prolonged in PFD and FC70 (out of the limit of detection). Alpha was lower in the PFD and FC70 groups versus controls. MA was significantly decreased in PFD (14.9±6.5 mm) vs. FC70 (8.7±0.9 mm), and both were decreased vs. CTR (54.5±5.7 mm) and CTR+LP (59.6±3.2 mm). LY30 and LY60 were higher in FC70 group vs. CTR and CTR+LP.

Conclusion: Tethered liquid bilayer coatings using PFD and FC70 display omniphobic properties by decreasing surface adhesion of blood, reducing the rate of clot formation and decreasing clot strength. This approach has significant potential as an anti-thrombogenic surface and may enable ECLS without systemic anticoagulation.
THE UTILITY OF ADMISSION FUNCTIONAL VITAL CAPACITY COMPARED TO NUMBER OF RIB FRACTURES IN PREDICTING PATIENT OUTCOMES

Uzer Khan MD, Stanley Wolfe Nicole Cornell MS, CCRC, Alison Wilson* MD, West Virginia University

Invited Discussant: David Blake, MD

Introduction: Rib fractures are common injuries, and their severity has classically been stratified anatomically by using the number of ribs fractured (RF). Our institution instituted a rib fracture care pathway based on an injured patient’s admission forced vital capacity (FVC). Patients are initially stratified by an admission FVC, followed by daily FVC monitoring. The objective of this pilot study was to compare the accuracy of using the anatomic parameter of number of ribs fractured compared to the physiologic parameter of FVC in predicting outcomes.

Methods: This is a retrospective study completed at a single ACS Level 1 trauma center. Data was collected from the institutional trauma registry and patient charts from January 2009 to December 2014. Data included the number of ribs fractured, admission FVC, lowest FVC, length of stay (LOS), AIS, ISS, presence of COPD, pneumonia, and mortality. Patients were excluded if the FVC was not obtained on admission, or if they had a TBI or altered mental status. Other injuries were not excluded. Statistics were performed by a biostatistician using ANOVA and Fisher’s Exact Test.

Results: Data from 1,225 patients were collected and analyzed. An FVC of less than 0.7 was associated with significantly worse mortality. Specifically, in patients who were 60 years of age or older, this increased mortality was demonstrated even when the FVC was less than 0.9 (19.6% vs. 3.0%, p=.0001). Both, decreased FVC (p=.0004) and increased RF (.006) were associated with mortality in this age group. Furthermore, FVC correlated with the occurrence of pneumonia in patients who were 60 years of age or older (p=.01) and with LOS in patients with COPD (p=.02). RF did not correlate with either of these parameters (p=.25 and .29 respectively).

Conclusion: Admission FVC is a useful tool in caring for patients with rib fractures, particularly in the elderly. A FVC<0.9 is associated with a significant risk of death and should prompt careful consideration. FVC can be a helpful adjunct in patients who are 60 years of age or older and in those with COPD. Further evaluation of using FVC in the care of rib fracture patients is warranted.
CRITICAL LEVEL OF PLASMA FIBRINOGEN IN THE EARLY PHASE OF SEVERE BLUNT TRAUMA PATIENTS

Kenta Ishii MD, Koji Idoguchi MD, Yasuaki Mizushima Ph.D., Yasumitsu Mizobata* Ph.D., Tetsuya Matsuoka Ph.D., Osaka Prefectural Senshu Critical Care Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Christine Gaarder, MD

Introduction: In severe trauma patients, hemostatic resuscitation is known as an important part of damage control resuscitation. Fibrinogen is essential for hemostasis and often decreases earlier than other coagulation factors; however, we have little evidence on critical values of fibrinogen in the early phase of trauma care.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed consecutive severe blunt trauma patients (ISS>15) admitted to single trauma center from January 2011 to December 2015. We routinely measure the initial fibrinogen on their emergency department (ED) arrival, and usually measure repeatedly in the early phase of severe trauma patients. The enrolled patients were divided into four groups according to their values of initial fibrinogen or their “minimum value” of fibrinogen within the first 6 hours. We defined “severe” hypofibrinogenemia as less than 100 mg/dL, “moderate” as 100-149 mg/dL, “mild” as 150-199 mg/dL, and “normal” as more than 200 mg/dL. The mortality and standardized mortality ratio (SMR; ratio of recorded mortality to expected mortality by TRISS method) of each groups were calculated and we regarded high SMR as poor survival.

Results: A total of 763 severe blunt trauma patients were enrolled during six years. In-hospital mortality and SMR for all the enrolled patients was 12.7% (97/763) and 0.568 (95%CI 0.469-0.673), respectively. First, we divided them into four groups using their initial fibrinogen. The mortality and SMRs were 70.4% (19/27) and 1.167 (95%CI 0.861-1.473) for the severe hypofibrinogenemia group, 38.5% (20/52) and 0.932 (95%CI 0.606-1.257) for the moderate group, 17.3% (22/127) and 0.646 (95%CI 0.410-0.910) for the mild group, 6.5% (36/557) and 0.361 (95%CI 0.252-0.481) for the normal group, respectively. There was no significant difference in SMR between the severe group and the moderate group or between the moderate group and the mild group. Second, we divided all the enrolled patients into four groups using their minimum value of fibrinogen within first 6 hours. The mortality and SMRs were 62.2% (46/74) and 1.131 (95%CI 0.935-1.329) for the severe group, 18.2% (20/110) and 0.568 (95%CI 0.340-0.796) for the moderate group, 9.6% (16/167) and 0.419 (95%CI 0.236-0.628) for the mild group, 3.6% (15/412) and 0.262 (95%CI 0.139-0.401) for the normal group, respectively. The SMR of the severe group was significantly higher than that of moderate group with statistical significance and there was no significant difference between the moderate group and the mild group.

Conclusion: In severe blunt trauma patients, the level of fibrinogen less than 100mg/dL within first 6 hours is critical. Intervention studies would be required to confirm the effectiveness of maintaining fibrinogen more than 100mg/dL in the early phase of severe trauma.
IS IT IN THE BLOOD? LABORATORY VALUES OF COAGULATION AMONG TRAUMA PATIENTS ON NOAs: RESULTS OF AN AAST-MITC STUDY

Leslie M. Kobayashi* MD, Galinos Barmparas MD, Patrick Bosarge* MD, Carlos V. Brown* MD, Marko Bukur* MD, Matthew M. Carrick* MD, Jan Holly-Nicolas MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Stepehn Kaminski* MD, Raminder Nirula* MD,Ph.D., Tammy Kopelman* MD, Eric J. Ley MD, Jacob Quick MD, Martin Schreiber* MD, Raul Coimbra* MD,Ph.D., AAST Multi-Institutional Trials Committee

Invited Discussant: Luke Leenen, MD, PhD

Introduction: Warfarin is associated with worsened outcomes following trauma, an effect correlated with elevations in international normalized ratios (INR). Reversal of coagulopathy due to warfarin use has been associated with improved outcomes. In contrast, the novel oral anticoagulants (NOAs) such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban have no validated laboratory measure to quantify coagulopathy. It has been suggested that patients on NOAs would have consistently higher than normal aPTT levels. We sought to determine if use of NOAs is associated with elevated aPTT or INR levels among trauma patients or increased clotting times on thromboelastography (TEG).

Methods: This was a post hoc analysis of data from a prospective observational study across 16 trauma centers via the AAST-MITC. Inclusion criteria consisted of any trauma patient admitted on dabigatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban. Demographic data, admission vital signs, mechanism of injury, laboratory values, transfusions, reversal agents, and interventions were collected. Laboratory values at admission were compared between medication groups and before and after correction. Traditional measures of coagulopathy were compared to TEG results utilizing Spearman’s rank coefficient to determine if any correlation existed.

Results: A total of 182 patients on NOA’s were enrolled during the study period 6/2013-7/2015; 50 on dabigatran, 123 on rivaroxaban, and 34 apixaban. INR values were mildly elevated among patients on dabigatran (median 1.3, IQR 1.1, 1.4) and rivaroxaban (median 1.3, IQR 1.1, 1.6) compared to apixaban (median 1.1, IQR 1.0, 1.2). Patients on dabigatran presented with slightly higher than normal aPTT values (median 35 IQR 29.8, 63), while those on rivaroxaban and apixaban did not. Fifty patients had TEG results. Median values for R, Alpha, MA and lysis were within normal limits for all medication groups (Table 1). PT and aPTT had a high correlation in all medication groups (dabigatran p=0.0005, rivaroxaban p<0.0001, apixaban p<0.0001). aPTT correlated with R value on TEG in patients on dabigatran (p=0.0094) and rivaroxaban (p=0.0028) but not apixaban (p=0.2532).

Conclusion: Neither traditional measures of coagulation nor TEG were able to detect clinically significant coagulopathy in patients on NOAs, although aPTT values were slightly higher than normal among patients on dabigatran.

Table 1. Laboratory measures of coagulation among patients on NOAs (Significant values in bold). Normal values: PT=9.7-12.5; aPTT=25-34; R=5-10, Alpha=53-72, MA=50-70, Lysis=0-8%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dabigatran</th>
<th>Rivaroxaban</th>
<th>Apixaban</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR median</td>
<td>1.3 [1.1, 1.4]</td>
<td>1.3 [1.1, 1.5]</td>
<td>1.1 [1.0, 1.2]</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT median</td>
<td>35.0 [29.8, 46.3]</td>
<td>30.4 [27.0, 35.9]</td>
<td>28.7 [25.7, 33.9]</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEG R median</td>
<td>5.3 [3.9, 7.5]</td>
<td>5.6 [4.4, 8.0]</td>
<td>4.4 [4.0, 5.0]</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEG Alpha</td>
<td>69.5 [67.6, 72.6]</td>
<td>70.7 [65.7, 73.8]</td>
<td>71.2 [65.6, 76.4]</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEG MA</td>
<td>66.8 [62.9, 69.8]</td>
<td>67.3 [62.2, 71.0]</td>
<td>67.1 [62.4, 72.5]</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysis</td>
<td>0.0 [0.0-1.5]</td>
<td>0.0 [0.0-1.0]</td>
<td>0.0 [0.0-0.0]</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE TRUE PRICE OF PAKOLOLO: MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH FATALITIES AND UNDERCOMPENSATED CARE ASSOCIATED WITH LEGALIZATION OF MARIJUANA

Susan Steinemann* MD, Walter L. Biffl* MD, Susan E. Biffl MD, Daniel Galanis Ph.D., University of Hawaii

Invited Discussant: Andrew Kerwin, MD

Introduction: Half of U.S. states have legalized medical marijuana (MJ), and some have legalized it for recreational use. The public health effects of these policies are still being evaluated; studies of the impact on motor vehicle crash (MVC) fatalities have been mixed. We hypothesized that medical MJ legalization has been associated with an increase in MJ-related MVC fatalities, and that MJ use is associated with high-risk behavior and poor insurance status.

Methods: Hawaii legalized medical MJ in 2000. Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) data for Hawaii was analyzed for periods before (1995-1999) and after (2000-2014) legalization. Presence of MJ (THC), methamphetamine (METH), and alcohol (ETOH) in fatally injured MVC occupants were compared. Data from the state’s highest level trauma center were also reviewed for THC status from 1997-2013. State Trauma Registry data from 2013-2015 were reviewed to evaluate association between MJ use and risky behaviors (helmet/seatbelt use), as well as payor mix.

Results: Over 90% of fatal crash victims (70/year) are now tested for drugs and ETOH. THC-positivity among MVC fatalities has increased significantly since legalization, with a four-fold increase from the 1995-1999 period to the 2010-2014 period (Figure). In comparison, METH- which has remained illegal- has been present in 5-9% with no statistical change from 1995-2014. ETOH positivity has also remained stable, from 44-53% for each period. The rate of THC-positivity among all injured patients tested at our highest level trauma center increased from 11% before to 20% after legalization. From 2013-2015, THC(+) patients were significantly less likely to have been wearing a seatbelt (57% vs 69%) or helmet (17% vs 42%). They were also significantly more likely to have Medicaid insurance (42% vs 21%) or self-pay (10% vs 6%).

Conclusions: Since legalization of medical MJ in Hawaii, THC positivity among MVC fatalities has quadrupled statewide, and THC positivity among patients presenting to the highest level trauma center has doubled. THC-positive patients are less likely to use protective devices and are less likely to have medical insurance. These findings have implications nationally and underscore the need for further research and policy development to address the public health effects and the costs of increased MJ-related trauma.
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AN ANALYSIS OF INTENSIVE CARE UNIT BOUNCEBACK ON OUTCOMES IN A MATURE TRAUMA SYSTEM

Eric H. Bradburn DO, MS, FACS, Brian W. Gross BS, Alan D. Cook* MD, FACS, Jo Ann Miller MSN, FNP-C, CCRN, TCRN, Patrick K. Kim* MD, FACS, Frederick B. Rogers* MD, MS, FACS Lancaster General Health/Penn Medicine

Invited Discussant: George Velmahos, MD, PhD

Introduction: With the recent birth of the Pennsylvania TQIP Collaborative, statewide data identified unplanned admissions to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) as an overarching issue plaguing the state trauma community. In an effort to better understand the global impact of this unique population, we sought to determine the effect of unplanned ICU admission/readmission on mortality and functional status at discharge (FSD). We hypothesized that ICU bounceback patients would experience increased mortality and decreased FSD compared to a non-bounceback control.

Methods: The Pennsylvania Trauma Outcome Study database was retrospectively queried from 2011-2015 for all patients with an unplanned admission to the ICU/return to the ICU after initial discharge (bounceback). Unadjusted mortality rates and FSD scores were compared between bounceback and non-bounceback ICU counterparts. A multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model assessed the adjusted impact of bounceback on mortality, and a generalized linear mixed-model measured the impact of bounceback on FSD.

Results: A total of 2,070 bounceback patients were identified from 2011-2015 (2,070/72,331 ICU admissions [3%]). Compared to the non-bounceback ICU population, bounceback patients had a significantly lower mean FSD score (15.0±4.6 vs. 17.0±3.9; p<0.001) and a significantly higher mortality rate (12% vs. 8%; p<0.001). In adjusted analysis, bounceback was associated with an 88% increased odds ratio for mortality (AOR: 1.88, 95%CI: 1.60-2.21; p<0.001). Bounceback remained significantly associated with reduced FSD in adjusted analysis (AOR: 0.22, 95%CI: 0.18-0.27; p<0.001) (Table 1).

Conclusion: Unplanned admission/readmission to the ICU is associated with worse outcomes in trauma patients. These findings emphasize the usefulness of Collaboratives in identifying statewide issues in need of performance improvement within mature trauma systems.

Table 1. Adjusted Odds Ratios for Mortality and Functional Status at Discharge with Intensive Care Unit Bounceback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mortality AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Functional Status at Discharge AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bounceback</td>
<td>1.88 (1.60-2.21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.22 (0.18-0.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 20 and under</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.04 (0.88-1.23)</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.89 (0.79-1.01)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.20 (1.10-1.51)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.63 (0.56-0.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.43 (2.11-2.79)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.38 (0.34-0.42)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.10 (4.45-5.80)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.18 (0.16-0.21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.0 (8.74-11.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.08 (0.07-0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>16.3 (14.4-18.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03-0.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 and over</td>
<td>20.7 (17.7-24.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01-0.01)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TISS-28</td>
<td>1.46 (1.43-1.48)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.61 (0.60-0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>0.79 (0.78-0.79)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.31 (1.30-1.32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>0.90 (0.89-0.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00-1.01)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Year</td>
<td>0.98 (0.95-1.00)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97-1.01)</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUCROC: 0.87
POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER AFTER INJURY: MECHANISM BUT NOT INJURY SEVERITY MATTERS

Invited Discussant: Thomas Esposito, MD, MPH

Introduction: Traumatic injury is strongly associated with long-term mental health disorders but there is little understanding of the psychiatric illness that develops after traumatic injury. We report on a multi-institutional collaboration to collect long-term patient-centered outcomes after trauma including screening for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The objective of this study is to determine the incidence of and risk factors for the development of PTSD following traumatic injury.

Methods: Adult trauma patients with moderate-severe injuries [ISS ≥9], admitted to three Level I trauma centers were identified retrospectively and screened at 6- or 12-months post-injury for PTSD using the Breslau scale. Patients were divided into three groups by mechanism: Fall, Road Traffic Injury (RTI), and Penetrating trauma. Incidence of PTSD within each group was determined. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to determine the association of injury severity, age, and sex on the development of PTSD.

Results: 477/735 (65%) patients contacted completed the PTSD screen. Overall 23% screened positive for PTSD but this differed significantly by mechanism with the lowest incidence after a fall at 16% and highest after penetrating trauma at 65% (Table). Injury severity was not associated with PTSD for any group. Younger age was associated with a higher incidence of PTSD following a fall [OR: 0.96, CI: 0.95-0.98]. Both younger age [OR: 0.98, CI: 0.96-0.99] and female sex [OR: 2.1, CI: 1.1-4.2] were associated with a higher incidence of PTSD following a RTI. Neither age nor sex was associated with PTSD following penetrating trauma. Only 25% of patients who screened positive for PTSD were receiving treatment at the time of the survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall (n=264)</th>
<th>RTI (n=176)</th>
<th>Penetrating Trauma (n=37)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs, mean (SD)</td>
<td>66 (17)</td>
<td>44 (18.6)</td>
<td>31 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, white</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.9 (5.9)</td>
<td>16 (8.7)</td>
<td>17 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS (days), median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (3-7)</td>
<td>5 (3-8)</td>
<td>8 (4-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PTSD screening</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISS: Injury severity score; LOS: length of stay

Conclusion: PTSD is common after traumatic injury and the incidence varies significantly by injury mechanism but is not associated with injury severity. Few patients who screen positive for PTSD following injury are receiving treatment.
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TRAUMA RESILIENCE AND RECOVERY PROGRAM (TRRP): AN INTERDISCIPLINARY, TECHNOLOGY ENHANCED APPROACH TO IDENTIFICATION AND REFERRAL FOR TREATMENT FOR TRAUMA PATIENTS AT A LEVEL 1 TRAUMA CENTER

Samir M. Fakhry* MD, Kenneth J. Ruggiero Ph.D., Tatiana M. Davidson Ph.D., Brian E. Bunnell Ph.D., Pamela L. Ferguson Ph.D., Jennifer Winkelmann MS, James McElligott MD, Medical University of South Carolina

Invited Discussant: Grace Rozycki, MD, MBA

Introduction  Progress in trauma care has focused on pre-hospital and hospital settings. Many trauma patients report emotional/psychological distress after injury (19-42%) and these are associated with deficits in physical recovery, social functioning and quality of life. Relatively few US trauma centers (TCs) monitor and address non-physical recovery. We describe an innovative interdisciplinary technology assisted approach to identification and referral for treatment of patients at a Level 1 TC.

Methods: Patients admitted to our level 1 TC (9/1/2015 to 8/31/2016) were approached for inclusion in a 5 step process (Figure).

Results: 510 of 914 inpatients were approached (55%); 16% ≤ age 17, 29.9% female. In year one of operation, we completed 30 day mental health screens in 299, offered mental health treatment to 9 (72 by telehealth) and completed 200 treatment visits (160 by telehealth). Over 95% of patients approached in-hospital agreed to enroll in 30-day screening. 42% of enrolled patients screened positive for PTSD and/or depression. The age group with the highest proportion of positive screens was 28 to 59 (54.3%).

Conclusions: This work demonstrates the feasibility and acceptance of an early intervention program designed to identify and provide follow-up evidence based services to patients who experience clinically elevated mental health difficulties after trauma. We found a high prevalence of PTSD and depression after discharge and high engagement in each level of service we provided. Because emotional/psychological health after injury is associated with improved productivity and long-term outcomes, TCs should adopt similar organized, broadly-based approaches to ensure optimal long-term outcomes.
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MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHM PREDICTS SUCCESSFUL FASCIAL CLOSURE AFTER TRAUMA LAPAROTOMY  
Rondi B. Gelbard MD, Seth A. Schobel Ph.D., Christopher J. Dente* MD, Bryan C. Morse MD, MS, Anuradha Subramanian* MD, Peter M. Rhee* MD, Timothy G. Buchman MD,Ph.D., Allan D. Kirk MD,Ph.D., Eric A. Elster MD, Emory University School Of Medicine  
Invited Discussant: Eleanor Winston, MD  

Introduction: Predicting outcomes of abdominal wall closure following trauma laparotomy remains a challenging endeavor. Bayesian models using biomarker expression have been studied in combat-related injuries to predict wound healing outcomes and direct wound management. Based on our previous research, procalcitonin (ProCT) levels appear to differ among patients that fail fascial closure versus those that heal successfully. We sought to develop a clinical model using machine learning techniques (Bayesian network analysis and random forest modeling) to predict the ability to achieve fascial closure after trauma laparotomy.  

Methods: All patients undergoing exploratory laparotomy for blunt or penetrating trauma between September 2014 and June 2016 at a Level 1 trauma center were included. Serum and peritoneal fluid was collected at the initial laparotomy and all subsequent abdominal operations. Luminex and ProCT assays were performed on all specimens. A multiclass model was created to predict the outcomes of 3 groups of patients: 1) those managed with damage control laparotomy without achieving fascial closure, 2) those that achieved successful fascial closure and 3) those who dehisced after fascial closure. Constraint-based and local discovery learning algorithms from the “bnlearn” R package were used. The full dataset was then searched with a Bayesian network algorithm for a reduced variable set to build into the multiclass model. All models were assessed by receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves and areas under curve (AUC).  

Results: Seventy-five patients were enrolled during the study period (67.7% penetrating, 33.3% blunt injury). Of these, 17.3% were managed with damage control laparotomy without achieving fascial closure, 73.3% underwent successful fascial closure, and 9.3% dehisced after fascial closure. There was no significant difference in age, gender, injury severity score, or initial base deficit among groups. The Bayesian network search algorithm selected Peritoneal Lavage ProCT, Serum ProCT, Peritoneal Lavage Interleukin (IL) 17 and Serum IL4. These variables were used to train a random forest classification model that performed well (Kappa 0.41). The model accuracy rate of 0.64 outperformed the no information rate of 0.48. The sensitivity, specificity, and AUC for the 3 groups are summarized in Figure 1. Predicting successful fascial closure had the highest sensitivity (73%), while predicting failure of fascial closure had the highest specificity (92%). Comparing the AUC between groups revealed that predicting successful fascial closure performs the best compared to the other groups.  

Conclusion: Bayesian modeling using biomarkers can predict the success or failure of fascial closure after trauma laparotomy. This multiclass model best predicts which patients will undergo successful primary fascial closure. These findings allow for the development of clinical decision support tools to individualize management of injured patients undergoing trauma laparotomy.  

Figure 1. ROC curve plots for: (A) failed fascial closure, (B) open abdomens, and (C) healed closure.
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RETHINKING OUR DEFINITION OF OPERATIVE SUCCESS: PREDICTING EARLY MORTALITY FOLLOWING EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY COLON RESECTION

Michael P. DeWane MD, Adrian A. Maung* MD, Kevin M. Schuster* MD,MPH, Kimberly A. Davis* MBA,MD, Robert D. Becher MD, MS Yale School of Medicine

Invited Discussant: David Skarupa, MD

Introduction: The pre-and post-operative care of emergency general surgery patients can be fraught with uncertainty. Although risk calculators exist, there is limited data to inform decisions on the appropriateness of operative intervention, and the likelihood of perioperative adverse outcome. Examination of the temporal trends in mortality following emergent colon resection may help inform complex perioperative decision making. We hypothesized that pre-operative risk factors could be identified to predict early post-operative mortality and better inform decisions to operate.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study investigated the timing of postoperative mortality and patient characteristics associated with early mortality after emergent colon resection utilizing the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database during years 2012 to 2014. The cohort was stratified into three groups: early death (postoperative day 0 to 4), late death (postoperative day 5 to 30), and those who survived past 30 days. Multivariable logistic regression was utilized to explore independent preoperative and postoperative characteristics associated with early death. Kaplan-Meier models and Cox regression analyses were used to determine at which time point postoperatively these factors had a significant effect on early mortality.

Results: A total of 18,803 patients were analyzed. The overall 30-day mortality was 12.5%, and of those 37.1% (899) were early deaths. The preoperative risk factor most predictive of early death was preoperative septic shock (Odds Ratio [OR] 3.62, p<0.0001). Others included ventilator dependence (OR 2.81, p<0.0001), ascites (OR 1.63, p=0.0006), preoperative dialysis dependence (OR 1.61, p=0.0004), preoperative sepsis (OR 1.46, p=0.0032) and dependent functional status (OR: 1.25, p=0.0308). Postoperative complications associated with early death included pulmonary embolism (PE; OR 5.78, p<0.0001), postoperative septic shock (OR 4.45, p<0.0001) and new onset renal failure (OR 1.886, p<0.0001). Of the 2,710 patients with preoperative septic shock, 52% continued to have shock in the early postoperative period. These patients with both pre-and post-operative shock had an overall mortality rate of 47% with over half of all deaths occurring in the early period. Those patients in shock who didn’t die in the early period improved their chances of survival by 36%.

Conclusion: Nearly 40% of patients who die after emergent colon resection experience early death, between post-operative day 0 and 4. Early mortality is influenced by multiple factors, most prominently septic shock. Postoperative complications are additional compounding drivers of early mortality; preventing these complications could potentially improve outcomes. Patients with septic shock are at very high operative risk, though those who survive to 5 days post-operatively have an improved probability of survival. These results demonstrate a clear pattern in the temporal trends of mortality, which may inform perioperative patient and family discussions and complex management decisions.
NOTES
CONTEMPORARY TIMING OF TRAUMA MORTALITIES

James M. Bardes MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Morgan Schellenberg MD, Daniel Grabo* MD, Aaron Strumwasser MD, Damon Clark MD, Kazuhide Matsushima MD, Demetrios Demetriades* MD, LAC+USC Medical Center

Invited Discussant: Joaquim Havens, MD

Introduction: The distribution of trauma deaths was classically described as trimodal. With advances in both technology and trauma systems, this was re-evaluated, and found to be bimodal in the early 2000s. This study aims to evaluate the distribution of trauma deaths after the widespread adoption of damage control surgery, damage control resuscitation and modern ICU algorithms.

Methods: The study includes all traumatic deaths from the NTDB (2007-2014). Burn patients were excluded. Hospital length of stay was equated to time until death. Data was collected to include demographics, mechanism of injury (blunt vs. penetrating), ISS and AIS scores.

Results: During the study period 154,845 deaths were identified. Mean age was 55±24.9, 67% male. Penetrating trauma accounted for 24,052 (15.5%) deaths, and blunt trauma for the remaining 130,793 (84.5%). Within the first four hours 13.7% of all deaths occurred, and by twelve hours 25.1% had occurred. When severe head injuries are removed, 20% of deaths occurred within the first four hours and 29.9% occurred by twelve hours. In penetrating trauma, 69.2% of deaths occurred within 24 hours, however in blunt trauma only 29.3% occurred within 24 hours. In penetrating trauma 37.3% occurred within the first four hours, and by twelve hours, 55.9%. In blunt trauma 10.0% died within the first 4 hours, and by twelve hours 20.2%. The distribution of deaths was similar when patients were analyzed for injury severity (ISS >15 or <15), and for severe injuries (AIS>4) to the chest, abdomen, or head. Distribution was similar across individual years. Unlike historical data, this contemporary analysis shows the distribution of death falls rapidly after the first 24 hours and continues to be flat for 30 days.

Conclusions: The contemporary distribution of trauma deaths no longer appears to be bimodal. The historical second peak, at approximately 1-3 weeks post trauma has disappeared. This may reflect advances in blood product resuscitation, limiting crystalloid use, damage control surgery, and the uniform implementation of evidence based critical care management principles. Early mortality, however, remains a significant challenge. Primary prevention and early hemorrhage control must continue to be a focus of trauma systems.
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**IMPACT OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON COMMUNITY CONSULTATION IN EXCEPTION FROM INFORMED CONSENT CLINICAL TRIALS**

John A. Harvin* MD, Jeanette M. Podbielski RN, Laura E. Vincent RN, Ethan A. Taub DO, Sasha D. Adams* MD, Michelle K. McNutt* MD, Laura J. Moore* MD, Lillian S. Kao* MD, Charles E. Wade* Ph.D., John B. Holcomb* MD, University of Texas Health Science Center-Houston

Invited Discussant: Jamie Coleman, MD

**Introduction:** Exception from informed consent (EFIC) by obtaining community consultation (CC) allows clinician scientists to perform emergency research. EFIC and CC methods vary depending upon local Institutional Review Boards (IRB). We aim to determine the impact of the inclusion of a social media (SoMe) campaign on the CC process.

**Methods:** The time to IRB approval, number of CC meetings, people reached, and cost of CC meetings for four prospective, randomized, EFIC trials were compared. Costs were conservatively estimated using the time personnel took to perform CC meetings, with the following estimates: 1.5 hours per meeting, $33.65/hour salary for research coordinator/IRB member and $144.23/hour for principal investigator. People were considered reached by SoMe if they spent ≥1 minute on the study website.

**Results:** Overall EFIC costs for the four trials were: Early Whole Blood (EWB) $6,486, Pragmatic Randomized Optimal Platelet and Plasma Ratios (PROPRR) $6,462, Prehospital Tranexamic Acid for Traumatic Brain Injury (TXA) $4,361, and Damage Control Laparotomy Trial (DCL) $4,250. Only DCL utilized SoMe. The table lists results of CC from the 4 trials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Time to IRB Approval (months)</th>
<th>CC Meetings</th>
<th>Number of CC Meetings for: PI / RC</th>
<th>People at CC Meetings</th>
<th>People Reached by SoMe</th>
<th>Total People Reached</th>
<th>Cost per Person Reached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EWB</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11 / 14</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>$23.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPRR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 / 14</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>$24.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6 / 12</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>$22.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 / 6</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>$11.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PI – principal investigator; RC – research coordinator*

**Conclusion:** Adapting the EFIC process to include a SoMe campaign was associated with a 50% increase in potential patients reached, a 26% reduction in total cost, and a 51% reduction in cost per person reached. SoMe appears to be a valuable adjunct to performing CC in emergency research using EFIC.
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THREE SEQUENTIAL REBOA CATHETERS FOR VASCULAR EXCLUSION OF THE LIVER: A HEMORRHAGE CONTROL STRATEGY IN JUXTAHEPATIC VENA CAVA INJURIES

JOAO B. REZENDE-NETO* MD,Ph.D., Ghassan Al-Kefeiri MD, Matt Strickland MD, Vikram Prabhudesai MD, Sandro Rizoli* MD,Ph.D., St. Michael's Hospital

Introduction: Injuries to the retrohepatic inferior vena cava (RH-IVC) and juxtahepatic IVC (JH-IVC) have a mortality rate in the order of 80% despite several treatment options. Surgeons are confronted with a combination of challenges including hemodynamic instability, difficult exposure, and rapid exsanguination. Thus, temporary hemorrhage control is important prior to surgical exploration. There is evidence that hepatic vascular exclusion could potentially facilitate injury repair. The emergence of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) resulted in renewed interest in the use of this technology to temporize traumatic hemorrhage. We hypothesized that sequential deployment of three REBOA devices, inserted in the aorta and in the inferior vena cava, and application of the Pringle maneuver would provide complete hepatic vascular exclusion.

Methods: Five swine underwent intravascular hemodynamic monitoring, cutdown of the femoral vessels, and splenectomy. Three REBOA devices were positioned under fluoroscopic guidance in the thoracic aorta, suprahepatic IVC, and infrahepatic IVC above the renal veins. Shock was induced by blood withdrawal to a target of 30% of the total blood volume in 20 minutes. Subsequently, complete hepatic vascular exclusion was performed in the following sequence: inflation of the aortic balloon, followed by Pringle maneuver, inflation of the balloon in the infrahepatic IVC, and ultimately inflation of the balloon in the suprahepatic IVC. Hemodynamic parameters and laboratory tests were recorded after 15 minutes of liver isolation. Afterward, hepatic revascularization was performed by: deflation of the balloon in the suprahepatic IVC, deflation of the infrahepatic IVC balloon, release of the Pringle, and deflation of the aortic balloon. Subsequently, hepatic vascular exclusion was performed again in the presence of an injury to the JH-IVC (Figure).

Results: Hepatic vascular exclusion by sequential inflation of three REBOA devices and Pringle maneuver effectively temporized the bleeding from the JH-IVC injury. Hepatic vascular exclusion significantly increased MAP compared to shock (40±3.7mmHg vs. 68.4±3.8mmHg, p 0.0001), and continued after the injury to the JH-IVC (66±5.8mmHg, p 0.0001). Hepatic vascular exclusion did not aggravate shock assessed by pH, lactate and base excess, as well as additional hemodynamic parameters CVP and heart rate (p>0.05). Deflation of the balloons led to immediate exsanguination from the JH-IVC (10mmHg ± 7.5mmHg) at 1min after balloon deflation.

Conclusion: Sequential deployment of three REBOA devices combined with the Pringle maneuver enabled total vascular exclusion of the liver and effectively temporized hemorrhage from a juxtahepatic IVC injury. This procedure is potentially less invasive than other strategies previously described.
PREPERITONEAL PELVIC PACKING FOR THE TREATMENT OF HEMODYNAMICALLY UNSTABLE PELVIC TRAUMA: FIVE YEARS EXPERIENCE WITH A TIMELY AND EFFICIENT TOOL.

Stefano Magnone* MD, Marco Ceresoli MD, Federico Coccolini MD, Luca Ansaloni MD, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital

Introduction: Hemodynamically unstable pelvic trauma has been a great challenge for a long time even in most experienced Trauma Centers. Most of them still consider angiography as the first option to treat these patients. Preperitoneal Pelvic Packing (PPP) is another option. In 2011 PPP was introduced in our Hospital as the first maneuver, with or without External Fixation (EF). Aims of this study are to review time to treatment and mortality in this group of patients.

Methods: A retrospective review of our database was performed from September 2011 to December 2016. Patients with hemodynamic instability (defined as Systolic Blood Pressure SBP < 90 mmHg at the arrival in the Emergency Department, ED, or during the initial phase of resuscitation) and a pelvic or acetabular fracture were included. Values were expressed in median and interquartile range (IR). Continuous variables were compared with Mann-Whitney test.

Results: In the index period, we treated 34 patients (25 males and 9 females). Median age was 51 years (40-65) and Injury Severity Score (ISS) 37 (34-43). SBP in the ED was 90 (67-99), heart rate was 115 (90-130), Base Excess -8 (-11.5/-4.8), pH 7.23 (7.20-7.27). First 24 hours transfusion rate was 13 U (8-18.8) of packed red blood cell, 9 U (4-15) of fresh frozen plasma and 2 U (1-3) of platelets. Length of stay in the ED was 58 min (30-130) and time to emergency treatment was 66 min (54-160). 31 (91.2%) patients underwent PPP, while in 2 external fixation was sufficient to control bleeding and in another one angiography was the only procedure. Time to PPP was 63 min (51-113). 17 patients (54.8%) underwent angiography after PPP for persistent instability and 11 of these (35.5%) underwent therapeutic embolization. Early mortality was 26.5%, (8 due to physiologic exhaustion and one to traumatic brain injury). All patients died within the first 24 hours from trauma. There were not significant differences between survivors and non-survivors groups. (Tab. 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Nonsurvivors</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51 (40-63)</td>
<td>62 (42-80)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure in the ED</td>
<td>90 (72-103)</td>
<td>70 (60-95)</td>
<td>0,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in the ED (min)</td>
<td>59 (33-150)</td>
<td>48 (22-113)</td>
<td>0,42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to intervention</td>
<td>68 (59-187)</td>
<td>62 (50-115)</td>
<td>0,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Severity Score</td>
<td>38 (34-43)</td>
<td>36 (34-42)</td>
<td>0,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 24 hours PRBC Units</td>
<td>11 (7-18)</td>
<td>17 (9-20)</td>
<td>0,36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED Emergency Department; PRBC Packed Red Blood Cells

Tab. 1 Characteristics of survivors and nonsurvivors

Conclusion: Hemodynamically unstable pelvic trauma remains a big challenge for trauma centers. In our experience PPP proved to be quick to achieve even by the single surgeon in charge. No death occurred from direct pelvic bleeding.
AFTER THE EMBO: PREDICTING NON-HEMORRHAGIC INDICATIONS FOR SPLENECTOMY AFTER ANGIOEMBOLIZATION IN BLUNT TRAUMA PATIENTS

John F. Bilello* MD, Victoria Sharp DO, Rachel Dirks Ph.D., Krista Kaups* MD, James W. Davis* MD, UCSF Fresno

Introduction: Successful non-operative management (NOM) of blunt splenic trauma has increased with the use of splenic angioembolization (SAE); however, patients may still require splenectomy (SPLEN) post-SAE for total splenic infarction and/or abscess. Prompt recognition of this complication may be challenging. We hypothesized that changes in laboratory values indicating complete splenic infarction may expedite the management of these patients.

Methods: Trauma patients admitted to an ACS verified Level 1 trauma center, from 1/07-1/17, who underwent SAE were identified from the trauma registry. Patients with successful NOM after SAE (SAE/NOM) were compared to those requiring splenectomy (SAE/SPLEN). Data included demographics, splenic injury grade, ISS, time to SAE and splenectomy, ICU and hospital LOS, and CBC values. Laboratory values were analyzed immediately post-SAE (time1), and day#5 post-SAE (or day of discharge) for SAE/NOM patients or day of splenectomy for SAE/SPLEN patients (time2). Data was analyzed using Mann Whitney U and Chi square tests with significance attributed to p<0.05.

Results: 116 patients underwent SAE; one patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and splenomegaly was excluded. 16 (14%) later required SPLEN for infarction/abscess, at a median of 5 days post SAE (IQR: 4-10 days). No differences existed between SAE/SPLEN and SAE/NOM patients in age, gender, ISS, or grade of splenic injury. SAE/SPLEN patients had longer hospital LOS (24 vs 10, p = 0.001). WBC, PLT, and PLT/WBC ratio did not differ between the groups at time1. At time2, WBC was higher and PLT/WBC ratio was lower in SAE/SPLEN patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAE / NOM (n = 99)</th>
<th>SAE / SPLEN (n = 16)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>23 ± 11</td>
<td>25 ± 13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen injury grade</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>42 (42%)</td>
<td>10 (62%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC time2</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>19 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT/WBC time2</td>
<td>23 ± 15</td>
<td>16 ± 10</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔPLT (time2-time1)</td>
<td>51 ± 88</td>
<td>146 ± 175</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔWBC (time2-time1)</td>
<td>-3 ± 7</td>
<td>3 ± 8</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Patients requiring splenectomy for abscess/infarction after SAE develop significant leukocytosis and thrombocytosis, and the PLT/WBC ratio is indicative of total splenic infarction. Monitoring of these parameters allows for more prompt diagnosis and operative intervention.
LEAKY LIVERS? ROUTINE HIDA AS A SCREENING TOOL FOR BILIARY LEAK IN HIGH-GRADE LIVER LACERATIONS

Joseph S. Farhat MD, Loren Bach MD, Jonathan Gipson MD, Michaela A. West* MD,Ph.D., North Memorial Health Care

Introduction: Bile leak is a serious complication of major liver injury that can result in substantial morbidity and even death. Our Level 1 Trauma Center instituted early (48-72 hours post-injury) routine HIDA scans in grade 4-5 liver lacerations to screen for bile leaks. We hypothesized that routine HIDA scans would identify subclinical bile leaks that could be prospectively managed to minimize complications.

Methods: The trauma registry was used to retrospectively identify all patients (pts) with grade 4-5 liver lacerations from blunt trauma between July 2011 and Dec 2016. Medical records were reviewed to identify pts who underwent screening HIDA scans. Total bilirubin, Injury Severity Score (ISS), interventions, and length of stay (LOS) were recorded. Pts with positive HIDA scan (HIDA+) were compared to those with negative HIDA scan (HIDA-). Mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. Statistical analysis was performed by Student t-test or Chi-square.

Results: We identified 35 pts with blunt grade 4-5 liver lacerations during the study period. Seven pts were excluded (4 required emergent exploratory laparotomy, 1 left AMA, 2 pts did not get screening HIDA), leaving 28 pts who met inclusion criteria. Study pts were severely injured, with a mean ISS of 27. HIDA scans were completed on median post-injury day 3. Of the 28 HIDA scans performed, 5 (17.8%) were positive for bile leak. All 5 pts with HIDA+ underwent ERCP, also had laparoscopic washout, and 2 required IR drainage. No HIDA- pts required additional interventions. HIDA+ pts had significantly higher total bilirubin and a greater hospital LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>ISS (± SD)</th>
<th>T Bili (± SD)</th>
<th>LOS (± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIDA-</td>
<td>23 (82.1%)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 8.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIDA+</td>
<td>5 (17.8%)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = NS, * p < 0.05 vs HIDA-

Conclusion: We showed that HIDA scans were a useful tool in high-grade blunt liver lacerations to aid in early identification of bile leak. Patients with HIDA+ were able to undergo ERCP, biliary stenting, washout, and/or IR drainage prior to overt clinical signs of biliary leak or bile peritonitis. We also found that early negative HIDA scans seemed to reliably identify patients without bile leak after high grade liver trauma.
**Introduction:** The non-operative (NOM) management for splenic injury is now the preferred choice by virtue of its feasibility in almost all splenic injuries as well as of the avoidance of short term and long term splenectomy complications. The efficacy of non-operative management in less severely injured patients is clear, however its feasibility in more severely and multiply injured patients is unclear. We sought to determine the outcomes of the current splenic injury management schema based on injury severity.

**Methods:** We retrospectively analyzed patients admitted with a splenic injury between 2006 and 2016 in the Trauma Quality Improvement Program (TQIP) database. They were stratified into three groups based on their injury severity scores (ISS) and grouped based on their treatment modalities (NOM, immediate splenectomy and delayed splenectomy). Immediate splenectomy was determined as being initiated within two hours of ED admission and delayed as more than two hours after ED admission. Statistical significance (p< 0.05) was established through the Kruskal-Wallis H test for continuous data and the Pearson’s Chi-Square test for nominal data.

**Results:** 1868 subjects were included, of those, 798 were in the first stratum (ISS of 25 or below), 972 were in the second stratum (ISS of 26-50) and 98 were in the third stratum (ISS 51-75). The first stratum had an overall mortality rate of 1.5%, the second a rate of 10.2% and the third with a rate of 28.4%. Within the first stratum, the NOM had a lower mortality rate when compared to immediate splenectomy and delayed splenectomy (1.1% vs 7.1% vs. 8.7%, p=0.005) as well as the lowest complication rate (8.6% vs 42.9% vs 34.8% p<0.001) and lowest average length of stay (5.3 vs 9.9 vs 8.8 days, p<0.001). In the second stratum (ISS 26-50), NOM has a lower mortality (7.2% vs 20.8% vs 18.1%, p<0.001), average length of stay (12.5 vs 17.5 vs 17.4 days, p<0.001) and incidence rate of total complications (40.3% vs 92.9% vs 81.9%, p<0.001). A statistical significance was not established for the analyses performed on the third stratum (ISS 51-75).

**Conclusion:** This data demonstrates that non-operative management of splenic injury with an ISS of 50 or less is not only feasible but is beneficial. The NOM populations for both the lower severity and the multiply/severely injured have lower rates of mortality, lower incidence rates of complications and lower average lengths of stay when compared against patients undergoing immediate splenectomy. Employing the TQIP dataset encompassed all non-operative treatment algorithms short of splenectomy. While we recognize that there are a subset of patients in whom splenectomy is needed for resuscitation, this implies every effort to avoid splenectomy should be employed in all others even with severe associated injuries. Our data set has small sample sizes of delayed splenectomy patients making that data of limited value, however the robust populations of NOM and immediate splenectomy patients support these conclusions. We however could not define a benefit for NOM in the highest severity patients from this dataset.
REFINING INDICATIONS FOR SPLENIC EMBOLIZATION: DID WE OVERDO IT?
Gail T. Tominaga* MD, Imad S. Dandan* MD, Fady Nasrallah MD, James G. Schwendig MD, Marc Sedwitz MD, Kathryn B. Schaffer MPH, Dunya Bayat BA, Jiayian Wang BS, Tala H. Dandan BA, Melanie Gawlik RN, Scripps Memorial Hospital La Jolla

Introduction: Non-operative management (NOM) of splenic lacerations in hemodynamically stable patients has become the standard of practice. Splenic embolization (SE) has been a useful adjunct to NOM but the frequency of its use varies by trauma center. There has been debate on which patients may actually benefit from SE as observation (OBS) alone may be sufficient. We established a SE protocol in 1999 and after a 6 year review, the protocol was revised in attempt to identify those patients most likely to benefit from SE. This study compared SE results between two cohorts of patients pre- and post- SE protocol revision.

Methods: All traumatic splenic injury patients seen at one urban Level II Trauma Center were reviewed. We compared results with SE during two time periods, 1999-2005 (Grp I) and 2010-2015 (Grp II). Our SE protocol during 1999-2005 included patients with splenic laceration (SpLac) on CT with contrast extravasation (CE) and patients with SpLac on CT with moderate to large hemoperitoneum without CE were discussed with the Interventional Radiologist with selective SE. The SE protocol excluded patients with SBP < 100mmHg in the Trauma Room. From 2010-2015, the protocol excluded patients with large hemoperitoneum and no CE from the splenic injury. Medical records were reviewed for demographics and outcome data. Treatments groups were defined as Operative (Op) if they had splenectomy (SP) or splenorrhaphy (SY); NOM if they had OBS alone or SE.

Results: There were similar number of patients in each group with similar breakdown in OP and NOM. There were significantly less patients undergoing primary SE in Grp II (41 patients in Grp II vs. 77 patients in Grp I, p<0.0001) with similar splenic salvage rate for NOM (93.7% in Grp I vs. 96.8% in Grp II, p=0.1683). Failed SE requiring splenectomy was similar between groups (7.9% for Grp I vs. 10.0% for Grp II, p=0.7559).

Conclusions: Despite decreasing the use of primary SE from 37.5% to 18.6% for NOM of splenic injuries we were able to maintain a high splenic salvage rate with no difference in mortality. Refining the indications for SE resulted in a similar splenic salvage rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grp I</th>
<th>Grp II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age in years</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Males</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ISS</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LOS in days</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP (number of patients)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOM (number of patients)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary OBS</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary SE</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed OBS requiring SP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed SE requiring SP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SE</td>
<td>1.7 Units</td>
<td>2.2 Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRBCs transfused in 1st 24 hrs for SE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MODERN MANAGEMENT OF PANCREATIC INJURIES IN THE CANADIAN TRAUMA SYSTEM

EMILIE JOOS MD, Kelly Vogt MD, Chad Ball* MD, Msc, Lawrence Gillman MD, Jagadish Rao MD, MSc, Vincent Trottier MD, Sandy Widder MD, Msc, Paul Engels MD, Neil G. Parry MD, Richard K. Simons MD, Morad Hameed MD,MPH, University Of British Columbia - Section Of Trauma And Acute Care Surgery

Introduction: Pancreatic injuries are rare, can be difficult to diagnose, and - despite multiple guidelines – often complex to manage. The literature consists of small, single centre case series, providing low levels of evidence. This study was therefore undertaken to evaluate the contemporary diagnosis and management of pancreatic trauma in multiple centres across Canada.

Methods: Multi-center retrospective cohort study. Data were collected from review of medical records at eight lead trauma hospitals across Canada for the period 2009 - 2014. Data collected included: demographics; injury characteristics; and details of diagnosis, management, and follow up of pancreatic injuries. All patients with a discharge diagnosis of blunt or penetrating pancreatic trauma were included.

Results: Two hundred and seventy nine patients were included in the analysis. Mean age was 32 (SD 15.4), 72% were males and mean Injury Severity Score (ISS) was 29 (SD 15.3). The majority suffered from blunt trauma (79%). With respect to grade of pancreatic injury, 133 (57%) patients were low grade (I/II), 77 (33%) were grade III, 18 (8%) grade IV and 6 (2%) grade V. Eighty nine percent of patients were diagnosed with pancreatic injury within the first 24 hours post admission. Two hundred and thirty three had diagnostic imaging performed, the vast majority of whom (214, 92%) were evaluated with computed tomography (CT). Of the other initial imaging modalities, 42 (18%) underwent ultrasound, 28 (12%) magnetic resonance pancreatography (MRCP) and 11 (5%) endoscopic retrograde pancreatography (ERCP). The initial imaging was diagnostic in 81% of patients, most commonly CT. One hundred and twenty patients (51% of the initially imaged patients) required repeat imaging. Out of the 113 patients initially observed, 107 were successfully managed non-operatively, with only 6 requiring a therapeutic ERCP. One hundred and seventy two (61.6%) underwent an operative intervention. The most common intervention performed was a distal pancreatectomy (88 patients: 51 with splenectomy, 37 spleen-preserving). Sixteen percent of grade III and 22% percent of grade IV injuries were managed non-operatively. Median time to operating room (OR) was 0 days (IQR 0-1), 77% of patients undergoing their surgical intervention in the first 24 hours post admission. A delay of more than 24 hours to OR tripled the risk of pancreatic fistula (46.2% VS 15.4%; p=0.007). Six patients suffered from pancreatic dysfunction, two requiring referral to an endocrinologist. The overall mortality rate was 11.5%.

Conclusion: This is the largest national multicenter study on pancreatic trauma. While we found that a large proportion of patients were diagnosed promptly with CT, half required more than one imaging modality. Sixty percent of patients were managed operatively, most of them within 24 hours of admission. A significant proportion of patients with grade III and IV pancreatic injuries were managed non-operatively. This reinforces the fact that the management of pancreatic trauma is not standardised despite published guidelines, and further work is needed on the topic.
VALIDITY OF PUBLISHED APPROPRIATENESS INDICATIONS FOR USE OF DAMAGE CONTROL LAPAROTOMY AT A HIGH-VOLUME TRAUMA CENTER IN THE ERA OF DAMAGE CONTROL RESUSCITATION

Derek J. Roberts MD,Ph.D., Henry T. Stelfox MD,Ph.D., Laura J. Moore* MD, John B. Holcomb* MD, John A. Harvin* MD, University of Calgary

Introduction: Recent studies have reported consensus-based indications for use of damage control laparotomy (DCL) in trauma patients. However, it remains unknown whether these indications reflect idealized rather than actual practices. We sought to determine the predictive validity of published appropriateness indications for use of DCL in the era of damage control resuscitation.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study of the predictive validity of a set of published indications that experts and practicing surgeons previously agreed appropriately indicated use of DCL. We included consecutive adults (≥16 years-of-age) that underwent emergent laparotomy for trauma (laparotomy performed immediately after transfer of the patient from the Emergency Department to the operating room) between 2011 and 2016 at an urban level 1 trauma center. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with surrounding 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results: In total, 1,192 young [median=33 years; interquartile range (IQR)=24-47] patients that were predominantly (57%) injured by blunt mechanisms underwent emergent laparotomy during the 6-year study period. The patients were severely injured [median Injury Severity Scale (ISS) score=19; IQR=10-32] and abdominal Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) score=3; IQR=2-4]. Published preoperative indications independently associated with an increased odds of performing DCL over definitive laparotomy included: 1) a concomitant severe traumatic brain injury (OR=1.9; 95% CI=1.1-3.4); 2) ISS score >25 (OR=3.2; 95% CI=2.1-4.9); or 3) systolic blood pressure (SBP) <90 mmHg upon arrival to the trauma bay (OR=3.6; 95% CI=2.2-5.9). Published intraoperative indications independently associated with an increased odds of performing DCL included: 1) an abdominal vascular injury and a major associated hollow viscus (OR=4.4; 95% CI=2.5-7.7) or blunt abdominal organ injury (OR=3.6; 95% CI=2.3-5.8); 2) devascularization or disruption of the pancreas, duodenum, or pancreaticoduodenal complex requiring pancreaticoduodenectomy (perfectly predicted use of DCL); 3) administration of >10 units of packed red blood cells across the pre- and intraoperative settings (OR=1.3; 95% CI=1.2-1.3); or 4) a SBP <90 mmHg (OR=2.0; 95% CI=1.3-2.9) or pH<7.2 (OR=4.7; 95% CI=3.3-6.9) at the beginning of operation. The odds of undergoing DCL instead of definitive laparotomy were 11.7 (95% CI=1.5-90.7) times higher when the SBP of the patient was persistently <90 mmHg during operation and 7.0 (95% CI=2.1-23.7) times higher when the pH was persistently <7.2 during operation (instead of only at the beginning of operation).

Conclusion: This study suggests that previously published indications determined by survey and expert opinion accurately predict use of DCL over definitive laparotomy in practice. They also suggest that surgeons more frequently perform DCL when physiological derangements persist during laparotomy.
Introduction: Bladder trauma is an uncommon urologic injury. The rarity of bladder injury limits its study in single institutional studies and development of evidence based guidelines. Our goal is to understand current epidemiology and management of traumatic bladder injury in a large multi-institutional setting.

Methods: From 2014 to 2016, data on bladder injury were collected from 14 participating trauma centers. Data were gathered on demographics, injury characteristics, acute management (within the first 4 days of admission), and need for delayed intervention. Descriptive statistics were used to report management patterns of bladder trauma during this period.

Results: A total of 119 cases of traumatic bladder injury were recorded. Patient and injury characteristics are summarized in Table-1. Mean age was 40.7 years (SD:17.2). 99 (83%) had associated injuries, including pelvic fracture in 77 (65%), gastrointestinal injury in 45 (38%), solid organ injury in 23 (20%), and major vascular injury in 19 (16%). Blunt injury occurred in 84 (71%). 8 (7%) patients died. Bladder injuries were intraperitoneal (IP), extraperitoneal (EP), and both IP+EP in 43 (36%), 56 (47%), and 20 (17%), respectively. In patients with IP or IP + EP injuries, all bladder injuries were operatively repaired at a median of 2.8 hours (interquartile range: 1.2 – 7.9 hours) after admission. EP injuries were repaired in 25 (45%) patients in a median of 5.7 hours (interquartile range 2.9 - 17.4 hours) from admission. The three leading reasons for EP repair were: severity of the injury, injury found during laparotomy, and concerns about pelvic hardware contamination. 27/42 (64%) of blunt EP injuries were managed conservatively while 2 of these needed delayed bladder surgery (after 6 and 20 days) due to concerns for infected pelvic hematoma and persistent hematuria in one patient, and persistent leakage due to bone fragments in bladder in another patient. 3/14 (21%) of penetrating EP injuries were conservatively managed. Rate of death was not different between types of bladder injury.

Conclusion: Most bladder traumas occur after blunt injury and are EP. About half of EP bladder injuries were managed operatively in the setting of multiple trauma.
SAVING KIDNEYS: 20-YEAR RENAL GUNSHOT WOUND EXPERIENCE IN AN URBAN LEVEL ONE TRAUMA CENTER

Adam E. Dowell MD, Shadie Badaan MD, Samual R. Todd* MD, Bradford G. Scott MD, Stephanie Gordy MD, Jeremy Ward MD, Michael Coburn MD, Thomas G. Smith III, MD, Baylor College of Medicine

Introduction: Renal trauma management has changed in recent years. In penetrating injuries, kidney preservation and reconstruction are not always prioritized. The purpose of this study is to analyze the presentation, management and outcomes of renal gunshot wounds (GSW).

Methods: This is an IRB approved, retrospective review of all patients ≥ 14 years of age with penetrating renal trauma (from a GSW) from 1994-2015 at an urban Level 1 Trauma Center. Patients who died in the first 24 hours were excluded. The Trauma Registry and medical records were reviewed for the patient presentation, management, and outcomes. Evaluation was via descriptive statistics.

Results: 253 patients (258 renal injuries) were identified with a mean patient age of 30.1 years and a mean injury severity score (ISS) of 20.1. The renal injury was diagnosed by CT in 66 patients, IVP in 16 patients, and intra-operatively in the remaining 171 patients. Pre-operative imaging was performed in 30% (n=76) of patients. Injury to non-renal organs was present in 98% (n=247) of patients, with >1 non-renal organ involved in 79% (n=199). Liver, colon, small bowel, and diaphragm injuries were the most commonly associated injuries. Using the AAST grading system, there were 25 – grade 1 (G1), 36 – G2, 78 – G3, 70 – G4, and 49 – G5 injuries. 101 renal injuries underwent repair, with a renal salvage rate of 73% (n=188). The total number of nephrectomies was 70. The most common complications associated with renal surgery were peri-renal or intra-abdominal abscess (n=17) and urine leak/urinoma (n=14). Post injury follow-up was limited with imaging obtained in only 44% of patients. Furthermore, there were 16 cases of post-injury hypertension documented. Overall survival was 91% (n=229).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
<th>G4</th>
<th>G5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation only</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration only</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renorrhaphy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omental Patch</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal Patch</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Repair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Nephrectomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nephrectomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INJURIES</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: This series represents the largest number of renal injuries resulting from GSW. Patients sustaining renal GSW often present with multi-organ trauma and high grade renal injury. Despite the high-grade injuries, the renal salvage rate is > 70%, with a complication rate < 20%.
TREATMENT OF ABDOMINAL TRAUMA FROM THE TRAUMA SURGEON'S POINT OF VIEW - RESULTS OF AN ONLINE SURVEY

Lisanne Grünherz MD, Kai O. Jensen MD, Ladislav Mica MD, Valentin Neuhaus MD, Christian Michelitsch MD, Bernard Ciritsis MD, Hans-Peter Simmen MD, University Hospital Zurich, Devision Of Trauma Surgery

Introduction: The classic general surgery and trauma surgery in Germany, Austria and Switzerland has undergone notable changes during the last years. Curricula and treatment situation are different within all three countries. Whereas the general surgery dissolved into visceral surgery in Germany, the trauma surgery abandoned thoracic and abdominal interventions to a varying extent. We therefore aimed to obtain the current treatment situation to identify structural adaptations that need to be made.

Methods: A cross-sectional study, based on an online survey, including 175 Austrian, Swiss and German trauma surgeons, was carried out over a period of 8 months in 2015. With regard to structural country-specific differences, members of the Swiss association for surgery as well as the Austrian and German association for trauma surgery were contacted.

Results: In 43% of the participating departments, a visceral/general surgeon is part of the team in the emergency room, in addition to the trauma surgeon. As a consequence, 61% of the trauma surgery departments, performed surgery on only 1-24 patients with abdominal injury/year. Regarding non-operative abdominal trauma, 30% of trauma departments treat 25-49 cases/year. A separation into the level of trauma center showed that the majority of level-I trauma centers operated on 50-100 patients. A similar development can be observed regarding the estimated general surgical competence that was stated with 100% for the clinical director, 50% for the attending/specialist and 0% among the residents. Asked for their personal opinion, 47% aspire to have at least theoretical competence and partial independent operative competence in abdominal trauma. 73% want to be able to carry out an emergency laparotomy, 66% a splenectomy and 54% a small bowl segment resection/suture. On the contrary, 12% believe that a trauma surgeon does not need any visceral surgical skills.

Conclusion: Currently, abdominal trauma in Germany, Austria and Switzerland seems already to be treated mainly by the visceral surgery department, leading unavoidably to limited training in abdominal trauma for the junior trauma surgeon. A majority of the participants believe, having competence in abdominal trauma surgery, is a necessary skill for trauma surgeons. Therefore, it is essential that adaptations need to be made to teach basic skills of visceral surgery.
EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY OF CONTINUOUS NEUROMUSCULAR BLOCKADE IN TRAUMA PATIENTS WITH AN OPEN ABDOMEN: A FOLLOW-UP STUDY

Susan E. Smith PharmD, Susan E. Hamblin PharmD, Jill R. Streams MD, Oscar D. Guillamondegui* MD,MPH, Oliver L. Gunter* MD,MPH, Bradley M. Dennis MD, Vanderbilt University Medical Center

Introduction: Following damage control laparotomy (DCL), fascial closure is recommended within 7-8 days to decrease the risk of complications. Chemical paralysis with neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBA) after DCL has been shown to decrease time to primary fascial closure. Changes in resuscitation and fluid use over the last decade bring this practice back into question. We sought to evaluate the effect of continuous NMBA on abdominal closure rates and time to closure in DCL patients. We hypothesized that continuous NMBA following DCL would be associated with earlier fascial closure compared to management without neuromuscular blockade.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted at an ACS-verified level 1 trauma center. All adult patients who underwent DCL within 24 hours of admission to the trauma service between 2009 and 2015 were included. Patients with ICU length of stay <48 hours or who expired prior to closure were excluded. The study group (NMBA+) included patients who received continuous NMBA within 24 hours of DCL and was compared to a control group (NMBA–) that did not receive NMBA. Data collected included demographics, resuscitative fluids and blood products (over initial 48 hours), length of stay, mortality, and the occurrence of complications. The primary outcome was time to primary fascial closure. Secondary outcomes included closure by day 7, length of stay, mortality, and the incidence of complications. Categorical and continuous data were analyzed with the $\chi^2$ and Mann-Whitney U tests, respectively. Ordinal logistic regression analysis was used to determine factors associated with abdominal closure.

Results: There were 222 total patients included in the study. The NMBA+ group included 125 patients and the NMBA– group included 97 patients. Demographics were similar between groups, including median age (NMBA+ 36 vs NMBA– 39 years), ISS (29 vs 34), and mechanism of injury (46% vs 33% penetrating). There was no difference in time to abdominal closure between groups (NMBA+ median 2 days, IQR 1–2.5; NMBA– 2 days, IQR 1–2; p=0.503). Closure was achieved by day 7 in 98% of all patients in the cohort (NMBA+ 98.4%; NMBA– 96.9%; p=0.457). The incidence of complications was similar between groups (NMBA+ 64%; NMBA– 59%; p=0.426). Ordinal logistic regression (see table) revealed that NMBA exposure was not associated with time to abdominal closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors Associated with Time to Abdominal Closure</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to NMBA</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>0.668 – 1.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Gender</td>
<td>2.483</td>
<td>1.379 – 4.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Severity Score</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.995 – 1.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.001 – 1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Intake (over 48 hours)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000 – 1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Products (over 48 hours)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000 – 1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: In adult trauma patients requiring DCL, continuous NMBA did not affect the time to abdominal closure or the incidence of complications. Nearly all patients now achieve fascial closure within 7 days. Routine use of NMBA in trauma patients after DCL may not be necessary with current resuscitation and management strategies.
CHARACTERISTICS OF 171 COMBAT-ASSOCIATED SMALL BOWEL INJURIES

Mariya E. Skube MD, Quinn Mallery BS, Elizabeth Lusczek Ph.D., Greg J. Beilman* MD, (COL, ret) University of Minnesota Dept of Surgery

Introduction: Although there are multiple studies regarding the management and outcomes of colonic injuries incurred in combat, the literature is limited in regards to small bowel injuries. This study seeks to address that void by providing the largest reported review of the characteristics of combat-associated small bowel injuries.

Methods: The Department of Defense Trauma Registry was queried for members of the United States Armed Forces who sustained hollow viscus injuries in the years of 2007 to 2012 during Operations Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and New Dawn. Patients with other backgrounds (i.e. North Atlantic Treaty Organization troops, local nationals) were excluded. Service members with injuries of the small bowel were identified by diagnosis codes, and concomitant injuries, procedures, and complications were delineated. After summarizing the data, Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze the relationship of bowel injury pattern to rates of repeat laparotomy, fecal diversion, and complications.

Results: One hundred seventy-one service members had small bowel injuries. The mean age was 25.8 ± 6.6 years with a mean injury severity score of 27.9 ± 12.4. The majority of injuries were penetrating (94.2%, n=161) as a result of explosive devices (61.4%, n=105). The median blood transfusion requirement in the first 24 hours was 6.0 units (IQR, 1.0-17.3); 48 patients received at least 10 units. The most frequent concomitant injuries were large bowel (64.3%, n=110), pelvic fracture (35.7%, n=61), perineal (26.3%, n=45), liver (20.5%, n=35), and pelvic organ (19.9%, n=34). Fifty patients (29.2%) had a colostomy, and 9 patients (5.3%) had an ileostomy. 62.6% (n=107) of soldiers underwent more than one laparotomy. The mortality rate was 1.8% (n=3). Median length of stay was 13 days (IQR, 5-38). The most common complications were pneumonia (15.2%, n=26), deep vein thrombosis (14.6%, n=25), wound infection (14.6%, n=25), and pulmonary embolus (12.9%, n=22). The need for repeat laparotomy and fecal diversion (ileostomy and/or colostomy) were found to be significantly associated with injury pattern (p= 0.00052 and p=<0.0001 respectively) (Table 1).

Conclusion: The characteristics of combat-associated small bowel trauma have not previously been reported. We found that two-thirds of service members with small bowel injuries also had a large bowel injury. One-third of the patients in this study required diversion, and two-thirds required at least one repeat laparotomy. The pattern of bowel injury significantly impacted need for repeat laparotomy and fecal diversion. Further investigation is warranted to elucidate how patients with small bowel injuries compare to those with other hollow viscus trauma and how different methods of operative management affect outcomes.
CROSS SECTIONAL IMAGING OF THE TORSO REVEALS OCCULT INJURIES IN ASYMPTOMATIC BLUNT TRAUMA PATIENTS

Gregory J. Roberts MD, Jonathan M. Saxe* MD, Lewis E. Jacobson* MD, Louis Cook BS, Jacqueline Schultz St. Vincent Hospital

Introduction: The triggers to obtain a CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis of the trauma patient remain controversial. Some suggest a low threshold to order a complete CT C/A/P in all trauma patients. A number of recent studies have suggested a more selective approach. The reasons for selective imaging include: theoretical risks of radiation exposure, potential ill-effects from IV contrast use, and cost. Currently there is a lack of clear pre-scan criteria to aid in determining the effectiveness of torso CT. The purpose of this study is to review the CT indications, findings, and complications in patients with low ISS to determine the utility of CT in this patient cohort.

Methods: A retrospective review of non-intubated, adult blunt trauma patients with an initial GCS of 14 or 15 evaluated in an ACS verified level 1 trauma center from July 2012 to June 2015 was performed. Data was obtained from the trauma registry and chart review and included: age, sex, injury type, ISS, physical exam findings, all injuries recorded, injuries detected by torso CT, missed injuries, and complications.

Results: 2306 patients were determined eligible for review from the registry. The mean ISS was 8. Initial chest exam was normal in 1571 (68%). 52% of these patients received a CT Chest, and 18% of these patients were found to have an occult chest injury. 61% of patients that had an initial CXR also received a CT Chest. 35% of patients with a negative CXR who also had a CT Chest had occult injuries detected. 56% of patients with a negative abdominal exam had a CT A/P. 19% of these patients were found to have an occult injury on CT. 43% of the patients with normal C/A/P exams received a CT C/A/P. 34% of these patients demonstrated occult injuries by CT. No consistent pre-scan criteria were identified to accurately rule out CT as an effective adjunct to the work-up. No incidents of contrast-induced complications were noted in the study period.

Conclusion: A significant number of occult injuries were detected in stable adult blunt trauma patients with a GCS of 14/15. A negative physical exam combined with a normal CXR do not rule out the presence of occult injuries and the need for torso imaging. In this series, 30% of stable adult blunt trauma patients with GCS of 14/15 and normal physical exams were found to have occult injuries detected by CT. In blunt trauma patients with normal sensorium, physical exam and CXR, the practice of obtaining cross sectional imaging would appear to be beneficial. Identification of occult injuries in this cohort outweighs the small risk associated with CT scan.
NON-OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT OF BLUNT SPLENIC TRAUMA IS MORE SUCCESSFUL IN PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Navpreet K. Dhillon MD, Jerald Borgella MD, Joshua Tseng MD, James M. Tatum MD, Tristan Saggese BS, Daniel R. Margulies* MD, Eric J. Ley* MD, Galinos Barmparas MD, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center

Introduction: Preventing secondary insult to the brain is essential in the management of patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI). Although the presence of a TBI does not preclude patients with splenic injuries from a trial of nonoperative management (NOM), development of hypotension in this setting may be detrimental and could therefore lead trauma surgeons to a lower threshold for operative intervention and a potentially higher risk for failure of NOM (FNOM). We hypothesized that the presence of a TBI in patients with blunt splenic injury would lead to a higher risk for FNOM.

Methods: Patients 16 years or older, with a splenic injury secondary to a blunt mechanism were selected from the National Trauma Data Bank research datasets 2007-11. We excluded subjects who were transferred, died in the emergency department, those with unknown timing of the spleen-related procedure, and those with unknown AAST-OIS grade of splenic injury or unknown head abbreviated injury scale (AIS) score. TBI was defined as AIS head ≥ 3 and FNOM as patients who underwent a spleen-related surgical procedure after 2 hours from their admission. TBI patients were compared to those without TBI and the primary outcome was FNOM. A logistic regression model was utilized to adjust for differences between the two groups.

Results: Of the 76,557 subjects with blunt splenic injury, 47,713 met inclusion criteria. Of those, 9,390 (19.7%) underwent immediate laparotomy and the remaining 41,436 (80.3%) underwent a trial of NOM. TBI was present in 8,166 (19.7%) of those selected for NOM. Compared to their counterparts with no TBI, TBI patients were more likely to be older than 65 years (10.4% vs. 9.6%, p=0.04), have a severe thoracic injury (AIS ≥ 3: 70.0% vs. 48.5%, p<0.01), and be admitted with hypotension (11.8% vs. 6.8%, p<0.01). In addition, TBI patients were more likely to have a concomitant kidney (15.1% vs. 11.8%, p<0.01) and liver injury (25.0% vs. 16.6%, p<0.01) with similar AAST-OIS grade. FNOM was identical between the two groups (10.6% vs. 10.8%). After adjusting for confounding factors, TBI patients had significantly lower adjusted odds for FNOM (AOR: 0.66, p<0.01), even among those with a high-grade (III-IV-V) splenic injury (AOR: 0.68, p<0.01). The timing for FNOM was similar between both groups (median 5 hours, p=0.85), even for those with a high-grade injury (median 4 hours, p=0.20). When comparing TBI patients with FNOM to those with no FNOM, no difference in adjusted mortality was noted (22.6% vs. 18.9%, AOR: 1.01, p=0.95).

Conclusion: Despite the presence of additional solid organ injuries, nonoperative management of blunt splenic trauma in patients with traumatic brain injury has higher adjusted odds for success, independent of the grade of the splenic injury. The higher odds for successful non-operative management in these patients could be related to interventions targeting prevention of secondary insults to the brain. Further studies are required to identify those specific practices that lead to a higher success rate of nonoperative management of splenic trauma in traumatic brain injury patients.
REVERSAL OF ILEOSTOMIES AND COLOSTOMIES IN TRAUMA PATIENTS

Anna M. Knight MD, Ashley M. Culver BS, Nikita Ramanathan BS, James Tyburski* MD, Gamal Mostafa MD, Detroit Receiving Hospital

Introduction: The management of traumatic small bowel and colon injuries in many patients requires ileostomies and colostomies due to intraabdominal bleeding, contamination, or extensive intraabdominal damage. The ostomy reversal rate, barriers to reversal, and presenting factors that influence later reversal are not well characterized. We sought to determine the factors that contribute to ostomy reversal following creation of ostomies for trauma.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of all trauma patients who required an ileostomy or colostomy as a result of trauma who presented to one level one and one level two trauma center from 1/1/2006 to 12/31/2015. Data regarding the initial trauma and subsequent admissions, clinic appointments, and Emergency Department visits were collected and then analyzed using SPSS.

Results: 208 patients (pt) met inclusion criteria with an average age of 33 years (range 14-80). Cause of injury was penetrating in 194 pt and blunt in 14 pt. The average Injury Severity Score (ISS) was 17 (range 9-50). Sixty-two percent (n= 128) needed one operation during the initial trauma admission, whereas 38% (n=80) required multiple operations. Fourteen patients died prior to consideration of ostomy reversal and eight were lost to follow up. Of the 186 patients for whom data was complete, reversal was completed in 143 pt (77%). Ostomy reversal was more likely to be attempted in patients who had a shorter length of stay (LOS) during the initial trauma (18d v 29d, p=0.009), and the attempt was more likely to be completed if there was a lower presenting heart rate at the time of trauma (HR; 96 bpm v 116 bpm, p=0.029). Age, type of trauma (blunt vs penetrating), ISS, initial operative time, need for multiple trips to the operating room, number of abdominal operations following trauma, ostomy type (ileostomy vs colostomy), access to ostomy supplies, dehydration or acute kidney injury secondary to ostomy output, nor insurance status revealed any correlation to ostomy reversal.

Conclusion: The majority of patients went on to ostomy reversal. Shorter initial length of stay and lower presenting heart rate were associated with successful ostomy closure. Age, type of trauma (blunt vs penetrating), ISS, initial operative time, need for multiple trips to the operating room, number of abdominal operations following trauma, ostomy type (ileostomy vs colostomy), access to ostomy supplies, dehydration or acute kidney injury secondary to ostomy output, nor insurance status revealed any correlation to ostomy reversal. A prospective trial to further elucidate the factors associated with successful ostomy closure and to identify barriers to reversal is warranted.
IMPACT OF OPERATIVE VERSUS NON-OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT ON OUTCOME FOR AAST GRADE III AND IV PANCREATIC INJURY: A TRAUMA QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TQIP) DATABANK ANALYSIS

Shahin Mohseni MD,Ph.D., Gabriel Sjolin MD, Rebecka Ahl MD, Jeremy Holzmacher MD, Babak Sarani* MD, FACS George Washington University

Introduction: High-grade traumatic pancreatic injuries are associated with significant mortality. Need for operative management of such injuries is controversial. The present study evaluates outcomes following operative versus non-operative management of severe pancreatic injury.

Methods: Patients were recruited from the TQIP databank between 1/2010 to 12/2014. Patients with a pancreatic injury grade III and IV according to the AAST Organ Injury Scale classification were included in the study. Patients' demographics, vital signs on admission, Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) for each body area, Injury Severity Score (ISS), blood transfusion, and therapeutic modality were extracted. Mortality and hospital length of stay were stratified according to the severity of pancreatic injury and treatment modality. Operative management was defined as any form of pancreatic resection.

Results: During the study period, a total of 4085 patients had a pancreatic injury of which 17% (n=702) had a grade III and 7.2% (n=295) grade IV pancreatic injury. Of these 93% were due to penetrating injury. 43% of grade III and 41% of grade IV injuries were managed operatively, respectively. The total LOS was longer in the operative arm irrespective of the pancreatic injury severity (Table 1). Mortality was not significantly higher in the non-operatively managed patients, 6.5% vs. 3.3% (p=0.06) for grade III and 8.6% vs. 4.2%(p=0.14) for grade IV injuries.

Conclusion: An operative approach for managing grade III and IV pancreatic injury is not associated with a significant decrease in mortality but is associated with an increase in hospital LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic and outcomes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAST Grade III Pancreatic Injury</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(n = 702)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(n = 305)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (SD) years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetrating mechanism (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS ≥ 16 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head AIS ≥ 4 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax AIS ≥ 4 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremity ≥ 4 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (LQ,QU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital LOS, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (LQ,QU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values are shown for comparison of operative vs no-operative management.
MOVING THINGS ALONG: A PILOT STUDY INVESTIGATING PROBIOTIC THERAPY FOLLOWING EXPLORATORY LAPAROTOMY IN TRAUMA PATIENTS

Victor Portillo MD, Constance McGraw MPH, Matthew Carrick* MD, Charles W. Mains* MD, Denetta S. Slone* MD, Robert Madayag MD, Allen Tanner MD, Alessandro Orlando MPH, David Bar-Or MD, Medical City Plano Trauma Services

Introduction: Polytrauma patients are at an increased risk of developing prolonged ileus or other nosocomial infections, particularly after open-abdominal surgery such as laparotomy, because of disruption to the normal gastro-intestinal (GI) flora. Enteral probiotic therapy administration has been suggested to restore gut permeability and function, as well as aid in the prevention of infection; however, studies elucidating results in trauma patients post open-abdominal surgery are scarce. The purpose of this study is to examine patient outcomes following the administration of probiotics post-exploratory laparotomy.

Methods: This was a retrospective observational study between 2014-2016 at a Level II Trauma Center. Consecutively-admitted adult (≥18yrs) trauma patients were included who had undergone an exploratory laparotomy. We compared patients who received probiotic treatment within 3 days of surgery to those who received no probiotics. Study outcomes were days until return of normal bowel function (>5d vs. ≤5), hospital length of stay (≥7 vs. <7), intensive care unit (ICU) LOS stay (≥2 vs <2), days on ventilator support, (≥2d vs. <2d), and in-hospital mortality. Patients were compared univariately using chi-squared tests, fisher's exact tests, and Wilcoxon tests as appropriate.

Results: There were 188 patients admitted over three years who had an exploratory laparotomy, and 17% received a daily dose of Lactobacillus acidophilus or rhamnosus by mouth or nasogastric tube. 59% were treated in 0-1 days and 41% were treated in 2-3 days following surgery over a median (IQR) of 9 (3.5-18) days. Patients treated with probiotics had a median (IQR) age of 36 (29-55), a larger proportion were diagnosed with ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP, 22% vs. 8%, p=0.02), and a diagnosis of wound infection (6% vs. 0.6% p=0.02). There was a significantly greater proportion of patients treated with antibiotics (97% vs. 79%, p=0.02) in the probiotic group, than in the no probiotic group. There were significantly fewer deaths in the probiotic group, compared to the no probiotic group (Table 1). Overall and ICU LOS were trending towards significance with 63% having a LOS < 7 days and ICU LOS <2 days (Table 1).

Conclusions: These pilot data suggest that probiotics may decrease hospital and ICU LOS, and in-hospital mortality in poly-trauma patients undergoing exploratory laparotomy; we believe we would achieve significance with more patients. While other studies have reported inconclusive results for probiotics in trauma patients, most did not explore days until return to normal bowel function. Future studies should investigate the efficacy of other probiotic regimens in decreasing the time until normal bowel function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes, n (%)</th>
<th>Probiotics</th>
<th>No Probiotics</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>3 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic days</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>12 (50%)</td>
<td>12 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days until return to bowel function</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>24 (79%)</td>
<td>89 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall LOS</td>
<td>0-7 days</td>
<td>20 (63%)</td>
<td>73 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS</td>
<td>0-2 days</td>
<td>12 (38%)</td>
<td>84 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>22 (69%)</td>
<td>97 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>30 (11%)</td>
<td>39 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a=Missing 31 in the no probiotic group, denominators are shown.
b=Missing 42 in the no probiotic group, denominators are shown.
LOS=Length of stay; ICU=intensive care unit
EXAMINING THE IMPACT OF SMALL BOWEL RESECTION PROCEDURE TIMING IN PATIENTS WITH BLUNT TRAUMATIC INJURY: A PROPENSITY MATCHED ANALYSIS

Nasim Ahmed* MD, Patricia Greenberg MS Jersey Shore University Medical Center

Introduction: Blunt mechanisms of injury can lead to many internal organ injuries, including small bowel injuries. The American College of Surgeon (ACS) recommends that if an abdominal procedure is to be performed emergently, it should be done within 4 hours of hospital arrival to decrease the risk of further morbidity or mortality. In the majority of cases, small bowel injuries can be detected upon initial clinical evaluation or through imaging studies, and can lead to timely intervention. However, in certain cases, bowel injuries may not be easily diagnosed during the initial assessment which results in a delay in intervention. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of the timing of small bowel resection in small bowel injury on patients’ outcomes.

Methods: The study was performed using data from the National Trauma Data Bank (2007-2010). Patients who sustained blunt injuries, and who underwent a small bowel resection (SBR) within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital were eligible for inclusion in the study. The patients’ characteristics and outcomes were compared between two groups: SBR within 4 hours (Group 1) and SBR between 4-24 hours (Group 2). Initial patient measures and outcomes were compared between the two unmatched groups using Chi-Square, Fisher Exact, and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests. However, in an attempt to better balance the groups, propensity score matching was also performed using baseline characteristics and a follow-up paired analysis was performed using McNemar, Stuart Maxwell, and paired Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests.

Results: A total of 1,774 patients qualified for the study and of those, 1,292 (72.8 %) patients underwent SBR within 4 hours and 482 (27.2 %) underwent SBR between 4-24 hours after arrival. There were significant baseline differences between the two groups regarding Injury severity score (ISS) [Median [IQR]:19.0 [10.0, 29.0] vs. 14.0 [9.0, 25.0],P<0.001], Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) [15.0 [13.0, 15.0] vs. 15.0 [15.0, 15.0],P<0.001] and the number of patients with an initial systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 90 mmHg (18.3% vs. 8.7 %, P< 0.001). Given these clear differences, 482 patients from each group were pair-matched using propensity score matching on age, sex, race, ISS, GCS, and SBP. Afterward, there were no significant differences observed between the two groups in the matching variables and there was more than 90% improvement in the standardized mean differences. After matching, there were no significant differences observed in patient mortality (8.3 % vs 7.9%, P=0. 90) or discharge disposition (home with no services: 63.1% vs 64.9 %, P=0.90); however, there was a significantly shorter hospital length of stay for those patients in Group 1 compared to Group 2 (9 [6, 15] vs 10 [7, 19], P=0.03).

Conclusion: More than two-thirds of the patient cases examined underwent SBR within 4 hours of hospital arrival per ACS guidelines. However, there were no significant differences identified in the mortality rate or the discharge disposition regardless of the timing of the SBR (≤ 4 vs > 4-24 hours). However, the patients whose SBR was performed within 4 hours of arrival had a lower hospital length of stay when compared with those whose procedure was delayed.
OUTCOMES OF TRAUMATIC DIAPHRAGM INJURIES
Anna M. Knight MD, Tasneem Ahmed BS, Ashley M. Culver BS, Gamal Mostafa MD, Detroit Receiving Hospital

Introduction: Traumatic diaphragm injuries (TDI) are rare but can be deadly and management can pose a challenge to trauma surgeons. Hence, we sought to determine factors that contribute to adverse outcomes following diaphragm injury and repair in our local population.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of all trauma patients who presented to one level one and one level two trauma center from 1/1/2000 to 12/31/2015 with TDI found using ICD9 codes 862.0 and 862.1 and ICD10 codes S27.802A - S27.809D. Data regarding the initial trauma and subsequent visits were collected and then analyzed using SPSS.

Results: Over the 16-year study period, 425 patients (82 males, 43 females) with thoracoabdominal trauma met inclusion criteria with an average age of 30.8 years. Ninety-one percent (n=389) had penetrating trauma and 9% (n=37) had blunt trauma. The average Injury Severity Score (ISS) was 18 (range 8-75). Seven patients were managed conservatively and 418 patients were managed operatively. All patients who underwent an operation had open repair although three patients had laparoscopic/thoracoscopic converted to open management. Ninety-one percent (n=387) of the injuries were found intraoperatively, and 9% (n=38) were found on imaging. Computed tomography was more likely than radiography to show injury (p=0.000). There were 38 mortalities (9% mortality rate), 24 of which were intraoperatively. Other outcomes measured included pneumonia (n=45), empyema (n=15), requiring mechanical ventilation for > 48 hours (h; n=68), Arrhythmia (n=9), surgical site infection (SSI, n=9), and breakdown of diaphragm repair (n=2). There were no cases of hemidiaphragm paralysis. Patients who presented with higher heart rate (HR; > 110 bpm) and lower systolic blood pressure (SBP; < 106 mmHg) were associated with intraoperative complications and mortality, postoperative empyema, and requiring mechanical ventilation > 48h. Increased age, blunt trauma, intubation in the ED, pneumonia, and empyema were associated with requiring mechanical ventilation for >48h (p=0.034, p=0.047, p=0.000, p=0.000 and p=0.000). ISS was associated with intraoperative complications (p=0.000) but not mortality (p=0.625).

Conclusion: The most common adverse outcome following traumatic diaphragm injury in our population was requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours, followed by pneumonia and mortality. Injury Severity Score was not related to mortality although this has been shown in other retrospective reviews. In our study, patients who survived to discharge did well with no long-term affects of adverse outcomes.
TRANSCATHETER ARTERIAL EMBOLIZATION FOR MAXILLOFACIAL FRACTURES WITH LIFE-THREATENING HEMORRHAGE

Taku Akashi MD, Shokei Matsumoto* MD, Kei Hayashida MD, Tomohiko Orita MD, Mitsuhide Kitano MD,Ph.D., Saiseikai Yokohamashi Tobu Hospital

Introduction: Severe maxillofacial fracture is occasionally associated with life threatening hemorrhage, and the surgical procedures to control such hemorrhage can be a challenge. Recently, transcatheter arterial embolization (TAE) has become an important step in the management algorithm for maxillofacial fractures with life-threatening hemorrhage (MFH). We evaluated the effectiveness of TAE for MFH based on a large amount of data from the Japan Trauma Data Bank (JTDB).

Methods: Patients were identified from JTDB entries for the years 2004 to 2014. Inclusion criteria for MFH were defined using the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) code 250810.4 (Maxilla fracture, LeFort III, blood loss > 20%). Patients were excluded if they were dead on arrival, younger than 16 years of age, if they had sustained injuries with an AIS score of 6 for any region of the body, if their hospital discharge disposition was unknown, or if they had penetrating injuries. On the basis of this strategy, patients were classified as either patients who had undergone TAE (TAE group) or patients who had not (non-TAE group). Comparative analyses of demographics, injury characteristics, and outcomes were performed.

Results: Among 198,744 trauma victims documented in the JTDB, 183 patients had MFH. After applying our exclusion criteria, a total of 118 patients were found to be eligible for the study, and 26 of these patients (22.0%) had received TAE. When comparing injury characteristics, only median Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores were significantly lower in the TAE group than in the non-TAE group (7.0 [3.8–10.3] vs 11.0 [6.0–13.0], p = 0.019). All other characteristic variables did not differ significantly between the two groups. Overall, the in-hospital mortality rate was 39.8%, and the median hospital length of stay (LOS) was 21.0 days (0.0–53.5 days). The in-hospital mortality rate was significantly lower in the TAE group than in the non-TAE group (23.1% vs 44.6%; odds ratio [OR], 0.37; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.14–1.02; p = 0.048). However, patients in the TAE group had a longer median hospital LOS (39.5 [7.3–53.5] vs 13.0 [0.0–55.0] days, p < 0.062), but the difference was not statistically significant. In the logistic regression model, the use of TAE was identified as an independent predictor for a better outcome after adjusting for potential confounders (OR, 0.24; 95% CI, 0.07–0.83; p = 0.024). Age, systolic blood pressure, and GCS were also independently associated with mortality with an OR of 1.03, 0.97, and 0.87, respectively.

Conclusion: MFH is rare, but the mortality is very high. The strategy using TAE appears to increase successful outcomes in patients with MFH. Further studies are required to confirm the efficacy of the procedure, and to evaluate its indications and associated complications.
THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR SURGERY OF TRAUMA (AAST) SEVERITY GRADING PREDICTS CLINICAL OUTCOMES FOR SKIN AND SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS

Mohamed D. Ray-Zack MBBS, Matthew C. Hernandez* MD, Moustafa Younis MBBS, Nadeem N. Haddad MD, Wyatt B. Hoch Dylan S. Soukup Martin D. Zielinski* MD, Mayo Clinic

Introduction: Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) are included in the AAST Emergency General Surgery grading system, ranging from simple cellulitis to necrotizing fasciitis and myonecrosis. The grading system for SSTI has not yet been validated. This study aims to assess whether the AAST grade corresponds with SSTI severity and important clinical outcomes.

Methods: Single center review of patients ≥ 18 years admitted with a diagnosis of SSTI during 2012-2016 was performed. Patients with surgical site infections were excluded. Patient demographics, Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Fasciitis (LRINEC) score, AAST grade, and outcomes including operation type, duration of inpatient antibiotic therapy, hospital stay, and complications were recorded. Two independent reviewers evaluated each case using AAST grade definitions for cross-sectional imaging and operative criteria. Summary, univariate, and inter-rater agreement using the kappa statistic were calculated.

Results: There were 197 patients identified (mean ±SD age of 55±16 years, 56% male), of whom 41.8% underwent preoperative cross-sectional imaging (CT or MRI), and 79.2% underwent incision and drainage/debridement (I&D). Kappa coefficient comparing imaging and operative criteria for AAST grades was 0.70. SSTI culture for pathogenic bacteria included: negative culture (48, 24.4%), positive for single microbe (74, 37.6%), and polymicrobial (75, 38.1%). The readmission rate was 24.9% and 90-day mortality rate was 6.6%. Increased AAST grade was associated with higher LRINEC score, increased operative interventions, and greater need for critical care interventions (table 1). Increased AAST grade was also associated with higher Clavien-Dindo complication grades, prolonged duration of hospital stay and inpatient antibiotic therapy (fig1).

Conclusion: The AAST grade corresponds to important clinical outcomes and may allow the equitable comparison of outcomes between operators, hospitals and systems. Further study to assess the external validity of this AAST grading scale is necessary.
WELL, THAT'S NOT NORMAL: A SIMULATION STUDY ON THE EFFECTS OF TESTING INJURY SEVERITY SCORE WITH PARAMETRIC STATISTICS

Jacob W. Roden-Foreman BA, Stephanie Agtarap MS Baylor University Medical Center

**Introduction:** A study's statistical methods can be as important as its procedures. An important point to consider when selecting statistics is whether the data are normally distributed (i.e., form a bell curve), as parametric statistics (e.g., t-tests) can be inaccurate if data are non-normal. Despite this, 72.7% of PubMed listed trauma publications (1981-2016) and 43% of accepted AAST abstracts (2015-2016) treated Injury Severity Score (ISS) as normally distributed, even though ISS is inherently non-normal. This study examines the accuracy of parametric statistics when testing ISS between two groups.

**Methods:** Using 5.58 million ISS observations from the National Trauma Data Bank, random samples of six total sample sizes and four group size ratios (GSRs; the proportion of observations in one group) were tested using parametric (Student’s and Welch’s t-tests) and nonparametric statistics (Wilcoxon's rank sum test and Mood’s median test). Each sample size by GSR condition was repeated 10,000 times. Type I (false positive) and Type II (false negative) error rates were calculated.

**Results:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>GSR</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Welch</th>
<th>Wilcoxon</th>
<th>Mood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I error rates by statistic and condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.34%</td>
<td>10.61%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>6.28%</td>
<td>4.22%</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.63%</td>
<td>4.89%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>3.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.30%</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
<td>4.71%</td>
<td>4.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.67%</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>3.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.79%</td>
<td>9.79%</td>
<td>4.70%</td>
<td>2.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>5.85%</td>
<td>4.96%</td>
<td>3.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.19%</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>4.72%</td>
<td>3.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.21%</td>
<td>5.14%</td>
<td>5.30%</td>
<td>4.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
<td>7.42%</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td>3.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.85%</td>
<td>5.29%</td>
<td>5.15%</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.18%</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
<td>4.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.74%</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>5.01%</td>
<td>3.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>6.18%</td>
<td>5.08%</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.72%</td>
<td>5.29%</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td>4.62%</td>
<td>4.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.61%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
<td>4.62%</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.86%</td>
<td>5.68%</td>
<td>4.74%</td>
<td>3.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>4.70%</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>4.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.03%</td>
<td>5.07%</td>
<td>5.19%</td>
<td>4.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.07%</td>
<td>5.03%</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>4.69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The most appropriate test of ISS appears to be Wilcoxon's test. Student's t had less Type II error for n < 100, but this benefit was negated by additional Type I error. Mood’s test was preferable for n ≥ 250. Parametric testing of ISS and other non-normal variables (e.g., length of stay) should be avoided due to the increased risk of false results. Researchers and clinicians should be wary of results that report means for non-normal variables, as this is indicative of parametric testing. Regardless of these results, researchers should avoid reporting means and standard deviations (SDs) of ISS. This is because the mean ± SD of ISS is 9.4 ± 8.7 thus, much more than one standard deviation below the mean is negative, which is impossible. Instead, researchers should report medians and interquartile intervals (i.e., the 50th, 25th, and 75th percentiles), which are 9 [4, 11], as this better describes non-normal data.
OUTCOMES FOR ELDERLY PATIENTS DISCHARGED TO SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES AFTER BURN-RELATED HOSPITALIZATION

Samuel P. Mandell MD,MPH, Qian Qiu MBA, Saman Arbabi* MD,MPH, Harborview Medical Center

**Introduction:** Older adults represent a growing number of burn-injured patients. Recovery from burn injuries can be prolonged. Current data predict many older adults will discharge to a skilled nursing facility (SNF) after acute hospitalization. Little is known about the subsequent fate of these patients. We hypothesized that many may never return home and that their mortality remains high.

**Methods:** We performed a retrospective descriptive and case-control study of the Medicare Provider and Analysis Review (MedPAR) data for hospitalized burn patients, 65 and older, subsequently discharged to SNFs from January 2007 to December 2009 in five states - California, Florida, New York, Texas, and Washington. MedPAR was linked to the Minimum Data Set (MDS) for nursing home resident assessment to obtain subjects’ medical conditions at SNF admission and discharge disposition. Subject characteristics, one-year mortality, and three-year mortality were described overall and by first SNF discharge disposition. Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions were performed to examine impacts of demographic and clinical factors on three outcomes: one-year mortality, re-hospitalization and failure to discharge home. All analyses were adjusted for clustering effect at facility level. Five iterations of multiple imputation using chained equations (MICE) were conducted to generate plausible values for records with missing data.

**Results:** A total of 720 patients were identified (mean age 78.6 [8.3]; 55.8% females). The majority (67.2%) had burn severity/size less than 10%. Nearly half of subjects, 42.6%, were discharged home from their first SNF admission. Mortality during index SNF admission was 3.6%, while 27.2% died one year following SNF admission, and 43.1% died within three years after SNF admission. The proportion readmitted to an acute care hospital was 34.7%. After controlling for clinical factors (age, sex, Charlson Comorbidity Index, burn severity/size, and hospitalization factors), each accumulated point on the Activities of Daily Living (ADL) score was significantly associated with higher risk of 1-year mortality OR 1.28 [1.06, 1.55], hospital readmission OR 1.23 [1.07, 1.41], and failure to discharge home OR 1.24 [1.08, 1.43]. Tube feeds were significantly associated with 1-year mortality OR 5.75 [2.24, 14.74]. Neither burn severity/size nor age showed association with outcomes.

**Conclusions:** For older adult burn patients discharged to SNF, many will return home. Functional status reflected in the ability to perform ADLs was the best predictor of outcome. Long-term post-SNF discharge outcomes are more dependent on surrogates of underlying frailty than severity of injury. This has implications for improving prognostic discussions with elderly burn patients.
A NOVEL, PRESSURIZED-CADAVER SIMULATION MODEL FOR LIMB TOURNIQUET TRAINING IN MILITARY MEDICS

Daniel Grabo* MD, Aaron Strumwasser MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Christopher Foran MD, Chase Luther BS, Kazuhide Matsushima MD, Travis Polk* MD, Demetrios Demetriades* MD,Ph.D., LAC+USC Medical Center

Introduction: Exsanguinating extremity hemorrhage is a significant cause of preventable deaths on the battlefield and can often be controlled with application of standard limb tourniquets. Military medics rotating at our training program are instructed on limb tourniquets including indications and practical application. We sought to evaluate the effectiveness of traditional tourniquet teaching compared with a novel, pressurized-cadaver, simulation model for training in limb tourniquet placement by military medics.

Methods: Military medics at our training program who volunteered to participate in the study were randomized to one of two limb tourniquet training arms. Traditional training (TT) consisted of slide-based lecture on tourniquet indications and placement as well as practice sessions. Pressurized-cadaver training (PCT) included initial TT plus hands-on instruction utilizing a pressurized-cadaver, bleeding-limb simulation model. Medics were evaluated in their ability to achieve hemorrhage control with a tourniquet in a cadaver simulation model with a standardized bleeding extremity wound. Outcomes were compared between the two study groups: (1) time to control hemorrhage with tourniquet(s), (2) correct placement of tourniquet(s) including distance from the wound (range: 5.0 to 7.6 cm), and (3) volume of simulated blood loss. Study participants received surveys to assess their confidence (five point Likert scale) in understanding the indications for tourniquet placement and in their ability to place a tourniquet to stop bleeding on an injured limb.

Results: 53 medics were enrolled; 26 randomized to TT and 27 to PCT arms. Groups were equally matched based on prior tourniquet training. Medics in the PCT group controlled bleeding with the first tourniquet more frequently compared with the TT group (96% v 83%, p<0.03) and were significantly quicker in achieving hemorrhage control (39 sec. v 45 sec., p<0.01). Both groups placed the tourniquets in the correct location and within the described target range above the wound (PCT: 5.5cm v TT: 7.6cm). Medics trained in the PCT model achieved hemorrhage control with significantly less simulated blood loss when compared with the TT group (256 mL v 355 mL, p<0.01). There was a trend towards increased confidence in tourniquet application among medics as 57% of the PCT group and 29% of the TT group reported increased confidence in their ability to place a tourniquet on a bleeding extremity.

Conclusion: Utilizing a novel, pressurized-cadaver simulation model for extremity tourniquet training, military medics performed better in placing limb tourniquets more rapidly and with less simulated blood loss than their traditional training counterparts. Moreover, they were more likely to achieve hemorrhage control with the first tourniquet placed and even gain self-confidence in this life-saving procedure. Further studies are indicated to identify the optimal components of effective simulation training for limb tourniquets and other emergent interventions.
EDUCATIONAL IMPACT OF HAND MOTION ANALYSIS IN THE EVALUATION OF CLINICAL ULTRASOUND SKILLS FOR FAST EXAM

Mauro Zago* MD, FEBS EmSurg, Chiarella Sforza MD,Ph.D., Andrea Casamassima MD, Diego Mariani MD, Matteo Marconi MD, Antonio La Greca MD, Hayato Kurihara MD, Alan Biloslavo MD, Gianguido Montagnolo MD, Diego Foschi MD, Francesco Caputo BME, Daniela Ciprandi MPE, Ilaria Pacifici BME, Manuela Galli Ph.D., ME, Matteo Zago Ph.D., BME University Of Milan - Dept. Of Biomedical Sciences For Health

Introduction: Hand motion analysis (HMA) was introduced as an objective measure of surgical and ultrasound (US) skills. Previous HMA investigations were affected by data processing limitations, cohort and human models selection biases. Thus, the aims of the present work are: (i) to find quantitative parameters to assess US skills; (ii) to determine experience-dependent FAST tasks to design improved educational pathways.

Methods: Ten experts (EG) and 13 beginners (BG) surgeons performed a FAST exam on a male and a female healthy volunteers (equipment: Esaote MyLab Alfa, IT). BG were residents with no previous US experience; they were tested immediately after a blended FAST course (MUSEC® EFAST). EG were MUSEC Instructors with >5 years of experience. Hand kinematics was recorded with a 3D motion analyzer (BTS Spa, IT). An independent experienced operator approved the obtained target for each view. Participants were also rated according to the QUICk score. Custom software yielded the following hand kinematic variables: total/single-scan duration, working volume, distance travelled, distance travelled normalized by scan duration. A 3-way ANOVA (factors group, model, view) was performed on each variable. A 2-way ANOVA (factors group, model) was conducted on QUICk scores and total duration.

Results: QUICk scores differed between groups (group factor, p=0.004): 19.2 (SD 1.1) for the male and 18.7 (1.6) for the female model in EG, and 16.7 (1.8) and 15.4 (4.1) in BG. As expected, total duration was significantly lower in EG: 60.2 (27.1) s and 68.2 (19.3) s compared to 206.8 (49.7) s and 274.5 (106.0) s in BG, for the male and female models, respectively. Group factor was highly significant (p<0.001), unlike model factor (p=0.062). Selected single-view results are shown in Fig. 1. Working volume was reduced in EG (group factor, p=0.003); absolute hand distance travelled was higher in BG (group factor, p<0.001), while normalized distance was significantly higher in EG (group factor, p<0.001; view factor, p=0.008).

Conclusion: Considered variables allowed to distinguish between EG and BG. The LUQ/3 scan was the most difficult for the BG. These finding could be useful for a focused HMA assessment. In EG absolute distance was lower, but normalized distance was significantly higher: i.e., experts’ hand moved less, but quickly performed more probe heading adjustments in a reduced volume. Trainees could be stressed to limit arm movements, focusing on wrist and fingers, speeding up the acquisition of tilting and fanning control. There is room to include HMA for objectively assessing US skills.

Fig. 1: Scan duration*#, working volume* and normalized distance travelled*# by operators’ hand. 3-w ANOVA: significant difference on group (*) or view (#) factor.
HIGH-FIDELITY SIMULATION IDENTIFIES GAPS IN BASIC TRAUMA RESUSCITATION SKILLS

Matthew L. Moorman MD, Tony R. Capizzani MD, Michael S. O'Mara* MBA,MD, Marshall C. Spalding DO,Ph.D., OhioHealth Grant Medical Center

Introduction: Simulation based medical education (SBME) has become mainstream required by most residency programs. SBME is often used for complex skill sets with the basics assumed as prerequisite. Our experience developing trauma resuscitation simulation curricula rely on basic skills being mastered prior to the training. This assumption may be false and may lead to worsened patient outcomes. This study investigates the gap between assumed and actual basic life support skills employed in the assessment and resuscitation of the complex trauma patient.

Methods: SimMan 3G (Laerdal Medical) was used for simulation. Our previously reported curriculum using scenarios similar to Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) was applied to learners in multiple settings and disciplines including surgery, anesthesia, emergency medicine, and nursing. Training was done in two sessions on one training day with the known goal of refreshing advanced trauma resuscitation skills. Current Basic Life Support and Advanced Cardiac Life Support certification was a prerequisite. Surgical residents were current in ATLS. Data capture included: airway control, shock physiology identification, resuscitation products and volume delivered, massive transfusion triggers, and endpoints of resuscitation.

Results: 71 trainees were included in initial training: 43 general surgery, 11 anesthesia, 9 emergency medicine, and 8 critical care or trauma nurses. 97% of learners asked the patient to speak as evaluation of a patent airway. 27% did no further evaluation for an obviously compromised airway. 15% applied mechanical airway assistance (jaw thrust) to conscious patients. 4% used a nasal/oral airway adjunct. 50% of trainees were unable to articulate clinical findings of pneumothorax (ptx), tension pneumothorax (tptx), massive hemothorax (htx), or esophageal intubation. 33 learners repeated the scenarios >6 months after the initial training: 27 surgical, 4 anesthesia, 2 emergency medicine. 58% now pursued airway control after initially determining it was inadequate. 76% applied mechanical assistance to open the airway. 20% appropriately used an adjunct. 79% appropriately identified abnormal respiratory mechanics (ptx, tptx, htx).

Conclusion: The assumption that our trauma teams have mastery of basic life support skills may be in error. Traditional modes designed to teach these skills may be minimally effective if taught well before the skills are utilized in practice. High-fidelity simulation quickly identifies and rectifies these skill gaps and should be considered the method of choice for remedial and refresher training. These results mimic our original data set during curriculum development and further solidifies SMBE as the training modality of choice for basic initial trauma assessment and resuscitation skills.
REGIONALIZATION OF CARE FOR PATIENTS WITH NECROTIZING SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS: OPTIMAL TIMING FOR DEBRIDEMENT

Rebecca G. Maine MD,MPH, Kari A. Keys MD, Bryce Robinson* MD, MS, Laura K. Tom MD, Brodie Parent MD, Michael Glenn RN, Saman Arbabi* MD,MPH, Eileen M. Bulger* MD, University Of Washington, Harborview Medical Center

Introduction: Optimal outcomes from necrotizing soft tissue infections (NSTI) depend on timely diagnosis and surgical source control. Regionalization of treatment for time-sensitive conditions has been shown to improve care. We hypothesize that prompt transfer and regionalization of surgical NSTI treatment can decrease disease morbidity.

Methods: Transfer patterns were evaluated in our institutional NSTI database (retrospective 2002-2014, prospective 2015-2016). Adequate transfer data was available for the prospective cohort, which was analyzed to assess the impact of transfer on time to initial debridement and clinical outcomes. Secondary analysis evaluated the impact of pre-transfer debridement, late debridement (>12 hours), and delayed transfer (>24 hours), on mortality, hospital length of stay, ventilator-free days, ICU-free days, complications, and total number of debridements. Using multivariable regression we adjusted the analyses for severity of acute illness, including shock (lactate>10 or base deficit >6) and initial sodium, WBC, hemoglobin, creatinine, glucose and CRP, as well comorbidities (age, diabetes, smoking, and renal failure).

Results: The database includes 701 patients with confirmed NSTI, with 231 in the prospective cohort. Inpatient mortality was 9.7%. Patient arrival via transfer increased significantly over time, with yearly increase from 56% pre-2012 to 89% post-2014, p<0.001. Debridement pre-transfer occurred in 32.5%. Patients who were not debrided prior to transfer had significantly longer median time from presentation to debridement [10.5 hrs, IQR: 8 – 26hrs] than those debrided prior to transfer [8 hrs, IQR: 5 - 23, p 0.03] and patients who did not transfer [6.9hrs, IQR: 5 – 9, p<0.001]. Pre-transfer debridement was associated with delayed transfer (>24hrs) (56% vs 21%, p <0.001), but 78% of patients were transferred within 48 hours. The median time to the OR on arrival to our center was 3.7 hrs (IQR: 2.6 – 6.95 hrs). In multivariable analysis, mortality, ventilator-free days, ICU-free days, complications and total number of debridements were not affected by late debridement (>12hrs). Pre-transfer debridement was associated with the need for more total debridements. (+1.1, p <0.001). However, delayed transfer was associated with longer hospital stay (+11.1 days, p =0.004).

Conclusions: The number of patients treated at our center, and the high percentage of transferred patients suggest that NSTI care is regionalizing. Overall, late debridement, delayed transfer, and pre-transfer debridement had little effect on clinical outcomes, in part because overall mortality was low and debridement occurred rapidly after arrival at our center. Pre-transfer debridement significantly decreased the time to initial debridement, but was associated with more debridements and delay to transfer. Delayed transfer was associated with a significant increase in length of stay. These data support the regionalization of time-sensitive NSTI care with expeditious transfer prior to debridement demonstrating the best outcome if the receiving center can provide rapid surgical intervention.
AGING IS A CRUCIAL FACTOR FOR GLYCOCALYX DISRUPTION LEADING TO INCREASE RESUSCITATION FLUID REQUIREMENT IN BURN PATIENTS

Akinori Osuka MD,Ph.D., Hiroshi Matsuura MD, Hiroshi Ogura* MD,Ph.D., Japan Community Healthcare Organization Chukyo Hospital

Introduction: Following severe burn injury, it is known that massive fluid shift occurs which leads to edema formation and intravascular fluid loss. To correct the intravascular fluid loss, aggressive fluid resuscitation may lead burn induced compartment syndromes. The factors causing the fluid shift have not completely revealed. The aim of this study was to identify the relationships between disruption of glycocalyx and fluid shift following burn injury.

Methods: Patients aged > 18 years old who suffered burn injury over > 20% total body surface area (TBSA) were enrolled in this prospective cohort study. Patients with cardiac arrest on admission or who were transferred > 24 hours after injury were excluded. Patients backgrounds including age, gender, burn size, inhalation injury was recorded at the time of patient enrollment. Serum syndecan-1 was serially measured on admission, at 1 day, 3-5 day, around 1 week, around 2 weeks, and around 1 month following injury to see the kinetics of the syndecan-1 following burn injury. Additionally endothelial damage biomarkers such as thrombomodulin, antithrombin III, and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 were measured. The fluid requirement for the first 24 hours were counted. And we determined that the relationships between the syndecan-1 level and fluid requirement. Finally, we analyzed the relationships between the syndecan-1 level and morbidity or mortality.

Results: 39 patients were enrolled. Median age was 55 years old, and median burn size was 35%. 16 patients developed burn induced compartment syndrome, and 10 patients died. The syndecan-1 on admission was significantly higher than healthy volunteers and prolonged. The syndecan-1 was associated with patients’ age (r = 0.50, p = 0.001) but not with burn size (r = 0.08, p = 0.63). The antithrombin III was negatively associated with burn size (r = -0.48, p = 0.002). The association between the syndecan-1 on admission and the fluid requirements (mL/kg) were significant (r = 0.38, p = 0.017). After adjusted by age, gender, %TBSA, inhalation injury, the syndecan-1 was still independent parameter for the fluid requirement (Estimate = 48.47, p = 0.04), and for the development of burn induced compartment syndrome (Odds ratio = 5.88, p = 0.03).

Conclusion: Glycocalyx disruption occurs soon after burn injury in an age dependent manner. The syndecan-1 level was associated with increase of fluid requirement and with development of burn induced compartment syndrome. We may need to develop new strategies to protect glycocalyx for burn patients.
Introduction: Trauma resuscitation is complex and nuanced. After Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) certification, there is little opportunity for practical review of trauma resuscitation scenarios. Patient simulation training has gained increasing popularity and allows for a controlled environment for hands-on training. In 2014 our institution implemented a trauma simulation training course. We sought to investigate how our simulation training impacted providers’ understanding and confidence of trauma resuscitation.

Methods: Hi-fidelity manikin-based workshops were conducted to simulate trauma scenarios. Participants were asked to participate in a pre- and post-course survey. Survey data was collected either as categorical (yes/no) or on a Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). Pre- and post-course means were compared with paired-sample T test.

Results: A total of 43 trainees participated in the course with 22 (51.2%) of the participants that were already ATLS certified. 26 (60.5%) of providers were post graduate year 1 trainees. The view that trauma simulation participation would be beneficial to confidence and comfort with trauma resuscitations remained high post-course. There was an overall increase in confidence and skills understanding across all other outcomes surveyed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Question</th>
<th>Pre-Course Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-Course Mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Managing High Acuity (Level 1) Trauma Patient</td>
<td>3.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand How to Prepare to Receive a Trauma Patient</td>
<td>3.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand My Role/Responsibilities in the Trauma Bay</td>
<td>3.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand Other’s Role/Responsibilities in the Trauma Bay</td>
<td>3.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand the Flow/Steps of a Trauma Resuscitation</td>
<td>3.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Training Will/Has Been Useful to Enhance My Confidence and Comfort with Trauma Resuscitation</td>
<td>4.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>.375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = paired-sample T test

Conclusion: Trauma simulation training significantly improved providers’ confidence and understanding of responsibilities and management of trauma patients.
Introduction: Unplanned admission/readmission (UA/R) to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) has become a major quality measure in national outcome databases (TQIP). We sought to identify predictors of the ICU UA/R population in order to characterize these patients. We hypothesized that UA/R patients could be identified by their specific patterns of complications.

Methods: The Pennsylvania Trauma Outcome Study database was retrospectively queried from 2011-2015 for all patients with ICU admission. The specific population of interest included all patients with ICU UA/R. Demographics, complications, and comorbid conditions were compared between UA/R and non-UA/R counterparts to determine potential predictor variables. A multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model controlling for injury severity in the form of Trauma Mortality Prediction Model (TMPM), systolic blood pressure, and injury year assessed the adjusted predictive impact of 19 variables on UA/R.

Results: A total of 72,331 patients met inclusion criteria (UA/R: 2,070 [2.86%]; non-UA/R: 70,261 [97.1%]). Compared to non-UA/R counterparts, patients in the UA/R population were significantly older and more severely injured. In addition, the UA/R population was significantly more likely to suffer from respiratory complications and infection. In adjusted analysis, acute respiratory failure, pulmonary embolism, and sepsis were the three strongest predictors of UA/R. Increased ventilator days and head injury were associated with reduced odds ratios for UA/R (Table 1).

Conclusion: ICU UA/R patients are disproportionately burdened by respiratory complications. Head injury appears to be protective against UA/R. Isolating predictors of ICU UA/R is the first step in developing a potential scoring system to identify these patients.

Table 1. Demographic, complication, and comorbid condition variables significantly associated with bounceback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01-1.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.08-1.33)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Respiratory Failure</td>
<td>4.25 (3.66-4.84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary Embolism</td>
<td>3.12 (2.42-4.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>2.46 (1.98-3.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td>1.96 (1.45-2.66)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>1.67 (1.43-1.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Line</td>
<td>1.66 (1.47-1.87)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Vein Thrombosis</td>
<td>1.53 (1.23-1.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremity Fracture</td>
<td>1.38 (1.22-1.55)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease</td>
<td>1.28 (1.13-1.45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>1.17 (1.00-1.36)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Length of Stay</td>
<td>1.13 (1.11-1.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator Days</td>
<td>0.88 (0.86-0.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Injury (AIS Head ≥3)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.69-0.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Controlling for TMPM, Systolic Blood Pressure, Injury Year
* Non-significant variables not displayed

AUROC: 0.83
DOES THE ADDITION OF DEXMEDETOMIDINE TO PROPOFOL SEDATION REDUCE THE DURATION OF MECHANICAL VENTILATION IN SURGICAL ICU PATIENTS?

Jessica M. Louie Pharm.D., Nick W. Lonardo Pharm.D., Mary C. Mone RN, Vanessa W. Stevens Ph.D., Rishi Deka MS, Richard W. Shipley Pharm.D., Richard G. Barton* MD, University Of Utah Health Care

Introduction: Sedative medications are standard treatment for mechanically ventilated ICU patients. The Society of Critical Care Medicine guidelines state that the preferred agents are non-benzodiazepines, either propofol (PROP) or dexmedetomidine (DEX). Despite the efficacy of DEX as an exclusive agent, there is uncertainty as to the practice of adding DEX to PROP sedation in routine clinical ICU practice for both discrete and non-discrete indications. The primary objective was to compare duration of mechanical ventilation (MV) between those sedated with continuous infusions of PROP alone or combination use of DEX+PROP.

Methods: Data was retrospectively obtained from a university-based, Level-1 trauma center, mixed trauma and surgical intensive care unit (SICU) and included adult admissions from 2010 to 2014. Exclusions included clinical reasons for prolonged MV irrespective of sedative (e.g. spinal and/or major head injury, alcohol withdrawal treatment with benzodiazepine, continuous infusions of other sedation agents). A propensity matched (1:1) cohort study, using 8 variables for matching (e.g. age, gender, APACHE II score, hemodynamic instability, admitting service) was constructed. The timing of exposure to DEX was incorporated in the matching algorithm. Primary outcomes were MV duration, SICU length of stay (LOS), and all-cause SICU mortality. Exploratory outcomes included delirium and sedation score comparisons.

Results: Of 943 cases with MV > 24 hours, 149 received DEX+PROP, with 143 matched to those treated with PROP alone. The median duration of MV in the PROP alone cohort was 142.8 hours and 137.0 hours in the DEX+PROP cohort (P=0.31). The median absolute difference of PROP infusion was 22.6 hours less in the DEX+PROP group (P=0.07). Median hours from propofol initiation to start of DXM were 58 hours. There was no statistical difference in SICU LOS; median absolute difference of 5.3 hours for PROP alone group (P=0.43). The SICU mortality was not statistically different (RR=1.002, P=0.88). Examining a 14-day period post treatment with DEX, on any given day (excluding day 1 & 14), DXM-PROP treated patients had a 0.5% to 22.5% greater likelihood of being delirious (CAM-ICU positive). In addition, DXM+ Prop treated patients had a 4.5% to 18.8% higher likelihood of being above target sedation score (more agitated) compared to PROP-alone patients.

Conclusion: In this propensity matched cohort study, adjunct use of DEX to PROP did not show a statistically significant reduction with respect to MV duration, SICU LOS, or SICU mortality despite a trend toward receiving fewer hours of PROP. There was no evidence that DEX+PROP improved sedation scores or reduced delirium.
ACHIEVING LACTATE NORMALIZATION, NOT LACTATE CLEARANCE LEVELS, PREDICTS SURVIVAL AFTER SEVERE BLUNT TRAUMA

Stephen C. Gale* MD, Jo Ann Peters RN, Jason S. Murry MD, Viktor Y. Dombrovskiy MD, MPH, Ph.D., East Texas Medical Center

Introduction: Serum lactate is useful biomarker to guide resuscitation after severe injury. Lactate studies often consider “initial lactate,” “lactate clearance,” or time to “lactate normalization” but there is little comparison between these. Further, resuscitation goals often differ between studies. We sought to compare various lactate analysis techniques using a large validated multicenter database.

Methods: The Glue Grant Trauma Related Database (TRDB) was used; all patients with multiple lactate levels were included. Demographics, injury and physiologic data, lactate levels, and outcomes were collected and analyzed. Survivors and non-survivors were compared using chi-square and Student’s t test where appropriate. Patients were grouped by degree of lactate clearance (LC) at 12, 24, and 48 hours (<0%, 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, >75%), and time to lactate normalization (<12 hours, 12-24 hours, 24-48 hours, >48 hours). Normal lactate ≤2.5mmol/L. A logistic regression model, controlling for demographics, APACHE II, and ISS, was created to predict mortality for each separate group. Bonferroni correction was used with p<0.0026 considered significant.

Results: Of 2008 patients in the TRDB, 1817 had multiple lactates. Demographics are in Table 1.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Non-survivor</th>
<th>p value OR 95% CI p-value AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>41 ± 18</td>
<td>50 ± 21</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001 1.02 1.01 - 1.02 &lt;0.0001 0.6181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)Male</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>p=0.86 0.93 0.69 - 1.27 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.31 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.23 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II</td>
<td>27.9 ± 6.7</td>
<td>35.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001 1.2 1.16 - 1.23 &lt;0.00001 0.8030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>37.7 ± 13.5</td>
<td>45.1 ± 15.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001 1.3 1.02 - 1.04 &lt;0.00001 0.6369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial lactate</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001 1.18 1.12 - 1.24 &lt;0.00001 0.7066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, APACHE II, ISS, and initial lactate were associate with mortality (p<0.00001). After multivariable regression (Table 2) for LC only a negative clearance (increasing lactate) at 24 hours (OR 2.06 (142-2.98); p<0.0002) and >75% LC (OR 0.21 (0.06-0.68); p<0.001) – essentially normalization - predicted mortality. No other clearance levels at 12, 24 or 48 hours were associated with outcome. For lactate normalization time, only achieving normalization within 12-24 (OR 0.42 (0.26-0.69); p<0.001) and failure to normalize by 48 hours (OR 2.56 (1.79-3.67); p<0.00001) were predictive. Early (<12 hours) and 24-48 hour normalization were not.

Conclusions: Lactate clearance percentages are not useful in predicting mortality after severe blunt trauma - except negative clearance (worsening lactate) at 24 hours. Achieving a normal lactate by 24 hours appears to be a useful goal while failure to normalize lactate by 48 hours should alert surgeons to higher risk of mortality.
IN A NATIONAL SAMPLE OF 2-MILLION INJURED PATIENTS, TRAUMATIC INJURY IS ASSOCIATED WITH A 2.5 FOLD INCREASED INCIDENCE OF VAP AS COMPARED TO UNINJURED CONTROLS

Christopher B. Horn MD, Dajun Tian MS, John E. Mazuski* MD,Ph.D., Sara A. Buckman MD, PharmD, Grant V. Bochicchio* MD,MPH, Isaiah R. Turnbull MD,Ph.D., Washington University in St. Louis

Introduction: Up to 40% of intubated trauma patients will develop pneumonia. This is significantly higher than the rates of pneumonia observed in non-trauma populations, and suggests that trauma is associated with an increased risk of pulmonary infection. To measure the effect of trauma on the diagnosis of ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) we compared the incidence of VAP diagnoses and the frequency and outcomes diagnostic procedures such as bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) in injured vs. uninjured patients using both the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) and data from our institutional database.

Methods: The National Inpatient Sample from 2010-2014 was queried for trauma cases over age 18 based on the presence of ICD-9 800.00-959.9. These cases were then matched with uninjured controls for age, length of stay (LOS), Elixhauser Comorbidity Index, race, gender and operating room procedures; the incidence of VAP was measured based on ICD-9 997.31. We then identified patients admitted to our institution from January 1999 to October 2016 with a diagnosis of VAP (ICD9 997.31); trauma was defined based on ICD-9 as above and frequency and outcome of BAL was extracted from the medical record. Frequencies were compared by χ², and continuous variables by Student’s T-test using SPSS software package.

Results: In the NIS from 2010-2014; 96.4% were successfully matched on an uninjured control. In the matched cohort 49.7% were female; the average age was 63.0. We found that injury was associated with a 2.5-fold increased incidence in the diagnosis of VAP as compared to uninjured controls (0.17% vs. 0.07%, p<0.001); however, VAP in injured patients was associated with a significantly decreased risk of death (15.0% vs 20.3%, p<.001). To determine differences in how VAP is diagnosed in injured vs. uninjured patients, we identified 200 injured patients and 434 uninjured patients with diagnosis of VAP at our institution from 1998-2014. Within this cohort, injured patients with a diagnosis of VAP were more likely to have undergone a BAL than uninjured patients with a diagnoses of VAP (55.5% vs 40.3% p<.001 ) and less likely to be diagnosed with VAP without undergoing any diagnostic procedure (2.5% vs 8.1% P = .007).

Conclusion: In a large national sample of inpatients, traumatic injury is associated with a 2.5-fold increase in the diagnosis of VAP. However, the mortality of VAP in injured patients is significantly less than that of matched controls. Within our institution, injured patients with a diagnoses of VAP are more likely to have undergone BAL as compared to uninjured patients. Taken together, these data suggest that VAP may be overdiagnosed in trauma patients despite an increased rate of diagnostic procedures. Given that nosocomial infections are subject to increasing regulatory and compliance scrutiny, the potential overdiagnoses of VAP is concerning.
TRAUMA IS ASSOCIATED WITH 1.5-FOLD INCREASED RATE OF UNREIMBURSED CATHETER ASSOCIATED URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN A NATIONAL SAMPLE OF 2-MILLION INJURED PATIENTS

Christopher B. Horn MD, Dajun Tian MS, John E. Mazuski* MD,Ph.D., Sara A. Buckman MD, PharmD, Grant V. Bochicchio* MD,MPH, Isaiah R. Turnbull MD,Ph.D., Washington University in St. Louis

Introduction: Traumatic injury is associated with immune dysfunction resulting in 45% of injured patients developing an infectious complication. In 2008, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services identified Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) as an unreimbursed preventable iatrogenic complication. To determine if injury was associated with an increased risk of CAUTI, we measured rates of CAUTI in injured vs. uninjured patients in the National Inpatient Sample. We hypothesized that after controlling for clinical metrics, patients with injuries would have an increased susceptibility to UTI.

Methods: Injured patients from the 2010-2014 National Inpatient Sample were identified. Patients under the age of 18 and elective admissions were excluded. Eligible patients were then case matched by age, gender, race, length of stay, Elixhauser comorbidity index, and presence of major operating room procedures. We then measured the effect of injury on the rate of CAUTI and related outcomes. Injury was defined by ICD-9 codes 800-959 and non-elective admission; CAUTI was defined as ICD-9 code 996.64. Frequencies were compared by χ²; normally distributed continuous variables were compared with Student’s T test using the SPSS software package.

Results: We identified 1.98 (10^6) injured patients in the NIS; 96.4% were successfully matched to an uninjured control. 49.7% were female; the average age was 63.0. The average Elixhauser index was 2.64. 35.8% of patients underwent major operating room procedures. Traumatically injured patients were 1.5-fold more likely to be diagnosed with CAUTI than non-injured patients (0.52% vs. 0.35% p<.001); however, CAUTI was associated with significantly lower mortality in the injured patients (2.6% vs. 3.8% p<.001). Total hospital visit charges were not significantly different between cohorts.

Conclusion: After controlling for age, length of stay and comorbidities, traumatic injury is associated with a significant increase in the frequency of diagnosis of CAUTI, but with significantly decreased associated mortality. Together, the increased incidence and decreased mortality in trauma patients suggests that CAUTI may be overdiagnosed in trauma patients. Given that nosocomial infections have been put forth as benchmarks for patient safety, the over-diagnosis of CAUTI in injured patients may significantly impact quality metrics and remuneration for organizations caring for trauma patients.
Introduction: Reactive thrombocytosis is defined as a platelet count greater than 450×10^3/mm^3 due to various causes such as inflammation, infection, neoplasm, surgery, trauma, or asplenia. The etiology and influence factors for reactive thrombocytosis after severe trauma have not been elucidated so far. The aim of this study was to clarify the risk factors for reactive thrombocytosis in patients with severe trauma.

Materials and Methods: Severe trauma patients with an injury severity score (ISS) of more than 16 and admitted to our trauma intensive care unit (ICU) between January 2015 and December 2015 were retrospectively studied. We excluded patients who died or discharged within 9 days after ICU admission and patients with splenic injury. Baseline characteristics including age, sex, types of trauma, ISS, and initial volume of spleen were recorded. To measure the initial spleen volume, CT images were analyzed by a radiologist without knowledge of any patient’s medical history. We also recorded the serial changes in platelet counts, mean platelet volume (MPV), and C-reactive protein (CRP). Associations between risk factors and peak platelet counts were explored using stepwise multivariate linear regression analysis.

Results: A total of 77 consecutive trauma patients were included during the study period. Reactive thrombocytosis developed in 34 patients (41%) at a mean of 15 days after admission. The average initial spleen volume was 107.5mm^3. Patient with reactive thrombocytosis had a lower initial spleen volume and a shorter MPV maximum day compared to the patients without thrombocytosis. The stepwise linear regression analysis revealed that reactive thrombocytosis was significantly associated with a smaller spleen volume and early MPV elevation independently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-2.890</td>
<td>-4.318</td>
<td>-1.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CRP level</td>
<td>7.306</td>
<td>3.374</td>
<td>11.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft bone fracture</td>
<td>88.483</td>
<td>8.114</td>
<td>164.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial spleen volume</td>
<td>-.500</td>
<td>-.993</td>
<td>-.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: In summary, reactive thrombocytosis after severe trauma is a common finding and associated with the smaller spleen volume. Our results suggested that splenic hypoplasia may be risk for reactive thrombocytosis.
Infections and the low yield of fever evaluations in severe traumatic brain injury patients
Meghal Shah BS, Jacob Sim BS, Ayonrinde Soipe BS, Stephanie N. Lueckel MD, Tareq Kheirbek MD, Daithi S. Heffernan MD, Eric Benoit MD, Charles A. Adams* Jr., MD, Andrew H. Stephen MD, Brown University Rhode Island Hospital

Introduction: Infections are common complications among TBI patients and are associated with increased length of stay and mortality. Although necessary to identify infection, workups may be invasive, expensive, and low yield. Infection workups usually follow a fever however noninfectious fevers among TBI patients occur often due to autonomic dysfunction. There is scant evidence to guide a more directed fever work up (FWU) or to address which component of the FWU is most likely associated with an infection.

Methods: Retrospective review of patients ≥18 years old with severe TBI (GCS ≤ 8) over 18 months. Patient and injury characteristics, daily maximum temperature (TMax), white cell, neutrophil and lymphocyte counts were reviewed for 14 days. Fever was defined as TMax≥101.4 F. Persistent fevers were≥2 days of TMax≥101.4 F prior to FWU. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was deemed positive if cultures grew ≥10,000 cfu/mL. Blood cultures (BCx) were drawn via peripheral venipuncture and urine workups (Uw) consisted of a urinalysis and if this was positive a urine culture was done.

Results: 106 patients presented with severe TBI, mean age was 47 yrs, 74% were male, mean ISS was 26.2. The most common injury was SDH (56.6%) and 22.6% required craniotomy. Infection work up included 67 BAL (62.7% positive), 135 BCx (5.9% positive) and 141 Uw (2.8% positive). Among the 106 pts, 48 pts (45.3%) had at least one infection. We therefore assessed frequency of fevers and correlation between fever and infection. Among patients with fevers, there were 292 fever days out of 820 hospital days. Of the 292 days of fever, 176 (60.3%) triggered a FWU. Of these 176 FWUs, 29% were positive, with pneumonia being the most common infection. Comparing positive infection versus no infection FWUs there was no difference in rates of persistent fever prior to FWU (55% vs 51%; p=0.8) or rates of 3+ days of fever prior to FWU (26.3% vs 25.9%; p=1.0). Among fever workups, those returning positive for infection had a lower WBC the day of the workup compared to noninfected work-ups (10.9+/-.0.6 vs 12.9+/-.0.4; p=0.048) However, there were no other differences between infected versus noninfected workups with respect to rates of leukocytosis (42.9% vs 52.7%; p=0.3), rates of lymphopenia (48.4% vs 36.7%; p=0.24), or absolute neutrophil or lymphocyte counts.

Conclusion: Infections, especially pneumonia, occur frequently in patients with severe TBI. Fevers are also extremely common in severe TBI patients. No feature of the fever profile was predictive of an infectious etiology in our series. Other than clinically directly BAL for pneumonia the yield of other components of an infection work up were extremely low. Intensivists should focus on reducing the tendency to reflexively send blood or urine specimens following a fever, thereby reducing costs, invasive testing and phlebotomy in patients with severe TBI.
Is Airway Pressure Release Ventilation Safe in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury?

Colston Edgerton MD, Stuart Leon MD, Melissa Hite MD, Stephen Kalhorn MD, Lancer Scott MD, Evert Eriksson MD, Medical University Of South Carolina

Introduction: Airway Pressure Release Ventilation (APRV) use as a mode of mechanical ventilation in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) remains controversial. While some feel the elevated thoracic pressures may cause clinically significant increases in intracranial pressure (ICP), this effect has not been well established.

Methods: A retrospective review, from 2009 – 2015, of traumatically injured patients were identified who were transitioned from traditional ventilator modes to APRV and also had an ICP monitor in place. The trauma registry as well as chart review was used to determine injury characteristics as well as laboratory data and hemodynamic parameters surrounding the transition. Data are presented as mean +/- standard deviation or median (IQR).

Results: Fifteen patients undergoing 19 transitions to APRV were identified. The average age of the cohort was 40 +/- 17 years old and 87% were male. The average ISS was 33 +/-13 with an AIS-Head of 4.1 +/- 0.9 and 60% survived. Prior to transitioning to APRV the average static and dynamic compliance was 22.9 +/- 5.6 and 16.5 +/- 4.12 mL/cm H2O. Vital sign parameters were largely unchanged after the transition to APRV (ICP 12.7 +/- 4.3 vs. 13.5 +/- 3.4, p = 0.356, MAP 87.2 +/- 12.8 vs. 86.79 +/- 14.5, p = 0.884, CPP 74.5 +/- 11.6 vs. 73.3 +/- 14.0, p = 0.672) but there was a significant change in CVP (9.7 +/- 4.8 vs. 14.2 +/- 5.9, p = 0.041) and P:F ratio (162 +/- 92 vs. 221 +/- 116, p = 0.035). The patients’ pH and arterial CO2 values were also not significantly different. Individually, only 4 patients had ICP values > 20 in the first hour after transitioning to APRV and the rate of ICP elevations (# of ICP readings > 20/hours on mode of ventilation) was similar between the two modes of ventilation (0.067 #ICP>20/hour (0.018 – 0.217) vs. 0.025 #ICP>20/hour (0.000 – 0.128), p = 0.332).

Conclusion: APRV is a viable mode of ventilation in patients with TBI who also have poor lung compliance. The increased mean airway pressures and central venous pressure of this mode of ventilation do not appear to adversely affect ICP or hemodynamic parameters. A further evaluation of other effects of APRV ventilation on TBI patients is warranted.
A COMPARISON OF OUTCOMES BETWEEN PATIENTS NEEDING NEUROCRITICAL CARE BY PHYSICIANS CERTIFIED BY UNITED COUNCIL FOR NEUROLOGIC SUBSPECIALTIES VS. AMERICAN BOARD OF MEDICAL SPECIALTIES

Jeffrey A. Claridge* MD, MS, Brenda M. Zosa MD, Peter J. Lawson MBA,MPH, John Chae MD, M( MetroHealth Medical Center

Introduction: There have been increasing pressures to create specialized neurocritical care (NCC) units that are staffed solely by United Council for Neurologic Subspecialties (UCNS) certified physicians. Our hospital traditionally provided care for NCC patients by attendings who were either surgical or medical critical care boarded by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS-CC). A new NCC service staffed by UCNS certified intensivists was created at our level one trauma center and this study compared outcomes and costs between patients cared for by the UCNS service versus the traditional ABMS-CC teams.

Methods: Between Jan 2014 and May 2016, there were intermittent periods (several weeks – months) without UCNS intensivist coverage. This created two comparator groups that cared for the same patient populations. Two separate cohort analysis between patients cared for by either UCNS or ABMS-CC were done to evaluate outcomes and costs. The first analysis specifically looked at neurosurgery patients that were either cared for by ABMS surgical CC or the UCNS team. The second analysis evaluated patients who had a primary diagnosis of an acute critical non-traumatic neurological illness (i.e. CVA, SAH, status epilepticus, etc).

Results: The first cohort analysis compared 80 UCNS to 150 ABMS surgical CC patients. Mean age and race were similar. The UCNS group had higher 90-day mortality and daily ICU cost. Comparison of outcomes and costs are summarized in Table 1a. In the second cohort analysis, we matched patients with acute critical neurological illness in a 1:2 ratio yielding 138 UCNS and 276 ABMS-CC patients. Both groups had similar demographics. UCNS patients had significantly more studies performed (6.8 vs 5.3, p<0.001), higher mortality, increased costs, and longer length of stay. Table 1b

Conclusion: While there are certain advantages to specialized critical care teams, the creation of a dedicated UCNS staffed service at our institution did not demonstrate improvement in outcomes and cost increased. This data suggests that not all centers may benefit equally from a dedicated UCNS staffed NCC service and ABMS-CC intensivists provide efficient quality care. This data provides key information that supports caring for these patients by American Medical Board approved physicians.

| Table 1. Comparison of NCC Patients by Physician Certification |
| A.) Analysis of neurosurgical cohort n=230 |
| | UCNS n=80 | ABMS surgical CC n=150 | P |
| Mean age, years | 57.9±14.7 | 55.4±15.8 | 0.268 |
| Mean LOS, days | 11.9±8.4 | 10.5±10.6 | 0.296 |
| Mean ICU days | 8.7±7.2 | 7.1±8.9 | 0.148 |
| Mean ventilator days | 5.2±7.7 | 4.8±9.8 | 0.753 |
| In-hospital mortality | 15 (18.8%) | 19 (12.7%) | 0.216 |
| 90-day mortality | 20 (25.0%) | 21 (14.0%) | 0.038 |
| Total cost | $52,755 ± 42,901 | $47,348 ± 42,974 | 0.364 |
| ICU cost/ day | $1,559 ± 365 | $1,456 ± 297 | 0.023 |

| B.) Matched cohort analysis (1:2) by primary NCC diagnosis n=414 |
| | UCNS n=138 | ABMS-CC n=276 | P |
| Mean age, years | 59.95 ± 15.74 | 61.25 ± 17.56 | 0.462 |
| Mean LOS, days | 10.69 ± 8.44 | 8.95 ± 8.72 | 0.054 |
| Mean ICU days | 7.58 ± 6.60 | 5.75 ± 7.11 | 0.012 |
| Mean ventilator days | 4.63 ± 7.65 | 3.39 ± 7.17 | 0.093 |
| In-hospital mortality | 35 (25.4%) | 45 (16.3%) | 0.028 |
| 90-day mortality | 41 (29.7%) | 53 (19.2%) | 0.016 |
| Total cost | $39325 ± 33809 | $31180 ± 32670 | 0.019 |
| ICU cost/ day | $1568 ± 405 | $1435 ± 297 | <0.001 |
UNDERSTANDING THE BROKEN HEART: RISK FACTORS AND OUTCOMES FOR TAKOTSUBO'S CARDIOMYOPATHY IN CRITICALLY INJURED TRAUMA PATIENTS

Maunil Bhatt MD, Michael Ghio BS, Anubhav Nangia BS, Lili Sadri BS, Lenee Plauche BS, Tracey Dechert* MD, Boston Medical Center

Introduction: Takotsubo’s cardiomyopathy (TTC) is a transient and reversible dysfunction of the left ventricle with a characteristic balloon shape and wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram. Symptoms, biochemical, and electrocardiographic profiles are indistinguishable from an acute myocardial infarction (MI), but angiography reveals clean coronary arteries. Risk factors, pathogenesis, treatment and outcomes of TTC remain largely unknown. Previous studies on TTC have been in medical intensive care unit (ICU) patients despite increased recognition in trauma patients; there are no large studies in trauma or surgical patient population. We aim to investigate the clinical characteristics leading to Takotsubo’s cardiomyopathy and resulting outcomes in critically injured patients.

Methods: A retrospective chart review of injured patients diagnosed with TTC on echocardiogram in a surgical ICU over a 5 year period was performed. Controls with 1:1 ratio were randomly selected from remainder of the injured patients admitted to the surgical ICU. Factors including Injury Severity Score (ISS), acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) II score, abbreviated injury scale (AIS), and mechanism of injury were collected. Mortality, length of stay (LOS), ICU LOS, ventilator days, and need for blood transfusion were primary outcomes. Bivariate analysis were conducted with two-sided chi-square tests, t-test or Wilcoxon two-sample test.

Results: Of the 2283 injured patients admitted to the SICU in 5 years, 416 (18.2%) received echocardiograms during their hospital course and 63 patients (2.8%) were diagnosed with TTC. Sixty three controls were randomly selected from the remaining 2220 patients. Forty nine (78%) patients with TTC were male. Most patients (60%) with TTC were >=60 compared to 35% of controls (p = 0.0043). The majority of TTC patients (57%) suffered a fall which was associated with TTC (p=0.037). Median APACHE II score for TTC patients was higher compared to controls (10 Vs 7; p= 0.0001). ISS was not predictive or significantly different (median 17; p=0.321). Patients with AIS Head >= 3 (59% Vs 41%; OR: 6.654) and AIS chest >=3 were more likely to develop TTC (62% Vs 38%; OR: 6.32) respectively, however the association did not reach statistical significance due to low frequencies. Prior history of Afib (18%), prior MI (16%), or need for hemodialysis (1.6%) were not associated with TTC (p= 0.192, 0.256, 0.094). Patients with TTC had longer length of stay (14 days Vs 7 days, p=0.0182), longer ICU LOS (6 days Vs. 3 days; p=0.031), and more ventilator dependent days (median 2 days Vs 0 days; p=0.024). Patients with TTC required more blood transfusions compared to controls (median 0 Vs 1, p=0.012). Mortality was not significantly different between TTC and controls (9.5% Vs 4.8%; p=0.299). Most patients with TTC (60%) needed to be discharged to a facility and required additional care compared to only 43% of controls (p=0.05).

Conclusion: Incidence of TTC in our study is 2.8% which is comparable to the incidence described in the medical patients. Age >= 60, mechanism of injury, and higher APACHE II scores were significant risk factors. TTC patients had a similar mortality rate, but hospital LOS, ICU LOS, ventilator dependent days and blood transfusions were significantly higher for TTC patients compared to controls. Larger studies are needed to address some of the complex risk factors identified by our study in further details.
Abdomen Surveillance Culture after Open Abdominal Management for Trauma Patients: A Single-center Prospective Cohort Study

Naohisa Masunaga MD, Yasuaki Mizushima MD, Koji Idoguchi MD, Kei Nishiyama MD, Kazuo Ishikawa* MD, Senshu Trauma And Critical Care Center

Background: Open abdominal management (OAM) has been widely used as a damage control strategy for patients with physical trauma. However, infectious complications are serious problems in intensive care management after OAM. At our center, for OAM, operations are conducted every 24 to 72 hours until abdominal closure. During operation, we conduct abdominal surveillance culture (ASC) to identify the source of the infectious bacteria. The aim of this study is to examine the impact of ASC results on OAM.

Methods: The subjects were the consecutive 76 trauma patients who underwent OAM at our center between April 2002 and May 2014. We excluded cases where the patients had died within the first 48 hours and those who did not wish to be actively resuscitated. To conduct the ASC, we collected culture samples from the gauze used during the OAM operation and from the ascites. The samples with bacteria were considered ASC positive. The ASC-positive and ASC-negative groups were compared, and we examined the risk factors that might cause in-hospital death or ASC positivity.

Results: Of the 76 patients, 32 (42%) were ASC positive. No significant differences in age, sex, injury severity score (ISS), and wound location were found between the ASC-positive and ASC-negative groups. The mortality of the ASC-positive patients was 31% (10/32), whereas that of the ASC-negative patients was 7% (3/44; p < 0.01). The odds ratio for in-hospital death between the two patient groups was 6.2 (95% confidence interval, 1.7–29.9), and the corrected odds ratio using trauma and injury severity score (TRISS) was 8.0 (95% CI, 2.0–45.1). Furthermore, the causes of death of those who tested ASC positive and ASC negative were sepsis in most cases (8/10) and head injury in all the cases, respectively. As to the predictors of those patients becoming ASC positive, the study found a strong correlation between becoming ASC positive and the conditions during intervention (i.e., how much bleeding took place in the first operation, and how much blood transfusion took place in that 24-hour period, and the number of times OAM was conducted) rather than anything relating to the patients’ backgrounds.

Conclusion: ASC positivity strongly correlated with in-hospital death. Once identified as ASC positive, a quarter of patients die of sepsis. While we found no correlation between ASC positive and the patients’ backgrounds, a strong correlation was observed between the amount of blood loss during the initial surgery and the number of times OAM was conducted for those who were ASC positive. Thus, this study indicated the importance of finding a strategy to reduce these factors.
THE EFFECT OF LMWF5A ON DIFFERENTIATED THP-1 MONOCYTES:
POTENTIAL ACTIVATION OF ANTI-INFLAMMATORY MACROPHAGES

Leonard T. Rael MS, Charles W. Mains* MD, Denetta S. Slone* MD, Matthew M. Carrick* MD, Allen H. Tanner II, MD, David Bar-Or MD, Swedish Medical Center

Introduction: After development, macrophages encounter various stimuli leading to an activated state. Depending on the type of stimuli, activated macrophages either become M1 (pro-inflammatory) or M2 (anti-inflammatory) macrophages. This study aims to determine whether the low molecular weight fraction of 5% human serum albumin (LMWF5A) favors the activation of the anti-inflammatory M2 lineage.

Methods: A human peripheral blood monocyte cell line (THP-1) was differentiated into macrophages using phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA). After a 7 day differentiation period, macrophages were pre-treated with LMWF5A for 1 hour prior to overnight stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Supernatants were assayed by ELISA for cytokines of M1 or M2 activation such as IL-12 or IL-10, respectively.

Results: THP-1 cells were differentiated for 7 days into macrophages as evidenced by adherence to tissue culture plates and other morphological changes such as increases in size and development of vesicles associated with phagocytosis. More importantly, treatment of LPS-stimulated, differentiated THP-1 cells with LMWF5A caused a 3-fold increase in the release of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 with a concomitant 50% decrease in IL-12 release.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that LMWF5A promotes the activation of M2 macrophages which favors suppression of the immune response and promotion of wound healing/tissue remodeling. Therefore, LMWF5A is potentially a useful therapeutic in medical conditions where inflammation is prevalent such as trauma, sepsis, osteoarthritis, and various chronic conditions.

![Graphs showing cytokine release](image-url)
Management of Acute Surgical Conditions in Patients with Dementia: Should We Operate?


Introduction: Patients with dementia pose a challenge to the system when acute care decisions are required. Non-operative management is often appropriate for high-risk patients. We examined outcomes in operative and non-operative patients with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias (ADRD) and acute abdominal general surgical conditions.

Methods: Patients ≥55y with ADRD admitted emergently or urgently with an acute abdominal surgical condition were identified using Florida inpatient claims (2008-2013). Patients were classified by operative status. Operative cases (O) were exact matched to non-operative controls (NO) with the same surgical condition within each hospital. Patient comorbidities, socioeconomic and demographic factors, functional disability, sepsis severity and admission location were also matched using an optimal sparse network with refined balance. Matched cases and controls were compared on inpatient mortality, condition-specific prolonged length of stay (pLOS), and discharge destination using the McNemar test.

Results: Of 60,449 patients, 12.7%(n=7703) had an operation. We matched 6514 case-control pairs. Before matching, more operative patients had severe sepsis (O:27% vs. NO:14%, p<0.001) and more non-operative patients had baseline functional disability (O:20% vs. NO:13% p<0.001). (Table) Outcomes differed significantly before matching. After optimal matching, the operative group had higher mortality (O:5.9% v. NO:3.6% p<0.001), pLOS (O:70.0% v. NO:36.9% p<0.001) and lower rates of discharge to home if admitted from home (O:37.9% v. NO:43.2% p<0.001).

Conclusion: For patients with ADRD and an acute abdominal surgical condition, operative management is associated with increased mortality, prolonged hospitalization, and a lower rate of returning home compared to non-operative management.
EFFECT OF NORADRENALINE DOSAGE ON MORTALITY IN PATIENT WITH SEPTIC SHOCK

Hitoshi Yamamura* MD,Ph.D., Yu Kawazoe MD,Ph.D., Kyohei Miyamoto MD, Tomonori Yamamoto MD,Ph.D., Yoshinori Ohta MD, Takeshi Morimoto MD,Ph.D., Hirosaki University

Introduction: Using high dose noradrenaline was thought immunosuppressive action, brought to bad mortality. This study aimed to evaluate correlation whether noradrenaline dosage and prognosis for patient with septic shock.

Methods: This study was nested cohort of RCT (DEXmedetomidine for Sepsis in ICU Randomized Evaluation: DESIRE trial). We evaluated 112 patients who had septic shock with initial SOFA circulation category above 2 and initial lactate level above 2 mmol/L. We divided the patients into two groups according to the noradrenaline dosage in initial seven days: high dose (≧416 microgram/kg) (H group, n=56) and low dose (< 416 microgram/kg) (L group, n=56). We assessed the CRP, PCT, other vasopressor dosage, ventilator free days (VFD) and 28 days mortality in both group. The paired Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon rank was used to calculate statistical significance. The cumulative incidence was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. Data are shown as the mean (SD) and median [IQR].

Results: Age was 71 (13) year in L group vs 71 (14) year in H group. Causes of sepsis were lung (n=29), abdomen (n=52), and other cause (n=31). APACH II score (25 [19, 44] in L group vs 25 [20, 30] in H group), initial SOFA score (10 [8, 12] vs 10 [8, 12]), initial lactate level (4.5 [3.0, 7.8] vs 4.4 [3.6, 6.6] mmol/L), initial CRP (12 [5, 24] vs 16 [5, 27] mg/dL) and initial PCT (29 [3, 82] vs 40 [13, 100] ng/mL) were did not significant difference in both group. The cumulative incidence of death at 28 days were 29.9 % (15 patients) in the L group and 29.4% (16 patients) in the H group (P = 0.91). The median 28-day VFD in the L group was 21 [0, 25] compared to 17 [0, 22] in the H group (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: Patient with septic shock treated with high dosage noradrenaline compared with low dosage noradrenaline did not result in statistically significant 28 days mortality. However VFD, in low dosage group was longer than in high dosage group.
CHARACTERISTICS OF EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY PATIENTS TRANSFERRED BETWEEN ACUTE CARE FACILITIES

Angela M. Ingraham MD, MS, Jessica R. Schumacher Ph.D., Sara Fernandes-Taylor Ph.D., Megan C. Saucke MA, Jeffrey A. Havlena MS, Xing Wang Ph.D., Suresh K. Agarwal* MD, Caprice C. Greenberg MD, MPH, University Of Wisconsin

Introduction: Despite outcomes being worse and costs higher for transferred emergency general surgical (EGS) patients, our understanding of interhospital transfers is limited because prior research has relied upon single facility and cross-sectional administrative data. We characterized interhospital transfers of EGS patients using representative state-based longitudinal data that tracks patients between facilities.

Methods: We utilized 2013 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project State Inpatient Databases and State Emergency Department Department Databases for New York, Florida, and Wisconsin. We included patients 18 years or older with an AAST ICD-9 EGS diagnosis code for their initial ED encounter or initial urgent or emergent inpatient admission who were transferred to another acute care facility. Descriptive statistics (means, proportions) characterized interhospital transfers.

Results: 9,130 interhospital transfers occurred in 2013 in the states of interest, representing 7,541 unique patients. In total, patients were transferred between 502 unique facilities. Characteristics of the patients (Table 1) and transfers (Table 2) are presented.

Conclusion: Transferred EGS patients are a highly vulnerable, resource intensive population. This research provides a comprehensive assessment of transfers across the continuum of care. This information is critical to inform quality improvement efforts, resource utilization decisions, and policy initiatives.

Table 1. Characteristics of 7541 Emergency General Surgery Patients Transferred between Acute Care Hospitals in Florida, New York, and Wisconsin in 2013 at Initial Presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>EGS Diagnoses, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean, SD)</td>
<td>59.2±19.2 Abdominal pain 1284 (17.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>3787 (50.2%) Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage 1050 (13.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, n (%)</td>
<td>6159 (81.7%) Disorders of the gallbladder or bile duct 853 (11.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary payer, n (%)</td>
<td>3833 (50.8%) Intestinal obstruction without hernia 737 (9.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>1853 (24.6%) Cellulitis or abscess 678 (9.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Insurance</td>
<td>1044 (13.8%) Acute pancreatitis or pseudocyst 569 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>554 (7.4%) Diverticulitis 201 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>256 (3.4%) Hernias 153 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3444 (45.7%) Other 2016 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient income, n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-25th percentile</td>
<td>1575 (20.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th to 50th percentile</td>
<td>3234 (42.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51st to 75th percentile</td>
<td>1649 (21.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76th to 100th percentile</td>
<td>900 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rural location, n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3444 (45.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>6956 (85.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Characteristics of 9130 Transfers Among 7541 Emergency General Surgery Patients in Florida, New York, and Wisconsin in 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of stay, mean (SD) days</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referring facilities</td>
<td>1.8±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final destination</td>
<td>6.5±9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final disposition, n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharged home</td>
<td>7067 (77.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged to postacute care setting</td>
<td>1364 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>359 (3.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>335 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Prospective Study of Family Satisfaction after Tracheostomy in Trauma Patients

Sabino Lara MD, Jonathan Hecht RN, Pedro G. Teixeira MD, Saad Sahi MD, Sadia Ali MPH, Carlos V. Brown* MD, Dell Medical School, University Of Texas At Austin

Introduction: Patients requiring prolonged endotracheal intubation for ventilatory support commonly receive a tracheostomy in order to decrease time on the ventilator, avoid ventilator associated pneumonia, and prevent the rare occurrence of subglottic tracheal stenosis. When approached to consent for this invasive procedure, families of critically ill patients may have concerns about the nature of the intervention, the implications for their often unconscious loved one, and may face this decision with anxiety and fear. The issue of family satisfaction after tracheostomy has not been examined. We hypothesized that families would be more satisfied after tracheostomy. Our specific aim was to study family satisfaction before and after tracheostomy in trauma patients.

Methods: A prospective study was performed on a convenience sample of families of intubated trauma patients admitted to the ICU at an academic level I trauma center who subsequently underwent an elective tracheostomy. After informed consent was obtained, the next of kin family member was asked to complete an eight-point questionnaire using a forced Likert scale of graded responses (1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, 5-strongly agree). The same questionnaire was administered the day before the tracheostomy as well as 24 and 72 hours after tracheostomy. The responses before and after tracheostomy were compared using univariate analysis.

Results: A total of 26 family members completed the survey:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>24 Hours</th>
<th>72 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. My family member appears generally comfortable:</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.004</td>
<td>p=0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. My family member does not appear to be in any distress:</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.09</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. My family member appears to be progressing based on my understanding</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of the updates I have received from the medical team:</td>
<td>p=0.02</td>
<td>p=0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. My family member is able to see and interact with me:</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I worry that my family member may have permanent scars or disfigurem</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ent based on need for medical devices:</td>
<td>p=0.68</td>
<td>p=0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I feel that I am able to provide the support and comfort that my fam</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ily member needs:</td>
<td>p=0.31</td>
<td>p=0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I feel a high level of stress and anxiety with regard to my family m</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ember:</td>
<td>p=0.15</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I feel comfortable visiting my family member:</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.99</td>
<td>p=0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: After 24 hours, family members of trauma patients who receive a tracheostomy believe their loved one appeared more comfortable, was making progress, and was better able to see and interact with them. By 72 hours, the level and stress and anxiety of the family members decreased compared to before the tracheostomy. Family satisfaction may be an additional benefit in support of early tracheostomy.
PREDICTORS OF THIRTY-DAY READMISSION IN EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY PATIENTS.

Devin B. Gillaspie MD, Kevin M. Schuster* MD,MPH, Yale School of Medicine

Introduction: Thirty-day readmissions are responsible for a significant cost burden to the United States health care system. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services began penalizing hospitals for excess 30-day readmission in 2017. This has led many to investigate potential causes of 30-day readmission in an effort to reduce readmission rates in specific patient populations. We hypothesized that we would be able to identify modifiable risk factors for readmission in an emergency general surgery (EGS) population.

Methods: A single institution retrospective review of patients who underwent an emergency general surgical procedure performed by an acute care surgeon from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2015 was performed using the institutional National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database. Patients who died during the index hospitalization were excluded. We examined multiple parameters including demographics, type of procedure, pre-existing conditions, in-hospital complications, need for additional procedures, discharge destination, and weekend versus weekday discharge. Statistical analysis was performed using chi-square, t test and logistic regression to determine factors associated with increased rate of 30-day readmission. Significant associations on bivariate analysis were subjected to stepwise multivariate analysis.

Results: Over the six-year period, there were 781 patients who met criteria for inclusion. Our overall readmission rate was 7.21%. On multivariate analysis, patients with a higher likelihood of readmission were patients of male gender, current smokers, with dyspnea on exertion, patients with hypertension requiring treatment with medication, and those patients whose index hospitalization was complicated by organ space surgical site infection (Table).

Conclusion: Only organ space surgical site infection was a targetable post-operative event to reduce readmissions. The other principal factors driving readmission were patient demographics and pre-existing conditions which are not modifiable in the emergency setting but should alert clinicians to risk for readmission. Aggressive efforts to reduce organ space infection after emergency general surgery should reduce readmission rates after emergency general surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male)</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>2.542</td>
<td>1.105 - 5.847</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.137</td>
<td>0.842 - 5.434</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea on Exertion</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.425 - 166.667</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension requiring medication</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>2.801</td>
<td>1.164 - 6.757</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic steroid use/Immunosuppression</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.812</td>
<td>0.397 - 8.264</td>
<td>0.4431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ Space SSI</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35.714</td>
<td>12.195 - 100</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE MILITARY INJURY SEVERITY SCORE (mISS: A BETTER ABILITY TO PREDICT MORTALITY IN COMBAT THAN INJURY SEVERITY SCORE (ISS) AND NEW INJURY SEVERITY SCORE (NISS)

Tuan D. Le MD, DrPH, Jennifer M. Gurney* MD, Kirby R. Gross* MD, Zsolt T. Stockinger* MD, Jeffrey A. Bailey* MD, Shawn C. Nessen DO, Anthony E. Pusateri Ph.D., Kevin S. Akers MD, US Army Institute of Surgical Research

Introduction: The Injury Severity Score (ISS) was introduced in 1974 and became the ‘gold standard’ to describe anatomical injury severity in civilian trauma. The ISS is limited because it does not account for more than one injury to a body region. To account for this and other limitations of the ISS, the New ISS (NISS) was introduced in 1997. The complexities of combat trauma are not accounted for in either of these scoring systems, thus the Military ISS (mISS) was developed in 2005 to adjudicate their discrepancies in this complex trauma population. The aim of this analysis is to compare the mISS to the ISS and NISS in terms of the capability and accuracy to predict mortality.

Methods: Data were obtained from the Department of Defense Data Registry. Inclusion criteria were U.S. troops injured in Afghanistan and Iraq from 1/2002 to 12/2014 and complete data availability for the variables tested. ISS is defined as a sum of the squares of the 3 most severe abbreviated injury scale (AIS) scores from 6 body regions. NISS was calculated as a sum of 3 most severe AIS scores regardless of body regions. mISS is a variant of ISS but uses the AIS 2005-Military scale. Area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was used to discriminate among mISS, ISS and NISS. Sensitivity and specificity were compared. Logistic regression was used to calculate the likelihood of mortality by levels of mISS, ISS and NISS overall and by battle (BI) vs. non-battle (NBI), type and mechanism of injury. The Hosmer-Lemshow goodness-of-fit test was used for calibration of the models. The AIC was also used to compare the model of best fit. Mann-Whitney or t-test & chi-square test were used. P <0.05 is significant. The primary outcome was mortality.

Results: A total of 27,213 patients were analyzed. Median (IQR) age was 24 (21-29). BI was 66%. Penetrating (40%) and blunt (56%) injury types and explosion (53%) and gunshot (15%) mechanisms predominated. Median (IQR) ISS, mISS and NISS were: 4 (1-9), 4 (1-10) and 5 (2-12) overall; 4 (1-9), 4 (1-9) and 5 (2-12) in survivors; 25 (16-30), 37 (25-75) and 30 (22-48) in non-survivors, respectively. mISS was discordant with ISS about 14.4% and NISS about 49.5%. NISS was discordant with ISS about 45.9%. AUROC (Figure) was significant higher in mISS (0.92) followed by ISS (0.90) and NISS (0.90) overall, and no significant difference was found between ISS and NISS in ability of predicting mortality. Similar patterns were found in BI (0.92 vs. 0.90 vs. 0.90), NBI (0.89 vs. 0.88 vs. 0.87), blunt injury (0.89 vs. 0.87 vs. 0.88), penetrating injury (0.92 vs. 0.90 vs. 0.89), explosion (0.91 vs. 0.90 vs. 0.91) and gunshot wounds (0.92 vs. 0.89 vs. 0.87), all p-values < 0.001, except ISS vs. NISS was only statistically significant in patients with penetrating injuries (P=0.005). Mortality rate at Role 3 was 2.2% overall and 6.7% in those with ISS≥9 or mISS≥9. A higher score was associated with a higher likelihood of mortality.

Conclusion: The mISS is a better predictor of combat mortality than ISS and NISS. The importance of an optimized and reliable scoring system that accurately predicts mortality is paramount for real-time performance improvement across the continuum of care.
TARGETED TEMPERATURE MANAGEMENT FOR TRAUMATIC ARREST

Benjamin Cragun MD, Frances H. Philp Rachel P. Tindall MD, John O'Neill MD, Nathan Bell Matthew Noorbakhsh* MD, Allan S. Philp* MD, Michael F. Ditillo* DO, Allegheny General Hospital

Introduction: Therapeutic Hypothermia (TH) and Targeted Temperature Management (TTM) have been shown to improve outcomes in survivors of ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. Trauma patients have been excluded from most published reports due to presumed risks of bleeding and infection. We hypothesize that TH/TTM is safe in trauma patients.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted to review all trauma patients treated with TH/TTM following cardiac arrest at a single level I trauma center from 2008 to 2016. Demographics, medical history, trauma mechanism and recent surgeries were collected, along with outcomes such as hospital LOS, mortality, discharge GCS and disposition. Rates of in-hospital complications are reported.

Results: Of the 21 traumatic arrest patients, 52% were treated with TH and 48% with TTM protocols with goal temperatures of 33C and 36C respectively. Mean age was 57 ± 15, with 17 (81%) males. Survival was 38% (n=8) of which 88% (7) were following commands at discharge. Of the 13 deaths, none were attributable to complications of TH/TTM, and none of the deaths had significant bleeding. Complications included pneumonia (19%), sepsis (5%), major bleeding (5%), arrhythmias (5%), and seizures (19%), with rates similar to literature values of complications for traditional TH/TTM patients.

Conclusion: Traumatic arrest patients appear to have similar complication rates to standard TH/TTM patients, suggesting that this therapy should be considered in trauma patients following cardiac arrest.
Impact of Multi-Professional Rounds on Critical Care Outcomes in the Surgical Trauma Intensive Care Unit

Michael R. Nahouraii BS, Colleen H. Karvetski Ph.D., Rita A. Brintzenhoff MD, Gaurav Sachdev MD, Toan T. Huynh* MD, Carolinas Medical Center

Introduction: Multi-professional rounds (MPR) represent a mechanism for the coordination of care in critical ill patients. Previous studies have shown that MPR foster communication among care providers and streamline workload management in medical intensive care units (ICU), and thus can improve outcomes. Herein, we examined the impact of MPR implementation in the surgical trauma ICU (STICU) on ventilator days (Vent-day) and ICU length of stay (LOS).

Methods: A multi-professional team at a Level-I trauma center developed guidelines, including an organ system-based daily goal checklist, and MPR began in February 2016 in the STICU. Patients admitted from November 2015 to November 2016 with ICU LOS greater than 5 hours were included. Outcome data consisted of Vent-day and ICU LOS were captured automatically via electronic medical record. Severity of illness, consisting of injury severity score (ISS) and APACHE-IV for trauma and surgical patients respectively, were collected. Linear regression models are constructed to observe the impact of MPR, by month after implementation, on ICU outcomes.

Results: There were a total of 2,633 patients, 1,702 of whom received mechanical ventilation. The mean ISS was 17.7 and mean APACHE IV was 58.5. Overall, the mean Vent-day was 3.5 and mean ICU LOS was 3.3 days.

Among surgical patients with Vent-day > 5 hours (n=1,165), the months after MPR was a significant predictor of V-day reduction when controlled for APACHE IV score (p=0.008; coefficient -0.09 days/month; 95% CI [-0.16, -0.02]). For trauma patients (n=537), the months after MPR was also a significant predictor of Vent-day when controlled for ISS (p=0.05; coefficient: -0.1 days/month; 95% CI [-0.2, 0.0]).

Among patients with ICU LOS > 5 hours (n=2,497), the months after MPR was a significant predictor of LOS reduction when controlled for severity of illness (p=0.04; coefficient -0.04 days/month; 95% CI [-0.08, -0.003]). Likewise, combining surgical and trauma patients with ICU LOS > 2 days, the months after MPR was a significant predictor of LOS reduction. For a subgroup of trauma patients with ICU LOS > 2 days (n=468), the months after MPR was a significant predictor of ICU LOS (p=0.05; coefficient -0.12 days/month; 95% CI [-0.23, -0.004]).

Conclusion: Implementation of multi-professional rounds in the surgical trauma ICU, with an organ system-based daily goal checklist, was associated with a reduction in ventilator days and ICU length of stay.
VALIDATION OF AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE SURGERY OF TRAUMA ANATOMIC SEVERITY SCORE IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS

Moustafa Younis MBBS, Matthew C. Hernandez MD, Mohamed D. Ray-Zack MBBS, Nadeem N. Haddad MD, Asad J. Choudhry MBBS, Yoginee Sritharen MD, Martin D. Zielinski* MD, Mayo Clinic - Rochester

Introduction: The AAST recently developed a standardized grading system to determine anatomic severity for a variety of emergency general surgery (EGS) diseases. We aimed to internally validate this grading system for acute pancreatitis hypothesizing that increased AAST grade is associated with important physiologic, management and clinical outcomes.

Methods: Single institution retrospective analysis of adult patients admitted with a primary diagnosis of acute pancreatitis from 10/2014 to 1/2016. Patients not assessed with CT imaging were excluded. Imaging, operative, and pathological AAST grades were assigned by two reviewers. Summary and univariate analyses were performed. AUROC analysis was performed comparing AAST grade with other severity scoring systems (Ranson’s, BISAP and modified Glasgow) as a predictor for the number of readmissions.

Results: There were 297 patients with a mean (±SD) age of 55±17 years; 60% were male. Gallstone pancreatitis was the most common etiology (28%). The overall complication rate was 51%; the mortality rate was 1.3 % with an ICU admission rate of 25%. Readmission up to 90 days occurred in 32% of patients. Procedures performed included: ERCP (n=61, 21%), endoscopic necrosectomy (n=84, 28%), surgical necrosectomy (n=7, 2%), cholecystectomy (n=42, 14%), and CT guided percutaneous drainage (n=11, 4%). Multiple procedures were performed in 14% of patients. Only one patient failed endoscopic management and required operative necrosectomy. Increasing AAST grades was associated with worst outcomes (Table). AUROC analysis (Figure) demonstrated that the AAST grade outperforms other severity scores to predict the number of readmissions.

Conclusion: The AAST grading system for acute pancreatitis was valid in our population; patients with increasing AAST grades had longer hospital and ICU stays, and an increased rate of readmission. AAST grades assigned using CT findings were comparable to other severity scoring systems utilizing complex physiology and laboratory values. Further studies should determine the generalizability of the AAST system.
A PROTOCOL FOR NON-OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT OF UNCOMPLICATED APPENDICITIS

Tyler J. Loftus MD, Camille G. Dessaigne MD, George A. Sarosi Jr., MD, Chasen A. Croft MD, Robert S. Smith* MD, Philip A. Efron* MD, Frederick A. Moore* MD, Scott C. Brakenridge* MD, MSCS, Alicia M. Mohr* MD, Janeen R. Jordan* MD, University of Florida - Gainesville

Introduction: We developed a protocol to identify candidates for non-operative management (NOM) of uncomplicated appendicitis. Our objective was to evaluate protocol efficacy with the null hypothesis that clinical outcomes, hospital readmission rates, and hospital charges would be unchanged following protocol implementation.

Methods: We performed a propensity score matched retrospective cohort analysis of 406 patients with acute uncomplicated appendicitis. The protocol recommends NOM for patients with modified Alvarado score ≤6 and no appendicolith. Patients admitted before (n=203) and after (n=203) protocol implementation were matched by Charlson comorbidity index, duration of symptoms, and modified Alvarado score. Outcomes included operative management, days on antibiotic therapy, length of stay, and hospital charges, as well as readmissions, complications, mortality within 180 days. Continuous variables are presented as median [interquartile range].

Results: Baseline characteristics were similar between groups (age 31 years, ASA class 2.0, Charlson comorbidity index 0.0). Protocol compliance was higher when the protocol recommended appendectomy (97%) rather than NOM (73%, \( p < 0.001 \)). The incidence of operative management decreased after protocol implementation (Table). In the protocol group, there was a lower incidence of open surgery (4% vs. 10%, \( p = 0.044 \)) despite a longer interval between admission and surgery (8.6 vs. 7.1 hours, \( p < 0.001 \)). Fifty-five patients had NOM: eighteen failed NOM during admission, seven failed NOM after discharge. The protocol group had similar length of stay, antibiotic days, and complication rates, but significantly more readmissions (Table). Charges for the first admission and all admissions within 180 days were lower after the protocol (Table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before protocol (n=203)</th>
<th>After protocol (n=203)</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendectomy</td>
<td>202 (99%)</td>
<td>167 (82%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>23 (11%)</td>
<td>21 (10%)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmissions</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>13 (6%)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First admission $</td>
<td>6,878 [5,669-9,599]</td>
<td>5,630 [4,824-6,301]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All admissions $</td>
<td>6,916 [5,690-9,668]</td>
<td>5,689 [4,952-6,457]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Implementation of a protocol to identify candidates for NOM of uncomplicated appendicitis was associated with fewer appendectomies, lower rates of open surgery, decreased hospital charges, and no difference in complications despite high rates of readmission and failed NOM.
DOES THE ACS-NSQIP SURGICAL RISK CALCULATOR WORK IN THE ACUTE CARE SETTING? AN ANALYSIS FROM A SINGLE LEVEL-1 TRAUMA CENTER.

Daniel L. Golden BA, MA, Timohty Jenkel BA, Vinita Kusupati MBA, BA, Nidah Khakoo BS, Kristie Taguma BS, Ramail Siddiqui BA, Ryan Chan BS, MS, Jessica Rivetz BS, MS, Ashar Ata Ph.D., Carl Rosati MD, Albany Medical Center

Introduction: The ACS-NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator (SRC) is an evidence-based clinical tool commonly used for evaluating postoperative risk. The goal of this study was to validate SRC-predicted complications by comparing them to observed outcomes in the acute care surgical setting.

Methods: As a pilot toward a more representative study of 3000+ cases, we retrospectively reviewed 552 acute care surgeries (appendectomies, cholecystectomies, breast and retroperitoneal abscess I&D, colectomies, hernia repair, resection of intestines, lysis of adhesions, and ulcer repair) performed at a Level-1 Trauma Center. Outcomes compared included Serious Complications, Any Complications and Length of Stay (LOS). An SRC-identified "above average" risk of complication was considered a positive prediction. Sensitivity, specificity, Brier Score (mean squared difference between predicted probabilities and actual outcomes) and paired T-test were used to assess the validity and accuracy of the SRC predictions.

Results: Overall 15.8% of our patients had Any and 15% had Serious Complications. Based on the above average risk criteria, the sensitivity of the SRC for Serious Complication was 75.9% (ranging from 33.3% to 100% for various acute care surgeries) and for Any Complication was 89.7% (ranging from 66.7% to 100% for various acute care surgeries). The predicted probabilities for Serious and Any Complication overall had very low inaccuracy (Brier Score=0.095). Brier score was <0.1 for emergency appendectomies and cholecystectomies and was 0.2 or greater for each of the other surgeries (smaller sample sizes). On average, the predicted LOS was shorter by 2.7 days, as compared to the actual length of stay (p<0.001).

Conclusion: For a single hospital, the SRC performed well in discriminating between patients who developed postoperative complications and those who did not by assigning relatively higher probabilities to those who developed complications. However, using the national data-based designation of above average risk does not seem to be a valid criterion for identifying patient outcomes. We suggest future research focus on identifying risk categories that incorporate more hospital- and surgical procedure-specific variability.
DIABETES MELLITUS DOES NOT INCREASE MORTALITY IN EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY PATIENTS

Stephen C. Gale* MD, Jessica S. Crystal MD, Jason S. Murry MD, Viktor Y. Dombrovskiy MD,MPH,Ph.D., East Texas Medical Center

Introduction: Patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) are considered high risk for poor outcomes after admission for emergency general surgery (EGS) conditions. While recent research suggests that peri-operative glycemic control, rather than the presence of DM itself, is more impactful, to date no population analyses exist describing outcomes for diabetic patients admitted to US hospitals for EGS conditions. We hypothesized that among EGS patients, diabetics would have higher mortality than non-diabetics.

Methods: The study population was garnered from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (2002–2012) using AAST-defined ICD-9-CM codes to identify EGS patients and surgical procedures. Adult diabetic and non-diabetic patients were compared for demographics, operative rates, and outcomes (mortality, complications, length of stay) using chi-square, t-test, and Cochran-Armitage trend test. Multivariable logistic regression utilized demographics, comorbidities, diabetic and surgical status, and APR-DRG (severity of illness) categorization; models were run for the whole population and for each year separately; p<0.05 was significant.

Results: During the study period >30,500,000 patients were admitted nationwide for EGS conditions. Diabetes prevalence was 21%, which had increased over time from 18% to 26%, p<0.0001). Diabetics were more like older, male, and non-white and experienced a 23% lower operative rate (Table 1). The 11-year mortality rate was lower for DM patients. Multivariable regression demonstrated, in all models, that DM is not an independent risk factor for mortality while age, certain other comorbidities, surgery, and illness severity were predictive. (Table 2)

Conclusion: Among EGS patients, DM is common and increasing. Patients with DM experience lower operative rates than non-diabetics. EGS mortality is lower for DM patients whether managed with or without surgery. Regression analysis confirms that diabetes mellitus is not an independent risk factor for mortality from EGS conditions. Further investigation is required to explore the role of glycemic control in surgical outcomes in EGS but surgeons should not consider the presence of diabetes itself to be higher risk during surgical decision-making.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Non-DM</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,741,197</td>
<td>6,629,271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD</td>
<td>57 ± 21</td>
<td>64 ± 16</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, % Male</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, % Caucasian</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative Rate (%)</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (%)</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post surgical</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operative</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Stay, d, mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.0 ± 6.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 5.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.04 - 1.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex - Male</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.04 - 1.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (vs White)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94 - 0.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.85 - 0.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94 - 0.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01 - 1.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus (+)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78 - 0.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential Hypertension</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68 - 0.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.78 - 0.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Renal Failure</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.12 - 1.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulopathy</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.31 - 1.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral Vascular Disease</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.18 - 1.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-DRG 2 vs 1</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.48 - 3.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-DRG 3 vs 1</td>
<td>17.51</td>
<td>16.58 - 18.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-DRG 4 vs 1</td>
<td>159.42</td>
<td>151.0 - 168.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION: The timing and optimal method for common bile duct (CBD) clearance and laparoscopic cholecystectomy remains controversial. Several different approaches are available in clinical practice. The current study presents the experience of two referral hospitals in simultaneous laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) and intra-operative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (IO-ERCP) in patients with cholelithiasis and CBD stones.

METHODS: Retrospective analysis of all consecutive patients subjected to LC + IO-ERCP during their index admission between 4/2014 and 9/2016. Data accrued included patient demographics, laboratory markers, operation time (minutes) reported as mean (± SD), and hospital length of stay (LOS) reported as median (25th and 75th percentiles).

RESULTS: During the 29-months study, a total of 201 consecutive LC+IO-ERCPs were performed. The mean age of patients was 55±19 years and 67% were female. Laboratory, radiological findings, as well as pre-operative diagnosis are depicted in Table 1. The mean intervention time was 105±44 min. The total LOS was 4 (3,7) days and the post-operative LOS was 1.5 (1,3) days. A total of 6 (3%) patients experienced iatrogenic pancreatitis and two patients had Strasberg A type bile leak. All patients were successfully discharged.

CONCLUSION: Simultaneous LC+IO-ERCP is associated with few complications. Further studies investigating cost-benefit and patient satisfaction are warranted.
A PROSPECTIVELY VALIDATED COMBINED SONOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL SCORE FOR DIAGNOSING APPENDICITIS

Swathi B. Reddy MD, Syed A. J. Bokhari MD, Kimberly A. Davis* MD, MBA, Kevin M. Schuster* MD, MPH, Yale School of Medicine

**Introduction:** We previously developed and reported an ultrasound (US) based scoring system with high sensitivity and specificity designed to be used in conjunction with the Alvarado score for the diagnosis of appendicitis. We hypothesized that our retrospectively-derived scoring system that combined weighted US findings and a clinical score would perform well in a prospective validation study.

**Methods:** We conducted a prospective observational study of all patients who presented through the ER with suspected appendicitis and underwent US as the initial imaging modality. Patients who were known to be pregnant or underwent CT initially were excluded. Staff radiologists and technicians identified the score’s parameters: appendiceal diameter, compressibility, hyperemia, and secondary signs of inflammation: free fluid and focal and diffuse tenderness, allowing the derivation of the US score. This score was combined with the Alvarado Score to calculate a Combined Score. Final diagnosis was assigned by reviewing operative and pathology reports. Patients who did not undergo operation were followed prospectively for symptom resolution.

**Results:** We identified 308 patients for inclusion. Forty-three patients had evidence of non-appendiceal pathology on US. In 125 (40%) the appendix was not visualized and partially visualized in 62 (23%). Thirty-three patients underwent appendectomy, of which 6 (18.2%) had a non-visualized appendix on US and 3 (9.1%) were negative.

At a US Score of 1.5, the sensitivity and specificity were 77% and 96%. This improves with the Combined Score at a cutoff of 5.5 to 97% and 72% respectively. At a cutoff of 6.5, the sensitivity and specificity were 87% and 89% (TABLE). Area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were not significantly different between our US score and the Alvarado score (P=0.65). The combined score produced an AUC of 0.947 which was significantly better than either the US or Alvarado score alone (P=0.03 and P=0.003 respectively). This persisted regardless of inclusion of patients with non-appendiceal pathology on US.

**Conclusion:** The combined scoring system based on sonographic findings in combination with clinical data is highly sensitive and specific for appendicitis. It creates a standardized and reproducible way to diagnose appendicitis without other imaging.
ADMISSION HYponatremia is Associated with an Increased Risk for Complications following Cholecystectomy for Acute Cholecystitis

Dennis Y. Kim* MD, George Singer MD, David Plurad* MD, Chris De Virgilio MD, Molly Deane MD, Brant Putnam* MD, Ashkan Moazzez MD, MPH, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center

Introduction: Acute calculous cholecystitis remains a common indication for urgent operative intervention. Recent single institutional studies have identified an association between hyponatremia and the presence of infectious disease processes including perforated appendicitis and gangrenous cholecystitis. We hypothesized that admission hyponatremia would be predictive of adverse outcomes in patients undergoing same admission cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis.

Methods: Patients from the 2005-2014 American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) database undergoing cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis were analyzed. Patients with admission hyponatremia (defined as a serum sodium < 135 mEq/L) were compared to patients without hyponatremia. Variables analyzed included demographics, comorbidities, and operative procedures. Coarsened Exact Matching was used to match hyponatremic patients in a 1:1 fashion to patients without hyponatremia. Thirty-day outcomes were compared in both the aggregate and matched cohorts. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of complications, including surgical site infections (SSIs).

Results: A total of 17,908 patients were identified, of which 17,184 (95.6%) had a documented preoperative sodium level. Males comprised 44% of the study population and the overall mean age was 54 ± 18. The median time to surgery was 0 days (IQR 0-1). On both aggregate and matched cohort analyses, patients with admission hyponatremia had a higher incidence of complications (both infectious and non-infectious), SSIs, readmission, and mortality (p <0.01). On multivariate analysis, after adjusting for variables with a p <0.1 on bivariate analysis, hyponatremia was identified as an independent predictor of 30-day aggregate complications (OR=1.2; 95% CI=1.05-1.45, p=0.009), as well as the development of SSIs (OR=1.8; 95% CI=1.64-2.08, p<0.001).

Conclusion: Admission hyponatremia in patients presenting with acute cholecystitis is associated with adverse outcomes including the development of infectious and non-infectious postoperative complications. Further studies are required to determine if sodium is a reliable sign of systemic inflammation and to elucidate the pathophysiology of this metabolic response.
Nonoperative management of uncomplicated acute appendicitis and the untreated malignancy: Review of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Database

Maranda Pahlkotter MD, Jason D. Sciarretta* MD, Sharon Holmes BSN, John Davis* MD, University Of South Carolina/Grand Strand Medical Center

Introduction: Cancer of the appendix is a rare occurrence commonly found incidentally on appendectomy and account for 0.5% of all gastrointestinal malignancies. The role of routine antibiotics with nonoperative management of uncomplicated acute appendicitis (UA) in adults is an ongoing debate. The aim of our study was to identify the rate of appendiceal malignancy (AM) and highlight the importance of traditional surgical management of appendicitis using the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) data.

Methods: ACS-NSQIP database was queried (2005-2015) for all cases of UA who underwent surgery. Complicated appendicitis including perforation, empyema or abscess formation, and fecal peritonitis were excluded. For this study, AM was defined as invasion of appendiceal wall by neoplastic epithelium and all reported carcinoid tumors. ICD-9 codes were used to identify NSQIP data reporting AM pathology recorded as neoplasm of appendix vermiformis and carcinoid of appendix. Analysis included age, sex, race, type of AM and surgical procedures.

Results: We identified 203,190 patients at > 600 participating ACS-NSQIP hospitals who received surgical intervention for UA. Of those, 2,382 (1.2%) patients were identified with AM. Population was predominantly caucasian (79.8%) with a mean age of 52.1 ± 4.16 years (range, 18 to 90+ years) and 46% males. Majority of patients were classified as non-emergent (86.8%). Most commonly (2005 - 2015) ACS-NISQIP reporting was unspecified malignant neoplasm of appendix vermiformis (70.6%). Additionally, sub-analysis of ACS-NSQIP data (2009 to 2015) identified benign (10.3%) and malignant (18.9%) carcinoid tumors.

Conclusion: Our study emphasizes surgical intervention with adult UA as the 1% incidence of AM if treated with antibiotics alone will presumably lead to a delay in surgical treatment and progression of disease.
**ACUTE CARE SURGERY MODEL OF CARE DELIVERY: ANALYSIS OF OPERATING ROOM CASE VOLUME, UTILIZATION AND MISSED OPPORTUNITY COST**

Leah A. Cipri BS, Dimitris A. Andritsos Ph.D., Mitchell C. Norotsky MD, Bradley M. Krompf BS, Mitchell H. Tsai MD, Ajai K. Malhotra* MD, University of Vermont

**Introduction:** OR efficiency demands maximizing ‘Normal’ hours (fixed cost) and minimizing on call ‘Off’ hours (variable cost) utilization. We hypothesize: ACS model with ready availability of OR and surgeon will improve efficiency – increase Normal hours utilization and reduce missed opportunity cost (MOC).

**Methods:** OR utilization metrics – case volumes, utilization (Normal and Off hours) and MOC – were obtained from OR management database [WiseOR® (Palo Alto, CA)] 12 months before (Pre: Oct 2014-Sept 2015), and 11 months after (Post: Oct 2015-Aug 2016) ACS model implementation and compared. Significance set at p<0.05.

**Results:** Pre implementation Trauma (Tr), General (GS) and Specialty (SS) surgery provided emergency general surgery. Post implementation, ACS service was the sole provider. OR volume increased (999 to 1043 cases/month – p<0.05). Almost all of this increase was attributable to ACS (27 to 68 cases/month – p<0.05). ACS case volume increase was during Normal (18 to 34 cases/month) and Off (9 to 34 cases/month) hours (p<0.05 both). Off hours increase was equivalent to Off hours decrease in GS and SS volumes (p<0.05). ACS Normal hours increase consisted of additional cases and not from shifting since GS and SS Normal hours volumes were unchanged (pre: 122; post: 124 cases/month). OR time utilization in minutes/month paralleled case volume changes. Proportion of cases during Normal hours for GS and SS increased 74% to 87% (p<0.05) and Normal hours proportion of total operative time increased 83% to 94% (p<0.05). MOC for the entire OR, GS and SS remained unchanged (p>0.05).

**Conclusions:** ACS service model with ready availability of staffed OR and qualified surgeon results in improved case volumes and OR efficiency but not in MOC.
EMERGENCY GENERAL SURGERY IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH REDUCED LIFE EXPECTANCY IN PATIENTS WITH END-STAGE CANCER

Vanessa P. Ho MD,MPH, Rho H. Olaisen MPH, Nicholas K. Schiltz Ph.D., Andrew P. Reimer Ph.D.,RN, Elizabeth A. Madigan Ph.D.,RN, Glen H. Tinkoff* MD, Siran M. Koroukian Ph.D., University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

Introduction: For elderly patients with end-stage cancer, an acute surgical condition (such as bowel perforation, acute cholecystitis, or necrotizing soft tissue infection) can be a terminal event. Despite uncertain outcomes, patients often choose to undergo surgery for these conditions, with hope for prolonged survival or improved short-term quality of life. It is unknown if emergency general surgery (EGS) for this patient population is beneficial.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was performed using the 1992-2009 Health and Retirement Study, which includes longitudinal recurring biennial health surveys linked to Medicare claims. Patients with metastatic cancer who died within three years of diagnosis were identified. Within this cohort, patients were categorized as having undergone EGS or not having undergone EGS (non-EGS), as defined by previously published procedure codes. Mortality was compared between groups. Secondary outcome of interest was quality of life as measured by instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs).

Results: 863 patients were identified, with the most common cancer sites being lymph, connective tissue, and respiratory. 164 (19%) patients underwent 223 EGS procedures. The most common procedures included tube thoracostomy (15%), right colectomy (14%), and small bowel resection (5%). EGS patients had significantly better baseline IADL performance. Overall median survival for the cohort was 196 days; EGS patients had significantly longer median survival (290 days vs. 180 days, p<0.05, Figure). 434 patients survived for a repeat health survey. EGS and non-EGS patients had similar IADL limitations at followup.

Conclusion: Among patients with end-stage cancer, those who had EGS had a longer median survival compared with those who did not. There is an important limitation in this study that cohort groups are dissimilar at baseline. However, this study suggests that emergency general surgery in end-stage metastatic cancer patients is not always a terminal event and may allow an important extension of life, without a major decline in quality of life.
NOT ALL DEEP VENOUS THROMBOSES ARE EQUAL: ASSESSING THE INCIDENCE OF CHRONIC DEEP VENOUS THROMBOSIS IN TRAUMA

Kathleen A. Cannon MD, Jayraan Badiee MPH, James D. Wallace MD, Jason B. Brill MD, Michael J. Sise* MD, Vishal Bansal* MD, C. Beth Sise JD MSN, Steven R. Shackford* MD, Scripps Mercy Hospital Trauma Service

Introduction: Deep venous thrombosis (DVT) is considered a preventable complication in hospitalized trauma patients. Hospitals are required to report rates of DVT under Patient Safety Indicator 12 and may face financial penalties unless it is pre-existing or chronic rather than hospital-acquired or acute. Lower extremity duplex ultrasound (LEDUS) can detect specific characteristics differentiating acute (ADVT) from chronic DVT (CDVT). The objective of this study was to determine the incidence of CDVT in hospitalized trauma patients.

Methods: We performed a retrospective registry review of trauma patients admitted to our Level I trauma center between 7/1/2006 and 8/1/2016 who had a DVT on their initial screening LEDUS. Our center utilizes screening and surveillance LEDUS on all patients admitted for >48 hours. Definitions for CDVT and ADVT were extracted from existing literature. Patients with DVT on their initial LEDUS underwent review of that LEDUS to assess for characteristics associated with CDVT. Patients were classified as having acute, chronic, or indeterminate DVT. Demographics, medical history, and injury characteristics were extracted from the trauma registry. Patients with ADVT and CDVT were compared.

Results: The incidence of CDVT among trauma patients with a DVT on their initial LEDUS was 29.9%. CDVT occurred in older and less-severely injured patients. An above-the-knee component was significantly more common in CDVT (65%). Only 34 (41%) of those with CDVT reported a history of DVT. Among those with CDVT, 43 (52%) had a subsequent LEDUS, of whom 4 (9%) showed progression of the thrombus and 6 (14%) formed a new DVT.

Conclusion: CDVT represents nearly 30% of all DVT found on initial screening LEDUS in trauma patients. Those with CDVT should receive pharmacologic and mechanical prophylaxis because of the incidence of progression and new ADVT. They should also be counseled regarding the possibilities of recurrence and chronic venous insufficiency. LEDUS screening can detect CDVT, reducing the negative implications associated with the reporting of patient safety indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DVT on Initial LEDUS</th>
<th>Chronic DVT</th>
<th>Acute DVT</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>83 (29.9)</td>
<td>144 (51.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above-knee DVT (%)</td>
<td>54 (65)</td>
<td>42 (30)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression on follow-up LEDUS (%)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>20 (22)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL VASCULAR INJURY TREATMENT (PROOVIT) REGISTRY: EVALUATION OF TEMPORARY INTRAVASCULAR SHUNT USE IN CIVILIAN VASCULAR TRAUMA


Introduction: The management of vascular injury remains time-sensitive to reduce ischemia time. In the military, temporary intravascular shunts (TIVS) help stabilize patients for transport. In the civilian setting, the primary role of TIVS has been for damage control. The use of TIVS remains limited in the civilian population. This AAST study evaluates the use of shunts in a civilian trauma setting.

Methods: Data on the use of TIVS from the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma PROspective Vascular Injury Treatment (PROOVIT) registry was prospectively collected from 2012 to 2016 from fourteen institutions. The propensity scoring method was used to match selected variables in order to compare the experimental TIVS group to the control group. The variables that were matched included: injury severity score (ISS), abbreviated injury scale (AIS), glasgow coma scale (GCS), systolic blood pressure (SBP), age, and lactate.

Results: Brachial, femoral, and popliteal artery injuries were entered into the PROOVIT registry, where 51 (9.6%) patients were managed with placement of TIVS. There were 21 brachial shunts, 23 femoral shunts, and 7 popliteal shunts placed. The control group of 481 patients consisted of patients managed without a shunt. The ISS was 19.5 in patients who received shunts and 14.9 in the control group. Propensity score matching showed that the TIVS group had lower ICU length of stay (LOS) at 5.6 days vs 9.0 days (p=.22), fewer ventilator days 2.3 vs 4.3 (p=.167), and decreased rate of amputation 8% vs 11% (p=.80). In patients with only brachial artery injuries, amputation rate was less in TIVS patients 0% vs 10% (p=.99), but had a longer ICU LOS at 5.8 days vs 1.4 days (p=.05). Patients with femoral artery injury managed with TIVS had a lower rate of amputation at 5.9% vs 12.5% (p=.58), lower hospital length of stay at 10.1 vs 19.5 (p=.018), but an increased in-hospital death rate of 23% vs 15% (p=.58).

Conclusion: TIVS is a technique for the management of vascular trauma that may reduce the rate of amputation. The use of TIVS is biased towards more severely injured patients, although still showing improved limb salvage. The data also suggests that location of the injury may be a predictive factor. The benefits of shunt use for early reperfusion suggest that it should not be reserved for only those patients requiring damage control procedures, and should be utilized more liberally.
Introduction: Popliteal artery injury can often be difficult to diagnose and has a high rate of limb loss. The effect of obesity on peripheral vascular injury has not been studied. We hypothesized a higher amputation rate in the obese population with popliteal artery injury.

Methods: The National Trauma Data Bank was queried for the years 2013-2014 for popliteal artery injury. Demographics, height, weight, time in emergency department (ED), mechanism of injury, comorbidities, and amputation as a surgical procedure for patients with diagnosed popliteal injury were abstracted from the database. Obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m² with subclasses of 30.0-34.9, 35-39.9, and ≤40. Patients with amputations performed within the first 24 hours were excluded. Logistic regression was used to calculate unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (OR) for the association between obesity and amputation.

Results: A total of 1,191 patients sustained popliteal artery injury, 745 non-obese and 446 obese. The association between amputation and obesity was not statistically significant (OR 0.957, p=0.730), nor was it significant when using obesity subcategories (BMI 30-34.9: OR 1.099, p=0.547; BMI 35-39.9, OR 0.874, p=0.517; BMI ≥40, OR 0.809, p=0.336). BMI 35-39.9 and ≥40 trended toward longer time spent in ED, but was not statistically significant (44 min and 53 min with p=0.109 and 0.062, respectively). When controlling for time in ED and other risk factors, there remained no difference in amputation rate between non-obese and obese, including BMI subcategories. There was no difference in amputation rate between blunt and penetrating injury (39.69% vs 38.48%, OR 1.079, p=0.549).

Conclusion: The amputation rate in patients with popliteal artery injury does not differ between obese and non-obese patients. Further, there is no difference in amputation rates among obesity subcategories. There is a trend toward longer ED time, perhaps reflecting time to diagnosis, for certain obese populations with popliteal artery injury.
IMMEDIATE OPEN REDUCTION INTERNAL FIXATION OF ISOLATED TIBIAL PLATEAU FRACTURES IMPROVES SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES IN SKIERS AND SNOWBOARDERS

Peter C. Janes MD, Jan Leonard MSPH, Jennifer L. Phillips PA-C, Brent J. Bauer MD, Kristin Salottolo MPH, Denetta S. Slone* MD, Charles W. Mains* MD, David Bar-Or MD, St. Anthony Summit Medical Center

Introduction: Tibial plateau fractures (TPF) are frequently associated with motor vehicle accidents, auto-pedestrian crashes, and falls, while only 3-9% occur from sports-related injuries. Hospitals serving regions with ski resorts commonly treat TPF ensuing from snow sports. Skiing can be a high velocity sport which creates sudden forces to the knee through the ski, boot, and binding systems. To fracture the tibial plateau in a healthy, active person, the forces in the knee may be as high as those seen in motor vehicle accidents. But, we suspect the mechanism causing a TPF during snow sports is different than when external collision forces cause TPF, which immediately compromises the soft tissue envelope and precludes immediate surgery. Our objective was to determine if immediate (≤24 hours) versus delayed (>24 hours) open reduction internal fixation (ORIF), stratified by high (Schatzker IV-VI) and low (Schatzker I-III) energy fractures, effected in-hospital outcomes.

Methods: Isolated TPF patients injured while skiing or snowboarding were identified from a Level III Trauma Center that serves four major ski resorts between 2010-2013. Demographics, clinical characteristics, time between injury and ORIF, and in-hospital outcomes (compartment syndrome, need for fasciotomy, infection, mortality, length of stay [LOS], and admission to the intensive care unit [ICU]) were obtained from an existing trauma database. Imaging was reviewed by three providers to evaluate the fracture patterns using the Schatzker classification system. Chi-square and Wilcoxon two-sample tests were utilized to examine differences between immediate and delayed ORIF. These analyses were also performed in the subsets of patients with high and low energy fractures.

Results: ORIF was performed on 119 snow sport patients, 93 (78%) immediately. Overall, patients had a median age of 49 years (range 19-70), were predominantly male (66%), had no preexisting comorbidities (82%), and 40% sustained a high energy TPF. There were no differences in the Schatzker scores for patients treated with immediate versus delayed fixation. There were no in-hospital infections, deaths, or ICU admissions. Compared with delayed fixation, patients treated immediately had less compartment syndrome (3% vs 27%), needed fewer fasciotomies (6% vs 31%), and had a shorter LOS (3 vs 6.5 days), p<0.05 for all. These results persisted among the patients with high energy fractures; no differences in compartment syndrome or fasciotomy were observed among patients with low-energy fractures (Table).

Conclusion: Treating patients immediately led to more favorable in-hospital outcomes compared to delayed treatment, even among the patients with a Schatzker score between IV-VI.

| Table: Characteristics and short-term outcomes of skiers and snowboarders with high or low energy isolated tibial plateau fractures by immediate or delayed open reduction internal fixation (n=119) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age, median (range), years     | 45 (19-61)                      | 52 (22-62)      | 0.23            | 51 (23-67)      | 49 (35-70)      | 0.24 |
| Male                            | 25 (69.4%)                      | 11 (61.1%)      | 0.25            | 24 (59.7%)      | 9 (64.3%)       | 0.99 |
| No Comorbidities                | 10 (83.3%)                      | 3 (66.7%)       | 0.24            | 7 (82.5%)       | 12 (85.7%)      | 0.99 |
| Hospital arrival ≤ 180 minutes | 9 (25.0%)                       | 6 (59.0%)       | 0.15            | 33 (61.1%)      | 9 (69.2%)       | 0.75 |
| minutes after injury            |                                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Compartment Syndrome           | 1 (2.8%)                        | 6 (50.0%)       | <0.001          | 2 (3.5%)        | 1 (7.1%)        | 0.49 |
| Fasciotomy                     | 3 (8.3%)                        | 7 (58.3%)       | <0.001          | 3 (5.3%)        | 1 (7.1%)        | 0.99 |
| Discharged Home                | 36 (100%)                       | 11 (91.7%)      | 0.25            | 57 (100%)       | 13 (92.9%)      | 0.29 |
| Length of stay, median (range) | 3 (1-10)                        | 8 (4-20)        | <0.001          | 2 (1-7)         | 3.5 (1-34)      | 0.006 |

*One patient had more than one fasciotomy
INTRODUCTION: In 2010 the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) published guidelines for the treatment of blunt cerebrovascular injuries. Analysis of prospectively collected data following the implementation of these guidelines can help inform future practices.

METHODS: The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma PROspective Vascular Injury Treatment (PROOVIT) registry was used to collect demographic, diagnostic, treatment, and outcome data on cerebrovascular injuries.

RESULTS: A total of 516 blunt cerebrovascular artery injuries (bCVIs) in 495 patients from 19 centers (18 ACS Level I and 1 ACS Level II) have been captured since February 2013. Most injuries occurred in males (63.4%, 327/516) with a median age of 38.0 years (IQR 28) and a documented Injury Severity Score greater than 15 in 63.2% (326/516), primarily from motor vehicle collision (67.2%, 347/516). Injuries to the common carotid (4.3%, 22/516), internal carotid (45.5%, 235/516), and vertebral (50.2%, 259/516) arteries were identified, with multiple injuries identified in 21 patients (4.2%). bCVI severity was distributed as follows: Grade I and II (intimal tear or flow limiting defects): 34.9%, III (pseudoaneurysm): 12.1%, IV and V (occlusion or transection): 24.1%. Treatment was as follows: Grades I and II: non-operative management (NOM) 96.9%, endovascular trauma management (EVTM) 2.5%, open surgical intervention (OSI) 0.3%; Grade III: NOM 96.0%, EVTM 4.0%, OSI 0%; Grade IV and V: NOM 92.8%, EVTM 5.6%, OSI 1.6%. Anti-thrombotic agents were used in 57.2% of injuries, (NOM 58.1%, EVTM 77.8%, OSI 0%; p=0.49). Failure of NOM occurred in 1.8% of injuries. EVTM required re-intervention in 15.8% with none requiring open revision. In-hospital re-intervention was not required after OSI in any patient. Stroke after initiation of management occurred in 6.8% of bCVIs (NOM 5.9%, EVTM 26.3%, OSI 33.3%; p <0.001). Overall hospital mortality was 12.3% (NOM 11.3%, EVTM 29.3%, OSI 0%; p=0.11). Follow-up is available for 80 injuries (15.5%) for a median of 2.0 months (IQR 2.0 mo). During the available follow up period, out of hospital stroke rate was 0% and reintervention was necessary for only 1 injury (0.2%) after open repair due to flow-limiting stenosis.

CONCLUSIONS: Initial data suggests that management of bCVI largely follows the EAST guidelines. However, NOM predominated even in higher grade injuries. The number of bCVIs requiring intervention was small, but data suggests OSI and EVTM may be associated with a higher rate of stroke than NOM.
LOWER EXTREMITY COOLING REDUCES ISCHEMIA-REPERFUSION INJURY FOLLOWING ZONE III REBOA IN A PORCINE HEMORRHAGE MODEL.

Meryl A. Simon MD, Anders J. Davidson MD, Emily M. Tibbits MD, Erik S. DeSoucy DO, Guillaume L. Hoareau DVM, Lucas P. Neff MD, John K. Grayson Ph.D., DVM, Michael A. Johnson MD, Ph.D., Timothy K. Williams MD, David Grant Medical Center

Introduction: New strategies to mitigate ischemia during REBOA and to prolong its maximal duration are needed. We hypothesized that simple external cooling of the hind limbs would decrease ischemia-reperfusion injury following prolonged zone III REBOA.

Methods: Twelve swine were anesthetized, instrumented, splenectomized then underwent 15% total blood volume hemorrhage. Animals were randomized to hypothermia or normothermia followed by 4 hours of zone III REBOA, resuscitation with the shed blood, and 3 hours of critical care. Physiologic parameters were continuously recorded and laboratory specimens were obtained at regular intervals. Baseline and end-of-study muscle biopsies were obtained for histologic analysis.

Results: There were no significant differences between groups at baseline or after hemorrhage. No histologic differences were observed in hind limb skeletal muscle. Maximum creatine kinase (Figure 1) was significantly lower in the hypothermia group compared to the normothermia group (median [IQR] = 3,445 U/mL [3,380-4,402] vs 22,544 U/mL [17,030-24,981]; p < 0.01). Maximum serum myoglobin was also significantly lower in the hypothermia group (1,792 ng/mL [1,250-3,668] vs 21,186 ng/mL [14,181-24,779]; p < 0.01). Fascial compartment pressures (Figure 2) were significantly lower during critical care in the hypothermia group (p = 0.03).

Conclusion: External cooling during prolonged zone III REBOA decreased ischemic muscle injury and resulted in lower compartment pressures following reperfusion. Hypothermia may be a viable option to extend the tolerable duration of zone III occlusion, beyond what is currently achievable. Future survival studies are required to assess functional outcomes.
THE ADVERSE EFFECTS OF OBESITY ON OUTCOMES ARE POTENTIALLY PREVENTABLE.

Miren Schinco* MD, Sarat Ganga MD, Meagan Farrell Ph.D., Matthew Parrish BS, WakeMed Health & Hospitals

Introduction: Obese patients requiring surgery for orthopedic trauma have increased risk of adverse outcomes, although the mechanisms accounting for the relationship remain unknown. This study examined the effect of body mass index (BMI) on clinical outcomes in patients who underwent femur fracture fixation, and explored the mediating effects of pathophysiologic factors and clinical management.

Methods: A retrospective chart review evaluated outcomes in adult patients who received surgical fixation for femur fractures at our Level 1 center (2010-2016). Demographic data, Injury Severity Score (ISS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and mechanism of injury (MOI) were taken from the registry. Operative data included time to definitive fixation, operative time and estimated blood loss (EBL). Specific complications were pneumonia, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis and respiratory failure. Primary outcomes were hospital length of stay (HLOS), ICU length of stay (ICU-LOS), mortality, complications, and time to mobility (first out of bed, FOB). Bivariate correlations were used to examine the relationship between BMI and baseline characteristics and unadjusted outcomes. Unique effects of BMI were further explored via multiple logistic and linear regression models. Path analysis tested whether the relationship between BMI and clinical outcomes was mediated by differences in 1) clinical management, or 2) physiologic variables. Multiple mediation models were compared for fit.

Results: The patient demographics were as follows: 57.4% male, mean age 43.4 ± 22.7 years and ISS of 12.5 ± 6.8. Predominant MOIs were motor vehicle crashes (43.8%) and falls (34.5%). There were no association between BMI and age, ISS, or GCS. Higher BMI corresponded with higher rates of diabetes, cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases, and more severe abdominal injuries, p<.05. Overall complication and mortality rates were 9% and 0.6%, respectively. In univariate analysis, higher BMI was linked to longer HLOS (r=−.12), longer ICU-LOS (r=.15), and higher number of total complications (r=.12), specifically respiratory failure (OR=1.1), p<.05. BMI also correlated with a longer time to FOB, r=.18, p<.001. Controlling for severity and comorbidities, a 10-point increase in BMI corresponded to 2.2 times higher odds of respiratory failure, 1.1 days longer ICU-LOS, 1.2 days longer HLOS, and a 23 hour delay in FOB. BMI was also associated with longer operative times (r=−.11) and greater EBL (r=−.11), p<.05. The effect BMI on poor outcomes was accounted for by delayed mobility (FOB), as shown by the significant indirect effects (a x b paths) in Figure 1. The nonsignificant direct effect (c’ path) indicates no effect of BMI after controlling for delayed mobility (i.e., full mediation). Indirect effects were not significant when models included number of comorbidities as the mediating variable.

Conclusions: Higher BMI puts patients at risk for longer hospital stays and increased rate of systemic complication. Mediation models indicate that the adverse clinical outcomes associated with obesity are caused by delays in mobility, a preventable non-patient physiologic factor. Clinical strategies should be directed at early mobilization to minimize morbidity.
TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR NUCLEAR FACTOR-KAPPAB IS ACTIVATED IN FILTER-IMPLANTED VENA CAVA

Zhongbiao Wang MD,Ph.D., Dennis W. Ashley* MD, Lingwei Kong MS, Jing Kang MD, Don K. Nakayama MD, Paul S. Dale MD, Medical Center of Central Georgia/Mercer University School of Medicine

Introduction: Implantation of a retrievable vena cava filter (VCF) is an effective therapy for preventing fatal pulmonary embolism. Retrieval of filters, however, may be difficult due to intimal hyperplasia and inflammation in the caval wall. The nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-kappaB) transcription factor plays an important role in the inducible regulation of a variety of genes involved in the inflammatory and proliferative responses of cells. The present study was designed to determine if VCF implantation resulted in activation of NF-kappaB in the neointima.

Methods: Filters were placed in the infrarenal vena cava (VC) in 4 swine for 30 days and then removed. Tissues of normal VC segments and neointimal tissues adherent to the filter struts were collected. NF-kappaB DNA binding activity was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit. Immunohistochemical analyses were used to assess the NF-kappaB subunits p65 and p50, the phosphorylated Inhibitor of kappaB-alpha (phosphor-IkappB) and smooth muscle alpha-actin in the neointimal tissues.

Results: Significant NF-kappaB DNA binding activity was found in the neointimal tissues but not in the normal VC tissues (p<.05). The intima was composed predominantly of smooth muscle cells (SMCs). Immunoreactivities of P65, p50 and phosphor-IkappB were present in the intima. Co-localization analyses showed that p65 and p50 were in SMCs and in both cytoplasm and nuclei (an index of activation).

Conclusion: The present study demonstrates for the first time that VCF implantation causes activation of NF-kappaB, and the activity is associated with SMC accumulation in neointima. We further demonstrate the activation is at least partly due to phosphorylation of its inhibitor IkappB-alpha. Our data suggest that activation of NF-kappaB would significantly contribute to development of intimal hyperplasia and inflammation in filter-inserted vena caval walls. NF-kappaB might be a therapeutic target for inhibiting filter-caused intimal overgrowth and improving filter retrieval.
THE CHANGING ROLE OF ENDOVASCULAR STENTING FOR BLUNT CEREBROVASCULAR INJURIES

Charles P. Shahan MD, MS, John P. Sharpe MD, MS, Nathan Manley MD, MPH, Dina M. Filiberto MD, Shaun M. Stickley MD, Timothy C. Fabian* MD, Martin A. Croce* MD, Louis J. Magnotti* MD, University of Tennessee Health Science Center - Memphis

Introduction: Few injuries have produced as much debate with respect to management as have blunt cerebrovascular injuries (BCVIs). Without question, early anticoagulation is the mainstay of therapy for these injuries. However, the role of endovascular stenting for BCVI remains controversial. The purpose of this study was to examine the use of endovascular stents for BCVI and determine which injuries would benefit from their use.

Methods: Patients with BCVI from 2011-2016 were identified and stratified by age, gender, and injury severity. Patients were then divided into two groups (PS=2011-2012 and CS=2013-2016) based on a paradigm shift in BCVI diagnosis and treatment at our institution. Beginning in 2013, we adopted a multidisciplinary team approach to BCVI utilizing both vascular surgeons and dedicated neuro-interventionalists rather than interventional radiologists. Digital subtraction angiography was used for confirmatory diagnosis in both groups and heparin for initial therapy in all patients. The use of endovascular stents and BCVI-related stroke and mortality rates were then calculated and compared by group.

Results: In the CS, 277 patients were diagnosed with BCVI: 69% were male with mean age and Injury Severity Score of 44 years and 21 respectively, compared to 128 patients in the PS. Both groups were clinically similar with no difference in distribution of vessels injured (63% carotid artery injuries in CS vs 61% in PS, p=0.6). Beginning in 2013, there was a significant decrease in the use of stents for these injuries. In fact, in the CS, only 21 patients (7.6%) were treated with endovascular stenting compared to 44 patients (34%) in the PS (p<0.001). Of the 21 patients in the CS undergoing endovascular stenting, 14 had Grade 3 pseudoaneurysms and 7 had Grade 2 dissections. Despite this reduction in stenting, there was no change in the BCVI-related stroke rate between the CS and the PS (3.6% vs 3.9%, p=0.89). In fact, of the 10 strokes in the CS, none were stent-related compared to 2 (40%) stent-related strokes in the PS (p=0.003). BCVI-related mortality remained unchanged (0% in both the CS and PS).

Conclusion: Anticoagulation alone is adequate therapy for the majority of BCVI. Nevertheless, there is still a role for endovascular stents in the treatment of BCVI. In fact, their use should be reserved for enlarging carotid pseudoaneurysms and dissections with significant narrowing. The prospect of determining which injuries are best managed by stent placement warrants prospective investigation.
IMPACT OF VENORRHAPHY AND VEIN LIGATION ON VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM AND LOWER EXTREMITY EDEMA
Nathan R. Manley MD,MPH, Louis J. Magnotti* MD, Timothy C. Fabian* MD, Martin A. Croce* MD, John P. Sharpe* MD, MS University of Tennessee Health Science Center - Memphis

Introduction: Venous injuries pose a significant challenge for the trauma surgeon. Vein repair can restore outflow, although it risks thrombosis at the suture line and subsequent venous thromboembolism (VTE). Vein ligation is a faster option, although it potentially risks extremity edema. Based on this concern, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of management of venous injury on VTE and symptomatic extremity edema in patients with isolated venous injuries in the lower extremities.

Methods: Patients with common iliac, external iliac, femoral, and popliteal venous injuries over a 10-year period were identified. Deaths within 48 hours of arrival and patients with associated arterial injury were excluded. Patients were stratified by age, gender, severity of shock, management of venous injury, injury severity, and timing and type of anticoagulation. Outcomes included development of symptomatic lower extremity edema and VTE (pulmonary embolism (PE), deep venous thrombosis (DVT)). Outcomes were then evaluated to determine risk factors for symptomatic lower extremity edema and VTE by the management of venous injuries.

Results: 84 patients were identified: 20 common iliac, 27 external iliac, 37 femoral, 0 popliteal. 49 underwent vein repair and 35 underwent vein ligation. 93% were male with a mean ISS and GCS of 17 and 14, respectively. VTE occurred in 18 (21%); 15 (18%) DVT and 4 (5%) PE. 32 patients (38%) developed symptomatic lower extremity edema. VTE developed most commonly after injuries to the external iliac vein (44%, p=0.03). Those who underwent vein ligation had a greater degree of shock on presentation (RBC transfusions, 14 vs 8 units, p=0.03) and were more likely to receive prophylactic fasciotomies (60% vs 33%, p=0.01). There was no difference in time to or type of chemoprophylaxis between patients who underwent vein repair and those who received vein ligation. However, patients with vein ligation had fewer episodes of VTE (9% vs 31%, p=0.02) with no difference in symptomatic lower extremity edema (37% vs 39%, p=0.88) or amputation rates (0% vs 2%, p=0.99). The table demonstrates the percentage of VTE by injured vein and its management.

Conclusion: Vein repair had a higher incidence of VTE while providing no additional benefit in reducing symptomatic extremity edema compared to ligation in patients suffering venous injury. Ligation of most extremity venous injuries can be performed quickly without increasing patient morbidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Common Iliac (n=7)</th>
<th>External Iliac (n=8)</th>
<th>Femoral (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligation</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PERSISTENT INJURY-ASSOCIATED ANEMIA AND AGING: NOVEL INSIGHTS

Tyler J. Loftus MD, Kolenkode B. Kannan Ph.D., Christy S. Carter Ph.D., Jessica M. Plazas Juan C. Mira MD, Scott C. Brakenridge* MD, MSCS, Christiaan Leeuwenburgh Ph.D., Philip A. Efron* MD, Alicia M. Mohr* MD, University of Florida - Gainesville

Introduction: Hypercatecholaminemia and bone marrow dysfunction have been implicated in the pathophysiology of persistent-injury associated anemia. The elderly may be more vulnerable due to high basal and peak catecholamine levels and impaired erythroid progenitor growth. We hypothesized that aging would adversely affect persistent injury-associated anemia following severe trauma and chronic stress.

Methods: Sprague Dawley rats age 8-9 weeks and F344-BN rats age 25 months were randomized to: naïve, lung contusion plus hemorrhagic shock (LCHS), and LCHS plus daily chronic restraint stress (LCHS/CS), n=8-11/group. Urine norepinephrine (NE, ng/mL) was measured on days 1 and 7. Bone marrow cellularity (cells x10⁶/mL), colony forming units-erythroid (CFU-E) growth (cells/plate), and peripheral blood hemoglobin (Hb, g/dL), mean corpuscular volume (MCV, fl/cell), and red cell distribution width (RDW, %) were assessed on day 7 (mean±SD, a$p<0.05$ vs. young counterpart, b$p<0.05$ vs. naïve).

Results: Aged rats had elevated basal NE levels, increased NE following LCHS, and persistent elevation of NE following LCHS/CS (Table). HPC mobilization correlated with anemia in young rats more than old rats (Table). Although baseline Hb was higher in aged rats, they also had lower MCV and higher RDW, an iron-restricted phenotype (Table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young naïve</th>
<th>Old naïve</th>
<th>Young LCHS</th>
<th>Old LCHS</th>
<th>Young LCHS/CS</th>
<th>Old LCHS/CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE day 1</td>
<td>27±32</td>
<td>97±71a</td>
<td>17±8</td>
<td>420±239ab</td>
<td>66±22b</td>
<td>375±185ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE day 7</td>
<td>27±32</td>
<td>97±71a</td>
<td>61±9b</td>
<td>212±130</td>
<td>127±103</td>
<td>559±99c,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellularity</td>
<td>218±46</td>
<td>231±55</td>
<td>202±40</td>
<td>181±37</td>
<td>189±41b</td>
<td>168±38b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFU-E</td>
<td>65±5,4a</td>
<td>47±4a</td>
<td>50±5b</td>
<td>40±1ab</td>
<td>44±5b</td>
<td>38±3ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%HPC</td>
<td>1.2±0.7</td>
<td>1.2±0.3</td>
<td>2.7±1.9b</td>
<td>2.2±1.2</td>
<td>5.4±1.8b</td>
<td>2.5±2.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>14.3±0.4a</td>
<td>15.2±0.9a</td>
<td>13.4±1.2b</td>
<td>14.3±1.0</td>
<td>12.3±1.2b</td>
<td>13.3±1.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>59±5</td>
<td>48±3a</td>
<td>59±3</td>
<td>47±1a</td>
<td>60±4</td>
<td>46±1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>16.6±1.3</td>
<td>17.0±0.6</td>
<td>16.2±0.8</td>
<td>16.9±0.3a</td>
<td>16.3±1.1</td>
<td>17.4±0.2a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Compared to young rats, aged rats had less HPC mobilization and elevated basal and peak NE. Aged animals were disproportionately affected by impaired erythroid progenitor growth and an iron-restricted red blood cell phenotype at baseline which persisted seven days after injury. Further research is needed to assess how the clinical approach to persistent-injury-associated anemia differs for elderly trauma patients.
ANTITHROMBOTIC USE AND THE PRESENCE OF CEREBRAL ATROPHY: THE INHERENT RISK OF TRAUMATIC INTRACRANIAL HEMORRHAGE

Jason D. Sciarretta* MD, Stefanie Gibson AS, Keely Muertos MPH, John Davis* MD, University Of South Carolina

Introduction: Preinjury antithrombotic therapy (ATT) use and traumatic intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) can lead to increased risk and unfavorable outcomes, however there is little emphasis on the effect of these antithrombotic agents with underlying diffuse cerebral atrophy with aging. The aim of our study was to correlate the presence of cerebral cortical atrophy (CCA) on initial computed tomography (CT) imaging as a predictor of associated increased risk of ICH following blunt head injury and ATT use.

Methods: A 6-month retrospective analysis of all patients (>50 years) with blunt head trauma were reviewed. Data was collected on patient age, sex, mechanism of injury, the presence of loss of consciousness (LOC), ATT use, and CT findings. Further subgroup analysis of patients on ATT at admission was performed. Axial views of the brain on CT were used to quantify CCA by identifying the maximal transverse width of the lateral ventricle body (VB) with the cortical parenchymal width (CD) measured by the cortical surface and the ipsilateral lateral ventricle margin.

Results: 1229 patients were reviewed and included in the study. Mean age was 73.82 ± 14.98 years, 51% male. Overall mean VB was 6.75 ± 2.25 mm and CD 46.67 ± 3.68 mm. As ventricle size increased, cerebral cortex decreased (p < 0.001) with increasing age. 127 patients (10%) were identified with ICH. Subgroup analysis of ATT use, 569 patients (46%) identified with a VB 7.63 ± 2.14 mm and CD 45.51 ± 3.67 mm and 58 ICH (10%). Correlating CCA with ATT, age (p= < 0.001), mechanism of injury [MVC (p=0.003), assault (p=0.014), other transport vehicles (p=0.013)], LOC (p=0.004), and ICH (p=0.003) were statistically significant. In total, 12 patients required neurosurgical intervention (10 craniotomies, 2 craniectomies) with VB 9.29 ± 5.15 mm and CD 44.16 ± 6.60 mm (p=0.005), of which 42% on ATT. Four deaths resulted, a VB 7.53 ± 3.23 mm and CCA 42.53 ± 3.31 mm (p= < 0.001).

Conclusion: CCA is a normal aging process, however a heightened awareness is warranted with preinjury ATT. Worsening CCA is predictive of ICH development with ATT use. Development of a CCA scoring system may further predict the risk of ICH development in the aging trauma population.
STATEWIDE PROTOCOL RAPIDLY REVERSES ORAL ANTICOAGULANT INDUCED COAGULOPATHY IN PATIENTS WITH ISOLATED TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Rebecca G. Maine MD,MPH, Joseph Cuschieri* MD, Bryce Robinson* MD, MS, Thomas Shoultz MD, Andrew Riggle MD, Mackenzie Cook MD, John Hess MD,MPH, Eileen M. Bulger* MD, Saman Arbabi* MD,MPH, University Of Washington, Harborview Medical Center

Introduction: Approximately 20% of older trauma patients use oral anticoagulants, most commonly warfarin, and their usage is associated with higher mortality and worse neurologic outcome following injury. Due to demonstrated improved survival rates with rapid reversal of coagulopathy in those with TBI, a 2011 state-wide policy was implemented for reversal with the use of prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) ± fresh frozen plasma (FFP), rather than FFP alone. We hypothesize this policy would increase PCC use, and that reversal of warfarin-induced coagulopathy would occur more quickly.

Methods: Patients admitted to our Level I trauma center between January 2011 and May 2016 who had isolated TBI (head AIS ≥3, other body regions ≤2) and an INR >1.5 with warfarin use were evaluated. Data from the trauma registry were linked to laboratory records, the blood bank registry, and pharmacy records. The primary outcomes were frequency of PCC use, and the success and speed of INR reversal ± PCC. Secondary outcomes included the amount of FFP used, time to neurosurgical intervention, thrombotic complications, and death.

Results: We analyzed 197 patients by reversal group, 22 received no reversal (NO), 98 received FFP only (FFP), and 77 received PCC ± FFP (PCC). During the initiation of the policy, 2.7% received PCC versus 63.2% and 50% during years 3 and 4. PCC patients reversed their INR to <1.5 in a median of 4.5 hours (IQR, 3.2-6.3), significantly faster than both NO and FFP patients (13.7 [IQR: 5.8 – 19.8], 9 hours [IQR: 5.2 -16.3]; p <0.001, respectively). PCC patients were more severely coagulopathic on admission (median INR [IQR]: PCC: 2.5 [2-3.3]; FFP: 1.9 [1.7-2.4]; NO: 1.8 [1.6 -2.3], p <0.001), and had a higher median ISS (PCC: 26 [IQR: 17-26]; FFP: 17.5 [16-26]; NO: 17.5 [16-26]; p= 0.038). In the subgroup of patients admitted from the scene, PCC use increased to use over 80% by year 4. In this subgroup, PCC patients used significantly less FFP in the first 24-hours of admission (PCC: 0.5 units [IQR 0-2 units]) vs. FFP: 2 units [IQR: 1.5-3], p = 0.001). After adjusting for propensity to receive PCC, there was no difference in mortality or thrombotic complication rates between the reversal groups.

Conclusion: Since implementation of a statewide protocol for rapid warfarin reversal in TBI: (1) the use of PCC has increased, (2) PCC use more rapidly reversed INR, and (3) PCC use led to less FFP transfusion during reversal, all without increasing thrombotic complications. We plan to add the recently developed reversal agents for the new oral anticoagulants to the statewide protocol, and prospectively evaluate adherence, reversal time and outcomes.
Background: The incidence of TBI has steadily risen. Following discharge, TBI patients often require long term care in skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). Despite advances in trauma care, a significant knowledge gap remains about the long term outcomes of TBI patients admitted to SNFs. As previously demonstrated patients with significant impairments in cognitive and functional status show little long-term improvement, are less likely to be discharged to home and are more likely to die while in SNF. Therefore we aim to describe the natural history of TBI patients in SNFs.

Methods: This is a 10 year retrospective (2005-2015), descriptive epidemiologic study of TBI patients. We reviewed Minimum Data Set (MDS), a national and federally mandated dataset for patients aged ≥ 18 years old who had first time admissions to a nursing home with a diagnosis of TBI. We reviewed the dataset for age, sex, cognitive and physical function, length of stay, presence of feeding tube, a terminal condition (death within 6 months), and dementia. Cognitive function was assessed using the Cognitive Performance Scale (CPS) and the Cognitive Function Scale (CFS). Physical and functional abilities were assessed using Activities of Daily Living (ADL) with ≥ 23 being severe physical impairment.

Results: Over the 10 year period, the number of first time admissions to SNFs of patients with TBI increased annually from 17,247 patients in 2005 to 20,787 in 2014. The percentage of patients with TBI under the age of 65 decreased from 29% to 21% (p<0.05) and the percentage of patients over the age of 85 increased from 28% to 33% (p<0.05). Average ADL score increased from 16.9 to 17.7 (p<0.05), however the percentage of patients with ADL score ≥ 23 decreased from 25% to 14% (p<0.05). The overall percentage of patients with severe cognitive impairment decreased from 18% to 10% (p<0.05). More patients had Dementia in 2014 compared to previous years (p<0.05) and the presence of a terminal condition increased from 1% to 1.5% over the 10 year period (p<0.05). In 2005, 18% of patients had a feeding tube but only 11% in 2014 (p<0.05). The percentage of patients that stayed less than 30 days was noted to increase steadily over the 10 years, starting with 48% in 2005 and ending with 53% in 2013 (p<0.05).

Conclusion: In this national study of TBI patients, it is evident that long term SNF care remains a significant burden and the number of patients with TBI requiring SNFs continually increases. As acute trauma care has improved, the focus should now turn towards optimizing post-hospital care in this population. Furthermore, this care should be centered in facilities specializing in TBI.
THE IMPACT OF PALLIATIVE CARE IN OLDER PATIENTS WITH SEVERE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Franchesca Hwang MD, Sri Ram Pentakota MD,MPH,Ph.D., Ana Berlin MD,MPH, Nina E. Glass MD, David H. Livingston* MD, Anne C. Mosenthal* MD, Rutgers - New Jersey Medical School

Introduction: Older patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) have increased morbidity and mortality compared to their younger counterparts for equivalent injury. Palliative care (PC) is recommended for all seriously ill patients with high symptom burden or at risk for adverse outcomes. Little is known about the national practice of PC for patients with TBI. The goal of this study was to assess PC utilization in the elderly, severe TBI patient population. We hypothesized that PC was underutilized despite its positive effects on patient care.

Methods: The National Inpatient Sample database was queried from 2009 to 2013 for patients aged ≥55 with all ICD-9 code defined TBI diagnoses with loss of consciousness ≥24 hours. Outcome measures included PC rate, in-hospital mortality, discharge disposition, length-of-stay (LOS), and intensity of care represented by craniotomy or craniectomy procedures, ventilator use, tracheostomy, and PEG. LOS for survivors was censored to 30 days to eliminate outliers.

Results: 5733 patients met the inclusion criteria. 78% (4479) died in hospital with a median length of stay of 1 day. 85% of the survivors (1060) were discharged to facilities. The overall PC rate in the cohort was 35% (2007). 39% (1728) of deaths received PC, with nearly half (801) within 48 hours of admission. 78% of all patients required ventilator support; 25% (1439) for >4 days. 26% (66) of those who had neurosurgical procedures had PC, compared to 35% of those who were non-operatively treated (p=0.003). Palliative care was associated with less intensity of care in the entire population. For survivors, those with PC had significantly decreased intensity of care and shorter hospital stay, compared to those without PC (Table).

Table: Intensity of Care among Survivors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palliative Care (n=279)</th>
<th>No Palliative Care (n=973)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracheostomy</td>
<td>33 (12%)</td>
<td>402 (41%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>30 (11%)</td>
<td>364 (37%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent &gt;4 days</td>
<td>81 (29%)</td>
<td>536 (55%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median LOS</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Despite high mortality, only 1/3 of older patients with severe TBI received PC. PC was associated with decreased use of life support and lower intensity of care. The lower PC rate among those who had neurosurgical procedures suggests a dichotomous approach to care: palliative care versus invasive surgery. As nearly half of deaths who received PC had it within 48 hours, timing is not a barrier to deliver PC. Significant efforts need to be made to bridge this quality gap and improve PC in this high-risk population.
Sarcopenia Defined By Masseter Area Predicts Early Mortality Following Severe Traumatic Brain Injury

Parker Hu MD, Rindi Uhlich MD, Jeffrey Kerby* MD,Ph.D., Patrick Bosarge* MD, University of Alabama Birmingham

Introduction: Sarcopenia is strongly associated with frailty and long term mortality following injury among the geriatric trauma population. Cross sectional muscle area, most commonly of the psoas, is used as an objective measure to rapidly identify sarcopenia. Masseter area (M-area) has recently been identified as a more available and able to predict two year mortality following traumatic brain injury (TBI). We sought to validate this measure and correlate its use in prediction of short term mortality following severe TBI (sTBI).

Methods: A retrospective analysis of all trauma patients with TBI admitted to an ACS verified level one trauma center from 2011-2016 was performed. Admission Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) ≤8 was used to identify sTBI. The medical record was then utilized to identify demographic and clinical data, including length of mechanical ventilation, hospital length of stay (LOS), ICU LOS, and 30 day mortality. Bilateral masseter area was measured 2 cm below the zygomatic arch and mean M-area calculated for each patient. Sarcopenia was defined as mean M-area one standard deviation or less from the mean. Analysis included Student t test followed by logistic regression evaluate M-area. Patients were then compared grouped as with or without sarcopenia and analyzed with Kaplan-Meier survival and Cox proportional hazards models.

Results: 424 patients were identified with sTBI during the study period. 18 were excluded due to incomplete data(16) or death secondary to hemorrhage immediately after arrival(2). 108 patients were age 55 or older, 79 male and 29 female. 77 patients had average M-area and 31 with sarcopenia. Males had significantly larger mean M-area compared to females overall (5.26 vs. 4.11 cm², p=<0.001) and ≥55 years old (4.55 vs 3.43 cm², p=<0.001). Controlling for gender, decreasing M-area was significantly associated with 30 day mortality (OR 0.58, p=0.002). Sarcopenia resulted in increased risk of 30 day mortality following sTBI (HR 1.75; 95% CI, 1.02-3.00).

Conclusion: M-area is a rapid and more commonly available method to assess for sarcopenia among elderly trauma patients with sTBI who may not undergo full body CT scan. Sarcopenia as defined by M-area may be used to predict early mortality following sTBI.
DEVELOPMENT OF A NOVEL SCORING SYSTEM PREDICTING THE RISK OF NEUROSURGICAL INTERVENTION IN AN ISOLATED MILD SUBDURAL HEMORRHAGE POPULATION

Alessandro Orlando MPH, Andrew S. Levy MD, Charles W. Mains* MD, Denetta S. Slone* MD, Matthew Carrick* MD, Robert Madayag MD, Benjamin A. Rubin MD, David Bar-Or MD, St. Anthony Hospital

Background: A paucity of studies have examined neurosurgical interventions in the mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) population with intracranial hemorrhage (ICH). Furthermore, we do not understand how the dimensions of an ICH relate to the risk of a neurosurgical intervention. These limitations contribute to a lack of treatment guidelines. Isolated subdural hematomas (SDHs) are the most prevalent ICH in mTBI, carry the highest neurosurgical intervention rate, and account for an overwhelming majority of all neurosurgical interventions. Decision criteria in this population could benefit from understanding the risk of neurosurgical intervention. The aim of this study was to quantify the risk of neurosurgical intervention based on the dimensions of a SDH in patients with mTBI.

Methods: This was a 3.5 year, retrospective observational cohort study at a Level I Trauma Center. All adult (≥18 years) trauma patients with mTBI and SDH were included in the study. Maximum length and thickness (mm) of acute SDHs, the presence of acute on chronic (AOC) SDH, mass effect and other hemorrhage related variables were double entered; discrepant results were adjudicated after a maximum of four reviews. Patients with coagulopathy, skull fractures, no acute hemorrhage, a non-SDH ICH, or who did not have imaging on admission were excluded. Tentorial SDHs were not measured. The primary outcome was neurosurgical intervention (craniotomy, burr holes, ICP monitor placement, shunt, ventriculostomy, SDH evacuation). Multivariate stepwise logistic regression was used to identify significant covariates, assessed interactions, and created the scoring system.

Results: There were a total of 176 patients included in our study: 22 patients did, and 154 patients did not, receive a neurosurgical intervention. There were no significant differences between neurosurgical intervention groups in 11 demographic and 22 comorbid variables. There was a strong correlation between the first three reviews on maximum hemorrhage length (R²=0.82) and maximum hemorrhage thickness (R²=0.80). The neurosurgical intervention group had an average maximum SDH length and thickness that were nearly 63 mm longer, and 11 mm thicker than the non-neurosurgical intervention group (p<0.001 both). Logistic regression identified thickness as being the most important variable in predicting neurosurgical intervention. SDH length was not determined to be a significant covariate, nor did it interact with SDH thickness. Risk of neurosurgical intervention was calculated using a logistic regression model based on the SDH thickness and presence of an AOC (Figure 1, AUROC=0.93, 95%CI: 0.88, 0.96, p<0.001). With a decision point of 9 mm SDH thickness, we predicted neurosurgical intervention with 100% sensitivity, 100% negative predictive value, and 69% specificity.

Conclusions: This is the first study to quantify the risk of neurosurgical intervention based on hemorrhage characteristics in patients with mild TBI and SDHs. Once validated in a second population, these data can be used to better inform patients and families of the risk of future neurosurgical intervention, and evaluate the necessity of inter-hospital transfers.
GERIATRIC UNDERTRIAGE: TIME FOR A NEW APPROACH
Forrest B. Fernandez MD, Adrian Ong* MD, Anthony Martin RN, BSN, CCRN, David Lapham DO, Kristen Sandel MD, Adam Sigal MD, Charles Barbera MD, Amanda McNicholas CRNP, Alison Muller BS, MT, MSPH, C W. Schwab* MD, Reading Health System

Introduction: Undertriage (UT) has been reported to adversely affect outcome, particularly in geriatric populations. The American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma defines undertriage (UT) as a patient with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) >15 not triaged to Trauma Team Activation (TTA). Our center has reported on a protocol of expedited clinical screening and CT imaging for older patients at risk for brain injury who do not meet conventional TTA criteria. We hypothesize that UT occurs frequently in geriatric patients and that UT based on ISS criteria alone is a poor predictor of mortality or need for emergent treatment interventions.

Methods: A retrospective review over a four year period (Jan 2013-Dec 2016) was performed of all moderate to severely injured patients (ISS >15) presenting to our busy Emergency Department (ED) (>130,000 visits annually) and Level II Trauma Center. UT was defined a patient with an ISS >15 not triaged to a TTA. Patients were stratified into 3 age groups for prognostication; group 1 (18-40 years); group 2 (41-64 years); and group 3 (≥65 years). Timeliness of care was measured by time to initial physician evaluation (TPE), time to computed tomographic imaging (TCT), and ED length of stay (EDLOS). Need for ED intubation, blood transfusion, direct transport from the ED to the Operating Room (OR)/Angiography Suite for emergent treatment, as well as in-house mortality were compiled for the TTA and UT cohorts. Logistic regression analysis determined variables independently associated with mortality.

Results: Over the period of study, 947 patients met inclusion criteria. Overall UT rate was 341/947 (36%) with rates within the groups 1-3 being 11%, 24% and 53% respectively. The UT group was more likely to present following falls (77% vs 34%, p<0.0001) and had a greater proportion of patients with AIS Head ≥3 injuries (79% vs 64%, p<0.0001). The TTA group had more expeditious care (TPE 0 min vs. 24 min, p<0.0001; TCT 22 min vs. 97 min, p<0.0001; and median EDLOS 106 min vs. 291 min, p<0.0001). For the younger two cohorts mortality was statistically similar among TTA and UT patients. However, in the geriatric group, mortality was lower for UT patients (9% vs 23%, p=0.0001). Stratified by ISS (16-25, and >25), geriatric UT patients had a lower risk of death (odds ratio [OR] 0.42, p=0.003) while groups 1 and 2 had similar risks of death to TTA patients. UT patients were equally likely to require Intensive Care Unit admission (64% vs 60%, p=0.2), and angiographic intervention (1.5% vs 3%, p=0.2), and less likely to require ED blood transfusion (1% vs 13%, p<0.0001), intubation (4% vs 29%, p<0.0001), or emergent operative intervention (6% vs 20%, p<0.0001). Logistic regression analysis revealed that UT was independently associated with lower mortality (OR 0.48, 95% confidence interval 0.24-0.94, p=0.03) when controlled for age, Glasgow Coma Scale, ISS, systolic blood pressure, anticoagulant use, gender, and mechanism of injury.

Conclusions: UT is common in the geriatric population and frequently presents following low-energy mechanisms with occult blunt head injury. UT based on ISS criteria alone is not predictive of outcome and not helpful to trauma quality initiatives in the elderly. Development of non-traditional methods to expeditiously evaluate and treat this rapidly growing population is needed.
Introduction: As the elderly population increases, the incidence of pre-injury anti-platelet (AP) and anti-coagulant (AC) medications is expanding. Thromboelastography (TEG) has gained popularity in management of injured patients. The utility of TEG in identifying trauma patients on pre-existing AC/AP that are at risk of hemorrhage has not been well studied. We sought to determine the correlation of TEG parameters with conventional coagulation testing in elderly patients on AC/AP medications, and calculate the sensitivity/specificity in determining risk of delayed hemorrhage.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study involving elderly patients sustaining falls with injury that were on pre-existing AC/AP medications presenting to a Level I trauma center in 2016. Patients were included if they had conventional coagulation tests done as well as a TEG drawn at the same point in time. All patients also had to have sequential radiographic imaging to examine for delayed hemorrhagic complications which were defined as any evidence of new bleeding or expansion of a previously noted bleed. Pearson and Spearman correlation was used to determine the relationship between conventional coagulation tests and TEG parameters. The sensitivity and specificity of conventional coagulation parameters (INR/PTT), Platelet Function Assay (PFA), and TEG parameters in determining delayed hemorrhage were calculated.

Results: 112 patients met inclusion criteria. Mean age was 83.7±8.8 years and 54.4% were male. AP medications (66%) were more common than AC (34%), with Aspirin (51.8%) and Coumadin (26.8%) being encountered most frequently. Head injuries (55.8%) were predominant in AC/AP patients, though ISS (Median 10 vs. 9.5, p=0.97) and need for craniotomy (54.3% vs. 50%, p=0.831) was similar. Incidence of delayed bleeding (23% vs. 18.4%, p=0.635) was similar across AC/AP groups. TEG R time had a moderate positive correlation with a rising INR/PTT (Table) that was significant, while PFA testing had a weak negative correlation with Maximal Amplitude and Alpha Angle. TEG had superior sensitivity in ruling out delayed hemorrhage compared with INR/PTT or PFA. Specificity for delayed bleeding was the greatest in patients with abnormal PFA.

Conclusions: TEG R Time has a moderate positive correlation with INR/PTT. Though it has superior sensitivity in ruling out delayed hemorrhage than conventional tests, larger prospective studies are warranted to further assess the utility of TEG in patients on AC/AP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 1</th>
<th>INR Correlation</th>
<th>PTT Correlation</th>
<th>Parameter 2</th>
<th>PFA Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R time</td>
<td>0.377; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.552; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Alpha Angle</td>
<td>-0.299; p =0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K time</td>
<td>0.168; p = 0.077</td>
<td>0.192; p = 0.048</td>
<td>Max Amplitude</td>
<td>-0.223; p =0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delayed Hemorrhage</th>
<th>Conventional Coagulation</th>
<th>PFA</th>
<th>TEG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMPACT OF AN ASPIRIN BASED STROKE PROPHYLAXIS PROTOCOL IN ADULTS WITH BLUNT CEREBROVASCULAR INJURY

Maxwell A. Braverman DO, Emily E. Murphy MD, Peter R. Zdunek Richard J. Caplan Ph.D., Cynthia Peters MSN CCRN, Sudhakar A. Satti MD, Sherry L. Sixta MD, Kevin M. Bradley* MD, Glen H Tinkoff* MD, Peter R. Zdunek Richard J. Caplan Ph.D., Mark D. Cipolle* MD, Ph.D., Christianacare Health Services

Introduction: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy have both been used to reduce the risk of stroke in patients who sustain blunt cerebrovascular injury (BCVI). Systemic therapeutic heparinization has been the anticoagulation of choice. Initiation of ASA therapy has been shown to be safe in patients with concomitant solid organ injury as well as traumatic brain injury, allowing for earlier implementation of therapy in these patients. The objective of this study is to report the outcomes of an aspirin-based stroke prophylaxis protocol in patients with BCVI.

Methods: The prospectively collected institutional trauma databank was retrospectively queried for all trauma patients who underwent CTA of the neck to rule out BCVI (utilizing Modified Denver Criteria) from 9/13-10/16. Analysis included injury grade on admission and on follow-up studies. Type of anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy administered on admission and discharge was also reported. Data collected included: age, gender, injury severity score (ISS), abbreviated injury score head (AIS Head), and number of BCVI per patient. The primary outcome was stroke. Stroke was defined as evidence of an ischemic or embolic event of appropriate chronicity on either head CT or MRI. Chi-squared test was performed on categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared with Kruskal Wallis and reported as median and interquartile range.

Results: During the study period, 11,685 patients were admitted to the trauma service and 1164 CTAs of the neck were obtained. BCVI was diagnosed in 174 patients with a total of 228 vessels injured. Of these injuries, 79 were Grade I (34.6%), 74 were Grade II (32.5%), 29 were Grade III (12.7%) and 46 were Grade IV (20.2%). There were no Grade V injuries. On admission, 155 patients (89%) were started on aspirin (ASA) therapy, 5 (2.9%) were started on a heparin drip, 4 (2.3%) were placed on dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) and 3 (1.7%) were placed on clopidogrel. Seven patients (4%) received no anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy due to death, withdrawal of care, or facility transfer. Median time between admission and initiation of treatment was 28 (IQR 12-58) hours. Seven patients demonstrated stroke symptoms or imaging findings consistent with stroke on presentation. At the time of stroke, 2 patients (22.2%) were on full anticoagulation, 4 patients (44.4%) were on aspirin therapy, and 3 patients (33.3%) were not anticoagulated. Stroke rates were 3.6% (2/53), 5.7% (3/53), 13.6% (3/22) and 2.6% (1/39) for Grade I through IV injuries, respectively. Aspirin was the most common therapy on discharge (78.2%, (136/174)) followed by a novel anticoagulant at 5.2% (9/174), 3.5% (6/174) of patients were discharged on warfarin, 2.9% (5/174) on clopidogrel, 2.3% (4/174) were discharged on therapeutic low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), and 2.3% (4/174) were discharged on DAPT. The remaining 10 patients (5.8%) were discharged without anticoagulant therapy due to resolution of injury on imaging.

Conclusion: Aspirin should be considered a first line agent for stroke prophylaxis in patients with Biffl grade I-IV injuries. Initiation of early aspirin therapy in patients with BCVI produces outcomes equivalent to those reported in the literature for stroke. A multi-institutional trial should be performed to further validate this treatment approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Median age (IQR)</th>
<th>Male Gender (%)</th>
<th>Median ISS (IQR)</th>
<th>Median AIS Head (%)</th>
<th>% ASA as initial therapy</th>
<th>CVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>49 (27-68)</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>14 (9-22)</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>2 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>55 (29-77)</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>14 (10-27)</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>3 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>54 (27-78)</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>15 (10-19)</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>3 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>62 (31-78)</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>14 (10-22)</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p-value*
THE GRASS IS NOT ALWAYS GREENER: A PILOT STUDY OF MARIJUANA USE AND PAIN CONTROL FOLLOWING TRAUMA

Laura Peck DO, Kristin Salottolo MPH, Allen Tanner II, MD, Matthew M. Carrick* MD, Charles W. Mains* MD, Emmett McGuire* MD, David Bar-Or MD, Swedish Medical Center

Introduction: Widespread legislative efforts to legalize marijuana have resulted in increased prevalence of marijuana use and abuse. Marijuana has been shown to have antinociceptive effects and is used for treating chronic and neuropathic pain. However, the effects of previous marijuana exposure on pain tolerance and pain management, particularly in the setting of acute pain, remain poorly understood. The objective was to determine the association between pre-injury marijuana use and pain control and narcotics administration following trauma.

Methods: This retrospective pilot study included all consecutively admitted patients to three Level I trauma centers with vehicular trauma from January through April 2016; patients with length of stay > 14 days were excluded. Marijuana (MJ) status was categorized as non-user (MJ-) vs. user (MJ+); MJ+ was further defined as chronic (daily or almost daily use) vs. occasional use. All narcotics were converted to be equianalgesic to 1mg IV hydromorphone. We performed a repeated measures analysis to examine the association between marijuana status and daily narcotics administration, unadjusted and after adjustment for injury severity score, age, other toxicology findings, and average daily pain score (0-10 scale).

Results: Marijuana use was reported in 22% (51/230), of which 29% reported chronic use (15/51). MJ+ patients were more likely to be younger with positive toxicology screen than MJ- users, but were less likely to be intoxicated (p<0.05 for all). Overall, 77% of patients were admitted to the ICU. The average daily pain score was 4.2; there were no differences in average pain scores by marijuana status (figure 1). Approximately 7.5mg IV hydromorphone was administered daily. Before adjustment, chronic MJ+ users received significantly more narcotics than MJ- users (10.1 vs. 7.0, p=0.048). After adjustment, narcotics administration over the hospital stay was significantly greater for chronic MJ+ users vs. occasional MJ+ users (p=0.02) and borderline significantly greater than MJ- patients (p=0.09), figure 1.

Conclusions: Marijuana use and abuse is common in vehicular trauma. These pilot data suggest that pre-injury chronic use of marijuana may have a detrimental effect on pain response following trauma. We are planning a larger prospective study to further investigate the relationship between marijuana use, narcotics, and pain response.
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**VENO-VENOUS EXTRACORPOREAL MEMBRANE OXYGENATION SUPPORT FOR RESPIRATORY FAILURE - HOW LONG IS TOO LONG?**


MD, R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center

**Introduction:** The use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in adults with respiratory failure has steadily increased over the past decade. Recent literature has demonstrated variable outcomes with the use of extended ECMO. Anecdotally, some centers consider stopping care based solely on an arbitrary duration of time on ECMO. The purpose of this study is to evaluate survival to hospital discharge in patients with extended ECMO runs compared to patients with short ECMO runs at a tertiary care ECMO referral center.

**Methods:** We retrospectively reviewed all patients on VV ECMO for respiratory failure between August 2014 and February 2017. Bridge to lung transplant, post lung transplant and post cardiac surgery patients were excluded for the purposes of this study. Patients were stratified by duration of ECMO: extended ECMO, defined as > 504 hours (21 days); short ECMO as ≤ 504 hours (21 days). Demographics, pre-ECMO data, ECMO specific data, and outcomes were analyzed. Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test and Pearson’s chi-square were used when applicable.

**Results:** 139 patients with respiratory failure were treated with VV ECMO. Overall survival to discharge was 76%. 32 (23%) patients had extended ECMO runs with an 88% survival to discharge. When compared to patients with short ECMO runs, there was no difference in median age, BMI, BSA, P/F and survival to discharge. However, time from intubation to cannulation for ECMO was significantly longer in patients with extended ECMO runs. (p=0.04)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=139)</th>
<th>Short ECMO Run (n=107)</th>
<th>Extended ECMO Run (n=32)</th>
<th>P – value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44 [31-54]</td>
<td>44 [31-55]</td>
<td>46 [32-53]</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>87 (65%)</td>
<td>67 (65%)</td>
<td>20 (624%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA [m²]</td>
<td>2.1 [1.9-2]</td>
<td>2.1 [1.9-2.3]</td>
<td>2 [1.9-2.2]</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/F</td>
<td>71 [55-98]</td>
<td>71 [53-98]</td>
<td>73 [60-96]</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator days*</td>
<td>1 [0-4]</td>
<td>1 [0-2]</td>
<td>2 [0.5]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMO duration (hours)</td>
<td>305 [179-452]</td>
<td>243 [152-338]</td>
<td>811 [657-1199]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survived to discharge</td>
<td>105 (76%)</td>
<td>77 (72%)</td>
<td>28 (88%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusions:** Recent literature has demonstrated variable outcomes with the use of extended ECMO for patients with respiratory failure. Our data demonstrate that patients with extended ECMO runs have equivalent outcomes to those with short ECMO runs. Although the decision to continue ECMO support in this patient population is multifactorial, we suggest that time on ECMO should not be the sole factor in this challenging decision.
EXCEPTION FROM INFORMED CONSENT TRIALS IN TRAUMA SURGERY: A PROPOSED COMMUNITY CONSULTATION MODEL

Paige C. Newell BA, Amy J. Goldberg* MD, Carrie A. Sims* MD, Huaqing Zhao Ph.D., Zoe Maher MD, Temple University Hospital

Introduction: Exception from informed consent (EFIC) research in trauma surgery requires community consultation. Despite its critical role in emergency research, community consultation remains poorly defined. This paper aims to define a concise set of survey questions to accurately assess attitudes toward an EFIC trial, it is designed to be reproduced for future EFIC community consultations.

Methods: A 54-item community consultation survey assessed attitudes towards two EFIC trials. 36 items assessed demographics and participant risk factors, and 18 items directly assessed support for EFIC research using a five-point Likert scale. Items were grouped by several cohorts: support for medical research, support for EFIC research, support for EFIC with self-interest, and support for EFIC with altruism. These items were analyzed using correlation matrices, cronbach alpha scores, factor analysis, and multiple linear regression to produce a concise model (p<0.05, power = 0.8) equally predictive of community support for an EFIC trial as the original 54-item survey.

Results: Of the 54 items in the original community consultation survey (N=415), a multiple linear regression found six items that were reliable predictors of support for that EFIC trial and explained 92% of the variance from the original survey (Table 1). The six-item template has acceptable internal consistency (cronbach alpha = 0.82), is statistically significant (p<0.05), and represents all item cohorts (Table 2).

Conclusion: Six questions from EFIC community consultations were identified as statistically significant predictors of support for upcoming EFIC trials. These six questions should be included in all community consultation surveys. This template for community consultation will enable physicians to begin to standardize a historically vague process and leave more time for valuable patient education.

Table 1 – Concise EFIC Community Consultation Survey

1. I, or my family, would benefit from medical research on trauma.
2. Involving patients in a medical research study without asking their permission first is acceptable in emergency circumstances.
3. It is okay for medical researchers to include me in a study that might help me if I am unconscious or too sick to give permission myself.
4. It is okay for emergency research that does not ask for patient’s consent to be done in my community if the study might help the patient.
5. It is okay for medical researchers to include me in a study that might NOT help me but might help future patients if I am unconscious or too sick to give permission myself.
6. I think it is acceptable for me to be enrolled into this study without my written consent if I had been shot or stabbed and my legal representative (spouse, children, guardian) could not be contacted.

Table 2 – ANOVA Multiple Linear Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support for EFIC Trial</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>2.918</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>1.887</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>2.173</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4</td>
<td>1.993</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 5</td>
<td>2.005</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6</td>
<td>2.887</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of Patient-Centered Long-Term Outcomes to Compare Trauma Centers: Better than in-hospital mortality?


Objective: Quality benchmarking of trauma care is currently based on in-hospital mortality and does not reflect the experience of the >95% patients who survive. To address this, the National Academies of Medicine recent report on military-civilian trauma care recommends collection of longer-term outcomes to assess trauma care quality. Our objective is to determine if patient-centered, long-term outcomes (PCLTOs) could be used to compare trauma center performance.

Methods: An international interdisciplinary panel identified appropriate PCLTOs including: Trauma Quality of Life (TQoL) survey, SF-12 (Mental and Physical components), Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) screening, healthcare utilization, and return to work (RTW). These were routinely collected via telephone interviews at 6 or 12 months after injury at three US Level I Trauma Centers (TCs) for all patients with Injury Severity Score (ISS) ≥9. Mortality and PCLTOs were compared between TCs using multivariable regression models controlled for differences in ISS, age, sex, mechanism of injury, and length of stay.

Results: 665 trauma survivors were interviewed (67% of patients contacted): 352 at TC1, 124 at TC2, and 189 at TC3. During the study period, we found no significant difference in crude (TC1:6.6%, TC2: 5.9%, TC3: 6.7%) or risk-adjusted in-hospital mortality across TCs (p=0.84). However, we found major differences in PCLTOs between TCs (table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient-Centered Long-Term Trauma Outcomes</th>
<th>TC1</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>TC2</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>TC3</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma Related Return to ED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened Positive for PTSD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one Physical Limitation for Daily-Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not return to Previous Work/School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;My Physical Healing has Not Improved as I Expected&quot;**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I Have Pain on a Daily Basis&quot;**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-12 Physical Composite Score</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient (CI)</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>Coefficient (CI)</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>Coefficient (CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-12 Mental Composite Score</td>
<td>41.7 (12)</td>
<td>41.3 (12.1)</td>
<td>0.36 (-2.65-2.72)</td>
<td>41.4 (11.7)</td>
<td>-2.43 (-4.95-0.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: Comparing trauma centers on mortality alone did not demonstrate any difference in outcomes. However, there were demonstrable differences in PCLTOs between the centers. Use of PCLTOs provides a more in-depth assessment of trauma center quality and should be used to compare trauma center performance.
EARLIER TIME TO HEMOSTASIS IS ASSOCIATED WITH REDUCED MORTALITY AND ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY: RESULTS FROM THE PRAGMATIC RANDOMIZED OPTIMAL PLATELET AND PLASMA RATIO (PROPPR) TRIAL

Ronald Chang MD, Jeffrey D. Kerby* MD,Ph.D., Kyle J. Kalkwarf MD, Bryan A. Cotton* MD,MPH, Mitchell J. Cohen* MD, Thomas M. Scalea* MD, Martin A. Schreiber* MD, Erin E. Fox Ph.D., Charles E. Wade* Ph.D., John B. Holcomb* MD, PROPPR Study Group University of Texas Medical School-Houston

Introduction: Surgeons intuitively recognize that faster time to hemostasis is important in bleeding trauma patients, but these times are rarely reported.

Methods: Prospectively collected data from the PROPPR trial were analyzed. Hemostasis was predefined as no bleeding requiring intervention in the surgical field or resolution of contrast blush on interventional radiology. Patients who underwent an emergent (within 90 minutes) OR or IR procedure were dichotomized by early (EH+, within 2 hours) or no early hemostasis (EH-). Cox proportional hazards regression (controlling for age, ISS, number of blood products transfused, treatment arm [1:1:1 vs 1:1:2], site, and time to OR/IR) tested the hypothesis that EH+ was associated with reduced mortality in patients surviving ≥2 hours. Mixed-effects logistic regression with the above covariates and Bonferroni corrections was used to explore relationships between EH+ versus AKI, ARDS, MOF, sepsis, and VTE in patients surviving ≥24 hours.

Results: Of 680 enrolled patients, 450 (66%) underwent an emergent procedure, and 382 patients (85%) achieved hemostasis with a median time of 92 min (IQR 54-152 min). Incidence and time to hemostasis were not different between sites. EH+ (n=243, 54%) patients were less severely injured (median ISS 22 vs 29) than EH- (n=207, 46%) and had fewer transfusions at 2 hours (median 10 vs 19 units) and 24 hours (median 17 vs 42 units), but there were no differences in age, mechanism, treatment arm, or time to OR/IR. EH+ was independently associated with reduced risk of 30-day mortality (HR 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-0.7) in patients surviving ≥2 hours and reduced risk of AKI (OR 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-0.7) in patients surviving ≥24 hours.

Conclusion: Earlier time to hemostasis was associated with reduced 30-day mortality and AKI in bleeding trauma patients after adjustment for injury severity. Time to hemostasis should be considered as a potential endpoint in trauma studies and used as a quality indicator.
OPEN-SOURCE MASS SHOOTING DATABASES ARE INCONSISTENT AND SHOULD BE REPLACED WITH A NATIONAL FIREARM INJURY PREVENTION DATABASE

Michael L. Cheatham* MD, Marc S. Levy MD, Karen Safcsak RN, Orlando Health

Introduction: Since 1996, the Dickey Amendment has prohibited federally-funded research that might advocate for “gun control.” Several open-source public databases, widely used by the news media, have been created to record the incidence of mass shootings in the United States.

Methods: An Internet search identified five public open-source databases that track mass shootings. As the databases vary in the variables collected and time period covered, a uniform dataset of mass shootings occurring from January 2013 through June 2015 was abstracted from each database. Inclusion criteria, number of shooting incidents, fatalities, and victims (fatalities + injured) were collected. Data were compared using contingency table analysis.

Results: Most databases are maintained by public volunteers rather than experienced researchers. The definition of mass shooting incidents varies by whether fatalities and injuries or only fatalities are counted. Several databases cautioned that inconsistent staffing limits data collection and accuracy. The number of incidents, fatalities, and injuries differs significantly among the available databases (p<0.0001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Incidents</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Injured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother Jones</td>
<td>3+ fatalities</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford Mass Shootings</td>
<td>3+ victims</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everytown for Gun Safety</td>
<td>4+ fatalities</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun Violence Archive</td>
<td>4+ victims</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>2640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Shooting Tracker</td>
<td>4+ victims</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>3002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Public open-source databases are highly variable and provide an inconsistent assessment of the problem of mass shootings. These databases cannot be used to guide firearm injury prevention efforts. A comprehensive federally-funded national database is necessary to address the public health crisis of gun violence.
Admission Rehabilitation Complexity Assessment Predicts Rehabilitation Needs, Disability and Health Outcomes in Trauma Patients

Karen Hoffman Ph.D., Occupational Therapist, Anita West RN, Elaine Cole RN, Karim Brohi* MD, Professor Queen Mary, University Of London

**Background:** Injuries affect approximately 700 million people worldwide each year. Survivors are faced with long term complex rehabilitation requirements which ultimately affects long term outcome with huge economic costs. The ability to predict rehabilitation needs and outcomes early in the clinical course is valuable in terms of resource allocation and discharge planning. While injury severity scoring (ISS) is known to be a reasonably accurate predictor of mortality, it is unknown whether this translates to rehabilitation needs in survivors. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the admission rehabilitation complexity assessment on later requirements, disability levels and health outcomes, as compared to standard injury scoring in major trauma patients.

**Methods:** We performed a prospective cohort study of patients admitted to a major trauma centre for more than 72 hours over a 12-month period. Demographic data, in-hospital outcomes, rehabilitation needs, disability and health outcomes were collected. Rehabilitation complexity was measured using the Rehabilitation Complexity Scale Extended (RCS-E) and disability with the 20-point Barthel Index (BI) on admission and discharge. Health outcome was measured using the European Quality of Life Scale (EQ5D-3L) prior to transfer or discharge. For statistical analysis patients were grouped into four rehabilitation need categories depending on their rehabilitation complexity core. These were: ‘Low’ (1-6), ‘Moderate’ (7-9), ‘Heavy’ (10-13) and ‘Very Heavy’ (14-20) rehabilitation requirements as measured with the 20 point RCS-E.

**Results:** 457 patients were included from a possible 755 (61%). The majority were male (n=354; 78%) with a median age of 35 (IQR 24-50) years and median ISS of 16 (9-25). Two thirds of all patients had Heavy or Very Heavy rehabilitation needs (RCS-E: 10-20; n=288; 63%) and were very disabled (median BI: 6 (IQR 0-11) on admission. Rehabilitation needs as measured by the RCS-E on admission were strongly associated with hospital length of stay (p<0.001) and the need for rehabilitation post-discharge (p<0.001). Although there was a reasonable correlation of ISS with rehabilitation needs, 40% of patients with mild to moderate injuries (ISS ≤15) had ‘Heavy’ or ‘Very Heavy’ rehabilitation needs, while 24% of severely injured (ISS >15) patients had ‘Low’ or ‘Moderate’ rehabilitation needs. Quality of life scores reduced on discharge as rehabilitation complexity increased (p<0.02). From the five components included in the EQ5D-3L only one component, ‘pain and discomfort’ did not have a statistically significant correlation with an increase in rehabilitation needs.

**Conclusions:** The ability to easily measure and predict rehabilitation needs and outcomes could potentially lead to improved allocation of rehabilitation resources. It is useful for discharge planning and able to identify patients at risk of poor health outcomes. Early assessment of rehabilitation needs using the RCS-E better predicts the level of rehabilitation required and provides more relevant information than injury severity scoring alone.
OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT IN TRAUMA CARE: ERROR PATTERN ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON BETWEEN A RECENTLY VERIFIED AND A MATURE TRAUMA CENTERS.

Pedro G. Teixeira* MD, Saad L. Sahi MD, Jayson D. Aydelotte* MD, Thomas B. Coopwood* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Carlos V. Brown* MD, University Of Texas At Austin, Dell Medical School

Introduction: Demonstration of an established death review process with identification of opportunities for improvement is required by the American College of Surgeons for trauma center verification. The objective of this study was to review all deaths with opportunities for improvement occurring at a recently verified academic Level I trauma center and compare patterns of error to those previously described for a mature Level I trauma center.

Methods: All trauma deaths were reviewed at a peer-review committee comprised of trauma surgeons, trauma liaisons (Emergency Medicine, Orthopedics, Neurosurgery and Radiology), nurses and trauma program staff. All determination regarding appropriateness of care and identification of opportunities for improvement were made at the committee. Death reports were used to abstract demographics, vital signs, injury type, ISS, autopsy findings, preventability and areas of improvement opportunities. Patterns of error were then compared between the two trauma centers.

Results: Since its verification in July 2009 until October 2015, the newly verified Level I trauma center admitted 19,651 patients. Mechanism was blunt in 89%, 67% were male, 22% had an ISS>16 and 18% required ICU admission. The overall mortality was 3.7% (730) and opportunities for improvement were identified in 4.5% (33) of those deaths. Opportunities for improvement included treatment delay (45%), clinical judgment error (34%), protocol deviation (14%), missed diagnosis (7%) and technical error (3%). This error pattern was not significantly different from what had been demonstrated for the mature trauma center (Figure). Those errors resulted or contributed to death through bleeding (28%), progression of brain injury (24%), multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (21%), cardiorespiratory arrest (10%), ARDS (7%), tension pneumothorax (7%) and metabolic imbalances (3%). The deaths peaked at two time periods: 29% during the first 6 hours and 38% after 7 days.

Conclusion: Trauma care provided at a newly verified Level I trauma center resulted in low rate of preventable deaths and an error pattern comparable to a mature trauma center, with treatment delay and error in judgment being the leading causes of death with opportunity for improvement.
THE DENVER ED TRAUMA ORGAN FAILURE SCORE (D-TOF) IS A USEFUL TOOL FOR PREDICTING CLINICAL NEEDS OF LEVEL THREE TRAUMA PATIENTS

Alexis L. Lauria BA, Rolfy A. Perez Holguin BS, Alexandra M. Adams MPH, BA, Audrey S. Kulaylat MD, Justin D. Chandler MD, Ryan M. Staszak MD, Karima Fitzgerald MD, Scott B. Armen* MD, Steven R. Allen MD, Penn State Hershey Medical Center

Introduction: Trauma patients who do not appear severely injured or whose mechanism of injury (MOI) does not meet higher level criteria are often alerted at the lowest level (Level 3) and may subsequently be seen by the trauma team only as a consult with less concern for severe injury. This perception of injury severity without objective measures may be misleading and result in significant under-triage. Existing measures of injury severity such as the Injury Severity Score (ISS) are complex, and therefore not generated until later in a patient’s hospital course, limiting their utility in anticipating needs of the patient early in their admission.

The Denver Emergency Department Trauma Organ Failure (D-TOF) Score is a six-item instrument that has been validated as an accurate tool to predict multi-organ failure (MOF) in trauma patients. Given its ability to predict MOF, the score could also potentially identify signs of more severe injury that may not be appreciated by providers during initial evaluation. As an objective and quickly generated score, the D-TOF may be useful in predicting the need for trauma team involvement, hospital admission, and post-discharge facility placement. We aimed to compare the utility of the D-TOF across the spectrum of injury severity and hypothesized that D-TOF scores would correlate with the need for higher levels of care in both those patients who were alerted at the lowest (Level 3) and highest (Level 1) trauma activations.

Methods: Following IRB approval, the institutional trauma registry of a rural Level One trauma center was queried for all adult Level 1 and Level 3 trauma activations, from 01/01/10 to 12/31/15. Level 3 patients were matched to Level 1 patients by age, gender, and mechanism of injury. Information collected included demographics, ISS, length of stay, post-ED and post-discharge destination, and in-hospital mortality. Additional chart review was performed to collect D-TOF criteria (age, intubation status, systolic blood pressure, hematocrit, blood urea nitrogen, and white blood cell count on arrival to the ED), and scores were calculated for all patients based upon these criteria. Patients who did not have a match, with incomplete data sets, or who were dead upon arrival to the trauma bay and therefore missing labs, were excluded.

Results: A total of 400 (200 Level 1 and 200 Level 3) patients were included. Patients in the two groups were matched by age (median 46.5), gender (70% male) and mechanism of injury (88% blunt), with p=1.000 for all three match criteria. Median D-TOF score for Level 1 patients was 3 (IQR 1-4), while for Level 3 patients median score was 0 (IQR: 0-1). Higher D-TOF scores correlated with a higher ISS. For every 1-unit increase in the D-TOF score in both Level 1 and Level 3 patients, there was a significantly higher need for post-discharge facility admission (rehab, skilled nursing facility) and longer ICU and hospital lengths of stay (Table 1).

Conclusion: The D-TOF is quick and easy to calculate, and is based upon information that is readily available during the initial evaluation of a trauma patient in the ED. The D-TOF score correlates with increasing injury severity and may be beneficial to predicting medical needs in those patients whose injuries are not readily apparent. Subtle yet objective information as laid out by the D-TOF may help guide one’s decision to consult the trauma team and determine the need for hospital admission and subsequent medical care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary of results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-D/C facility admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged ICU LOS (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged Hospital LOS (days)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*results described are per 1-unit increase in D-TOF score
**DO IT AT YOUR OWN RISK: CANNABIS AND ALCOHOL ARE ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED INJURY SEVERITY**

Laura N. Godat MD, Melany Odeley MD, Linda Hill MD, MPH, Raul Coimbra*
MD, Ph.D., University of California, San Diego

**Introduction:** Driving under the influence of alcohol is known to increase the risk of crashes, with a four-time crash risk at the legal limit of .08%. Cannabis (THC) on the other hand does not have a clear, indisputable, connection with crashes or a correlation with injury severity. There is an urgent need to define the relationship between THC and injury in order to promote safety, and guide legislation. This study aims to investigate the impact of cannabis and alcohol use on injury severity following motor vehicle (MVC) and motorcycle crashes (MCC). We hypothesize that cannabis and alcohol use are predictors of higher injury severity when compared to sober patients.

**Methods:** A retrospective review of our Level 1 trauma center registry from January 2008 to December 2016 was performed. Study population included patients over 15 years of age involved in MVC or MCC who underwent alcohol and toxicology screening, and were either sober, THC+ or alcohol+ (ETOH). Patients positive for other drugs were excluded. Demographics, ISS, need for operative interventions, hospital and ICU length of stay (LOS) and mortality were studied. Patients were grouped as follows: sober, THC alone, ETOH alone and THC + ETOH. Univariate, bivariate and multivariate logistic regressions were performed to determine the association of THC and ETOH with injury severity.

**Results:** 2,276 patients met study criteria. The mean age was 37.7 years, 70.0% of the population were between 15 and 45 years, 64.8% were male, 20.0% were MCC and 80.0% MVC. ISS distribution among the population was 72.1% ISS 1 to 9, 10.5% ISS 10 to 15, 10.13% ISS 16 to 25 and only 7.3% had an ISS >25. Within the population 59.1% were sober (n=1,345), 9.3% THC alone (n=212), 25.7% ETOH alone (n=585), and 5.9% were in the THC + ETOH group (n=134).

The mean hospital LOS was 3.9 days (SD±8.5), and mean ICU LOS 5.2 days (SD±8.6). Overall in hospital mortality was 1.1%, with no significant difference between groups. On multivariate regression analysis, positivity for THC or ETOH was an associated risk factor for higher ISS and for the need of an operative intervention when compared to sober patients (Figure).

**Conclusion:** Cannabis and alcohol use are associated with significantly increased injury severity for patients involved in motor vehicle and motorcycle crashes. These findings support the need for enhanced injury prevention and education on the risk of operating a vehicle under the influence of cannabis or alcohol.
NON-POWDER FIREARM INJURIES IN THE PEDIATRIC POPULATION

Elizabeth P. Scherer MD, MPH, Michael C. Johnson MD, Susannah Nicholson MD, Brian Eatridge* MD, John Myers MD, Donald Jenkins* MD, Ronald Stewart* MD, Jenny Oliver RN, Rachelle Babbitt RN, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

Background: Non-powder firearms (e.g. BB and pellet) have significant tissue penetrating capabilities given their comparable velocities to many powder firearms. Children are at particular risk due to the relative ease of access as well as an under appreciation of the injury potential associated with these “toys”. Our objectives were to develop a descriptive analysis of non-powder firearm injuries and define their need for operative intervention.

Methods: Retrospective review of pediatric patients (0-17) sustaining non-powder firearm injuries evaluated at a pediatric trauma center between January 2006 and March 2016. Data elements included patient demographics, injury characteristics, hospital associated outcomes and operative interventions.

Results: 140 children were injured by non-powder firearms, of which 118 were male (84%) with a mean age of 10 years (1-16). The majority were Hispanic (64%), followed by non-Hispanic white (35%), and African American (1%). 125 (89%) were determined to be unintentional and 121 (86%) were transferred from a referring hospital. The average injury severity score (ISS) was 3 (1-35) with 11 (8%) having an ISS greater than 16. There were no deaths. Average hospital length of stay (LOS) was 2.4 days, and the average ICU LOS was 0.8 days. Injuries by body region included eye (63%), neck/chest (15%), head/face (12%), abdomen (5%), and extremities/others (5%) with 36% requiring operative intervention. Thoraco-abdominal injuries had a mean ISS of 7 with 50% requiring operation including 4 therapeutic laparotomies and 2 thoracotomies. 20% of children with eye injuries had decreased visual acuity and 11% suffered complete blindness.

Conclusion: The vast majority of non-powder firearm injuries are unintentional and occur in all body regions with a significant minority requiring operative intervention. To prevent non-powder firearm injuries, educational programs targeting firearm safety, parental supervision and responsible use are needed to guide parents and children. Additionally, we believe marketing of non-powder firearms should come with warnings regarding the risk of severe injury.
PEDIATRIC MAJOR VASCULAR INJURIES: A 16-YEAR INSTITUTIONAL EXPERIENCE FROM A COMBINED ADULT AND PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CENTER

Lindsey L. Perea DO, Joshua P. Hazelton DO, Nicole Fox MD,MPH, John Porter* MD, Anna Goldenberg DO, Cooper University Hospital

Introduction: Vascular injury in the pediatric trauma patient is an uncommon phenomenon, comprising less than 2% of injuries, but is associated with a reported mortality of greater than 19% in some series. The purpose of this study was to characterize pediatric vascular injuries and analyze mortality of major vascular injuries (MVI) at a high-volume combined adult and pediatric trauma center.

Methods: A retrospective review (January 2000 – May 2016) was conducted of all pediatric (<18y) trauma patients who presented with a vascular injury. A total of 177 patients were identified in the 16-year study period, with 60 (34%) having a major vascular injury (defined as injury in the neck, torso, or proximal extremity). Patients were excluded if they died prior to admission or had injuries distal to the elbow or knee. Patients were then further categorized based on location of injury, mechanism, and age. A p≤ 0.05 was deemed significant.

Results: Of the 60 patients with MVI, the mean age was 14.3 years (range 4-17y). Mean ICU length of stay (LOS) was 5.4 days and mean hospital LOS 12.5 days. Blunt mechanism was more common in patients less than 13 years old while penetrating trauma was more common amongst patients greater than 15 years. Overall, blunt injuries had a longer ICU LOS compared with penetrating trauma (7.8d vs 3.1d; p=0.016).

A total of 33% (n=20) of MVI occurred in the torso with 50% (n=10) of these due to blunt trauma. The location of injury did correlate with mortality, with 45% (n=9) of torso MVI resulting in death (penetrating n=7; blunt n=2). The overall mortality from a MVI was 15.3% (n=9) with all of these being torso MVI. Higher Injury Severity Score (ISS) and Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) were also found to be independently associated with mortality.

Conclusion: Our experience demonstrates that major vascular injuries are associated with a significant mortality (15.3%), more than nine-fold greater than the overall mortality (1.6%) of pediatric trauma patients at our institution. Our overall major vascular injury mortality is consistent with previously published series, as is our demonstrated mortality of torso MVI. Further research should be aimed at improving management strategies specific for major vascular injury in the torso in the pediatric population.
RETROSPECTIVE EVALUATION OF A PROTOCOL FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF PEDIATRIC BLUNT RENAL TRAUMA

Clement D. Marshall MD, Joshua D. Jaramillo MD, Jeong S. Hyun MD, David A. Spain* MD, Stephanie D. Chao MD, Stanford University

Introduction: Injuries to the kidney are commonly seen following blunt abdominal trauma. Renal injuries may result in significant hemorrhage and extravasation of urine in the acute setting. Long term consequences may include renal insufficiency secondary to ureteral stenosis and arterial thrombosis. Children are more vulnerable to traumatic renal injuries due to a relative absence of perirenal fat and a soft thoracic cage. There are currently no standardized guidelines for the management of blunt renal injuries in pediatric trauma patients. We developed guidelines based on available literature and sought to validate them using retrospective data from two trauma centers.

Methods: After a literature review was performed, we developed guidelines for the management of pediatric blunt renal trauma based on available evidence. All cases of blunt traumatic pediatric renal injury from 2008-2015 at two local trauma centers were reviewed. Data collected included demographic information, grade of kidney injury, total hospital and ICU length of stay, need for blood transfusion, diagnostic imaging, and operative procedures. The proposed guidelines were then applied retrospectively to these cases.

Results: Evidence-based management guidelines were developed that specify initial imaging and level of care, criteria for hospital discharge, and activity restriction recommendations. In our retrospective review, a total of 50 cases were identified. 76% of patients were male and 24% were female. The median age was 13 years (range, 4-17). Motor vehicle collision (24%) and sports injuries (22%) were the most common causes of injury. Grade III renal lacerations were most common (34%) followed by grade IV (24%), grade II (22%), grade I (14%), and grade V (6%). 58% of patients had coexisting abdominal solid organ injuries and 12% had coexisting neurological injury. In 34% of patients, the kidney was the only site of injury. Among patients in whom the kidney injury was their sole injury, application of our protocol would have allowed earlier discharge in most cases. By grade, the average number of hospital days exceeding our guideline recommendations were 0 extra days for grade I, 1.3 extra days for grade II, 1.8 extra days for grade III, and 4.5 extra days for grade IV. This information could not be assessed for grade V injuries since all of these patients had other, severe injuries. Based on retrospective assessment, no major adverse events would have been missed under our guidelines. Interestingly, use of the guidelines would have required an ICU stay in 4 patients with grade III injury (66% of grade III patients, 8% of total) who were managed successfully on a med-surg unit.

Conclusion: Due to a lack of standardized care protocols for the workup and management of traumatic pediatric blunt renal injuries, we developed management guidelines. Retrospective review of how our guidelines would have fared in the management of 50 pediatric blunt trauma patients from two trauma centers suggests that our guidelines would not have resulted in adverse events or missed interventions. Furthermore, the protocol would have reduced hospital length of stay, but may have increased the total number of ICU days. In conclusion, a standardized guideline for the management of traumatic renal injuries in pediatric patients may expedite time to discharge without compromising care. The next step is to validate our guidelines in a prospective manner.
ESTIMATES OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY RADIATION RISKS IN PEDIATRIC BLUNT TRAUMA: LIBERAL SCANNING IS TO BE AVOIDED

Aaron Strumwasser MD, M.Sc., Elizabeth Benjamin* MD,Ph.D., Daniel Grabo* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Kazuhide Matsushima MD, Damon Clark MD, Lydia Lam* MD, Demetrios Demetriades* MD,Ph.D., LAC+USC Medical Center

Introduction: Computed tomography (CT) imaging for blunt pediatric trauma balances potential radiation risk exposure with the probability of a missed injury. The aims of this study were to assess the diagnostic yield and radiation risk of computed tomography (CT) stratified by injury severity.

Methods: A 6-year (2010-2015) retrospective review of all blunt pediatric trauma patients (age<18) admitted to our Level I trauma center was performed. Pediatric patients stable enough to undergo CT imaging were included for analysis. Patients suffering traumatic arrest, prisoners, and pregnant patients were excluded. Data abstracted from the registry included age, sex, injury severity score (ISS), CT findings, radiation data (mSv), surgical interventions, outcomes and follow-up. Radiation exposure was tabulated from the dose-length-product adjusted for patient age, gender and body region. Cancer risks (CA) were estimated via the National Cancer Institute RadRat radiation risk calculator.

Results: A total of 1,161 patients were included for study. A total of 944 patients underwent screening CT (81%, mean=1.6 studies), 23 patients underwent delayed CT after triage (1.9%) and 323 patients received additional scans (28%). Overall mortality of the cohort was 1.03%. Follow-up was obtainable in 1,094/1,161 patients (94.2%). Injuries stratified by CT body region, injury severity and radiation risk are shown in Table 1.

A total of 83 patients (7%) with a total of 89 injuries were missed by screening CT. The injuries were in the chest (45%-contusions/pneumohemothorax), abdominopelvic (24%-low grade solid organ injury), maxillofacial (21%-nasal fractures and dentition injury), intracranial (9%-expansion of original intracranial hemorrhage) and neck (1%-ligamentous injury) regions. No interventions were necessary in the chest or maxillofacial region, and all surgical interventions in the abdominopelvic region (7 total interventions) were successfully triaged by clinical examination, not requiring CT. Two mortalities in the missed injury group occurred, both due to devastating TBI and had repeat CT examinations showing bleed progression. Mean follow-up among survivors was 412 days (no mortalities reported).

Conclusion: Although the additional risk of cancer is low, the likelihood of a single CT scan leading to a surgical intervention is far lower. Moreover, missed injuries by screening CT are largely non-surgical, suggesting that a selective approach to CT imaging may be appropriate for pediatric blunt trauma.
HOME IS NOT A SAFE HAVEN: CHILD FATALITIES FROM DOMESTIC MASS SHOOTINGS ARE INCREASING

Marc S. Levy MD, Karen Safcsak RN, Michael L. Cheatham* MD, Orlando Health

Introduction: Mass shooting events in public places are commonly sensationalized in the media. The incidence of domestic mass shootings, where children are most at risk, is underappreciated. We sought to identify the rate of children affected by domestic mass shootings.

Methods: Domestic (in the home) mass shooting data were compiled from the Everytown Research and Mother Jones open-source online databases. Mass shooting was defined as four or more firearm-related deaths not including the shooter. School, public, and drug-related shootings were excluded. Data on children (≤ 18 years) killed in mass shootings were stratified into two groups: EARLY (2009-2011) and LATE (2012-2014). Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation or percentage. EARLY and LATE groups were compared using Mann-Whitney U-test and Chi-square analysis.

Results: From 2009 through 2014, 119 child fatalities occurred in 48 domestic mass shootings in the United States. The child fatality rate per shooting was 2 ± 1 in the EARLY group and 3 ± 1 in the LATE group (p=0.31). The number of domestic mass shootings involving children decreased from 29 in the EARLY group to 19 in the LATE group. Compared to total fatalities for each incident, however, the number of children killed significantly increased between the two time periods (EARLY 38% vs. LATE 53%; p=0.016).

Conclusion: The child fatality rate from domestic mass shootings is significantly increasing. Greater attention to risk factors leading to gun violence in the home is warranted. A comprehensive federally-funded firearm injury prevention database is needed to guide injury prevention measures in the home.
ROAD MAPPING THE USE OF CT SCAN IN PEDIATRIC LIVER INJURY: PHYSICAL EXAM AND SERUM TRANSAMINASES CAN SERVE AS A GUIDE

Muhammad Khan MD, Faisal Jehan MD, Andrew Tang* MD, Fahad Ahmed MD, Terence O’Keeffe* MD, Narong Kulvatunyou* MD, Arpana Jain MD, Gary Vercruysse* MD, Lynn Gries MD, Bellal Joseph* MD, University of Arizona - Tucson

Introduction: CT scan is considered imaging modality of choice for diagnosing hepatic injuries after blunt abdominal trauma. However, in pediatric patients risk of radiation associated damage precludes its widespread usage. There is a paucity of recommendations in the current pediatric guidelines regarding the use of CT scan for the diagnosis of hepatic injury following blunt abdominal trauma. The aim of our study was to determine if physical examination and serum transaminases would allow ruling out major liver injury following blunt abdominal trauma.

Methods: A 4-year (2008-11) retrospective analysis of all pediatric patients (<18 years of age) with blunt abdominal injury presenting at our level 1 trauma center was performed. Data on liver enzymes including aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and physical exam (PE) findings were collected. PE was considered suggestive of hepatic injury if there was tenderness in right upper quadrant or lower chest wall, contusion or hematoma in the right upper quadrant, or instability in the right lower chest due to rib fracture was detected. Definitive diagnosis and staging of liver injury was based on abdominal CT findings. Sensitivity and specificity of ALT, AST, and PE to detect minor HI (Grade I and II) major HI (grade III, IV & V) were calculated alone and in combination with each other.

Results: A total of 188 pediatric patients with blunt abdominal injury were enrolled with mean (SD) age of 13.4 (4.8) and median [IQR] ISS of 17 [9-27]. 78 patients had hepatic injuries of which 41 patients had minor HI and 37 had major HI. Using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve assessment, optimum ALT and AST thresholds were determined as >90 U/L and 120 U/L respectively. PE alone was 40% sensitive and 77% specific. Combining PE with AST or ALT had 95% sensitivity, 63% specificity, 48% PPV and 97% NPV (Table 1).

Conclusion: In hemodynamically stable pediatric blunt abdominal trauma patients, CT scan can be reserved for a select group of patients. Pediatric patients with positive physical examination and elevated serum AST or ALT may require CT scan to further evaluate liver injury, while in the absence of these findings, CT scan and thus unnecessary radiation can be avoided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE+ALT/AST</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT=Alanine Aminotransferase, AST= Aspartate Aminotransferase, PE= Physical Exam
PEDIATRIC VASCULAR TRAUMA: CURRENT MANAGEMENT AND EARLY OUTCOMES

Melissa N. Loja MD, MAS, Joseph Dubose* MD, Jacob Stephenson* MD, Boris Kessel* MD, Tiffany Bee* MD, Timothy Fabian* MD, Jay Menaker* MD, Thomas Scalea* MD, John B. Holcomb* MD, David Skarupa* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Richard Catalano MD, Nathaniel Poulin* MD, John K. Bini* MD, Todd E. Rasmussen* MD, University of California, Davis

Introduction: The hospital course and early outcome of vascular injuries in the pediatric population is not well known due to a paucity of literature, and infrequent occurrence. We sought to describe pediatric vascular injuries including hospital treatment strategies and discharge outcomes using a multicenter, prospectively collected database.

Methods: We included patients 16 years or younger from patient data collected from the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma PROspective Vascular Injury Treatment (PROOVIT) registry. This registry contains demographic, diagnostic, treatment, and in-hospital outcome data for patients with vascular injuries.

Results: Between February 2013 and December 2016, 2,673 patients were enrolled into the PROOVIT registry. 83 of these patients were aged 16 years or younger (3% incidence). The majority were male (80%) with a mean age of 13.5 years (range 3-19). 60% (50/84) were injured by penetrating mechanism including 25 gunshot wounds and 7 stab wounds. 36% were injured by a blunt mechanism. Hard signs of vascular injury were present in 41 patients. 61% (51/83) of patients were taken to the operating room immediately. CT scans were performed for diagnosis in 24% (20/83) of patients, most frequently for lower extremity injuries (7/20). The median ISS was 10 (25th percentile 5 – 75th percentile 18). 72% (60/83) of the injuries were to an extremity, 11% to the neck (9/83), and 17% to the abdomen or chest (14/83). Of the extremity injuries, 20% patients (12/60) had a pre-hospital tourniquet placed. 65% of extremity injuries were treated with open repair (39/60). Neck trauma was most commonly treated with observation in 5/9 patients. Abdomen or chest trauma was treated most frequently with open operations (6/14), followed by endovascular intervention (4/14). Overall mortality was 6.4% (5/83).

Conclusions: Pediatric vascular injuries are most frequently penetrating injuries to the extremities, commonly treated with open interventions. The use of endovascular techniques is rare for vascular trauma in this population. Mortality from vascular injuries in the modern era is rare.
Influence of Concealed Carry Legislation and Socioeconomic Status on Pediatric Firearm Injuries

Lorraine I. Kelley-Quon MD, MSHS, Kelsey Pringle BS, Junxin Shi Ph.D., Krista K. Wheeler MS, Henry Xiang MD,MPH,Ph.D., Jonathan I. Groner* MD, Nationwide Children's Hospital

Introduction: Pediatric firearm injury remains an important concern for morbidity and mortality in U.S. children. It was unclear to what degree local firearm legislation and socioeconomic status affected regional pediatric firearm injury patterns.

Methods: All children <15 years old treated for a firearm injury from 2001-2015 were identified from the trauma registry of a Level 1 pediatric trauma center that serves a population of more than 770,000 children. The hospital is part of statewide and regional trauma systems and has nearly 100% capture of this age group. The annual number of new and renewed concealed carry firearm licenses granted in the local county served by the children’s hospital was extracted from public records provided by the Ohio Attorney General’s Office. Median per capita income data were extracted from the 2010 United States Census Database and merged with the trauma registry based on home zip code. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate injury severity score (ISS) and demographic factors associated with surgical intervention.

Results: Overall, 177 children were evaluated after firearm injury. The cohort was mostly male (79.7%), Black (58.8%), ages 10-14 years (73%) and injured at home (44.1%). The annual frequency of firearm injuries varied, ranging from three in 2001 to 21 in 2005. Concurrently, the total number of concealed carry permits in Franklin County increased over 10-fold from 725 in 2004 to 7824 in 2015. There was no correlation between increasing number of concealed carry permits and annual pediatric firearm injury (r = -0.27, p=0.39). Overall mortality was low (n=9, 5%), but 89% (n=8, p=0.092) of the children who died were from areas with a median income of < $40,000. The rate of pediatric firearm injuries per 10,000 was 7.1 times higher for children from the lowest income group (<$30,000) compared to children living in the highest income group (>=$70,000), (4.45/10,000 vs. 0.86/10,000, p < 0.001). While the cohort included 62 total zip codes, 51% of the cohort was from only 9 zip codes. Injured children less than 5 years old exhibited a significantly higher rate of severe injuries compared to older children ages 10-14 years (34% vs. 14%, p=0.01). The need for operative intervention was not affected by demographic factors but was associated with severe injury (ISS >15, AOR 3.35, 95% CI: 1.07-10.47).

Conclusion: The incidence of pediatric firearm injury did not correlate with the local prevalence of concealed carry permits. Instead, pediatric firearm injury appears to be a disease of poverty, isolated to specific geographic regions, disproportionately affecting young black boys. In order to prevent pediatric firearm injury, children living in geographic and socioeconomic areas at the highest risk should be identified and targeted for meaningful interventions and policy level provisions. All images, charts and tables must be placed and uploaded in the body of your abstract exactly as you want them (please remove this line when creating your abstract).
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PEDIATRIC MORTALITY AND PREVENTABLE DEATH AT A MATURE TRAUMA CENTER
Nicole Fox MD,MPH, Anna Goldenberg-Sandau DO, Stacey Staman RN, John Porter* MD, Cooper University Hospital

Introduction: Overall mortality rates for children treated at trauma centers are low and may not be the best outcome measure to judge trauma center quality. For performance improvement purposes, however, it is critical to review pediatric mortalities and identify preventable/potentially preventable death (PD). We sought to characterize mortality in pediatric trauma patients at our center and identify causes of PD.

Methods: A retrospective review of pediatric (<14) trauma deaths (2006-2016) at our American College of Surgeons verified level 1 adult/level 2 pediatric trauma center was conducted. Patients that died from burns, drowning or hanging were excluded. Demographics, clinical characteristics, and autopsy data were collected. Injury severity (ISS) and trauma & injury severity (TRISS) scores were calculated for each patient. A multi-disciplinary panel reviewed all mortalities and rendered decisions regarding preventability and causes of PD.

Results: 3,065 patients were admitted over the study period and 48 died (mortality rate =1.6%). Overall, patients that died were primarily male (73%) with severe injuries (ISS 32 ± 19) caused by a blunt (85%) mechanism. Sixteen deaths (33%) were the result of non-accidental trauma (NAT). After calculating TRISS, 10 patients had a ≥50% probability of survival. 30% of patients with a TRISS ≥50% were determined to be PD’s for an overall preventable death rate (PDR) of 6%. Failure to control hemorrhage (67%) and failure to secure an airway (33%) were the causes of PD. 38 patients had a <50% probability of survival and there were no PD’s identified in this group (Table 1).

Conclusion: We identified a PDR of 6% at our institution and found that a TRISS ≥50% correlates significantly with PD. The causes of PD in the pediatric population were failure to control hemorrhage and failure to secure an airway.

Table 1. Characteristics of Pediatric Mortality by TRISS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TRISS &lt;50% (n=38)</th>
<th>TRISS ≥50% (n=10)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>5±5</td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism (blunt)</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury severity score</td>
<td>35±20</td>
<td>21±6</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accidental trauma</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(yes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially Preventable death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IS ROUTINE REPEAT HEAD IMAGING NECESSARY IN PEDIATRIC TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURIES?

Casey T. Walk BS, Malvika Sharma BS, Molly Osterhage BS, Dasha Hardisky Joshua Pringle MD, David Meagher MD, Arturo Aranda MD, Sean Barnett MD, Jeffrey Christian MD, Jeffrey Pence MD, Dayton Children's Hospital

Introduction: Unintentional injuries are the leading cause of death in all pediatric age groups, with a majority of trauma deaths coming from traumatic brain injuries (TBIs). TBIs are graded as mild, moderate, or severe, yet all are managed similarly in regards to diagnostic imaging. Standard imaging protocol is an initial head image followed by routine repeat head imaging within 24-48 hours. Imaging does come with risks in the pediatric population, such as ionizing radiation, cost, and effects of anesthesia. For these reasons, the use of imaging must be proven to be of greater benefit than risk in any given situation, even TBIs. Studies have indicated that both adult and pediatric populations with either mild or moderate TBIs do not receive a change in medical management with routine repeat head imaging. This study is aimed to evaluate the need for routine repeat head imaging for TBIs in the pediatric population.

Methods: Two separate comparison groups were evaluated to determine if routine repeat head imaging led to neurosurgical intervention; mild or moderate TBI (initial GCS 9-15) vs. severe TBI (initial GCS 3-8) and patients with a decrease in GCS at 24 hours vs. patients with no change or an increase in GCS at 24 hours.

Results: 441 total patients were involved in the study, 241 patients (54.6%) received routine repeat head imaging. Mild/moderate TBI patients received less change in medical management compared to severe TBI patients based on initial GCS after routine repeat head imaging (p = 0.013). A novel approach using serial GCS was utilized to compare a decrease vs. no change or increase in GCS during the initial 24 hours in patients receiving routine repeat head imaging in regards to changes in medical management and found no difference (p = 0.130).

Conclusion: This study concluded that patients with severe TBIs on presentation should be followed with routine repeat head imaging as it does lead to changes in medical management, while patients with mild or moderate TBIs could be followed clinically since routine repeat head imaging does not lead to a change in treatment. Changes in GCS during the initial 24 hours should not impact the use of routine repeat head imaging in all TBI patients.
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OBESITY FACILITATES DISTINCT GENOMIC CHANGES AND IMMUNE DYSREGULATION IN SEVERE TRAUMATIC INJURY

Katherine He BS, Lyle L. Moldawer Ph.D., Henry V. Baker Ph.D., Cecilia Lopez MS, Saman Arbabi* MD, Grant O'Keefe* MD, Ronald Maier* MD, Joseph Cuschieri* MD, Matthew J. Delano MD,Ph.D., University of Michigan

Introduction: Obesity is associated with increased infectious and noninfectious complications following traumatic injury. Ongoing innate and adaptive immune suppression and immune cell dysregulation are postulated to drive hospital-acquired complications. We hypothesize that increasing body mass index (BMI) alters immune cell genomic expression profiles, promotes ongoing immune dysregulation, and escalates complication rates following severe traumatic injury.

Methods: We reviewed data from the Inflammation and the Host Response to Injury™ trauma database. Inclusion criteria included blunt trauma patients >18 years of age. Patients were classified according to World Health Organization BMI categories. Blood samples were obtained at 12 hours of admission and 6 additional standardized time points over 28 days. Affymetrix Glue Grant Human Transcriptome (GG-H) Arrays™ were used to complete the genomic analysis. Microarray expression was normalized using Robust Multi-array Average™ software. A paired t-test was used to compare probe set changes and time points. BRB Array Tools™ was used to perform pseudo-time ANOVA to compare genomic expression across BMI groups with time using a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 0.001%.

Results: 222 patients were included in the analysis. Unsupervised analysis revealed over 14,000 probe sets significantly expressed for each leukocyte class (neutrophils, T-cells, and monocytes) compared with controls. Supervised analysis of neutrophil, T-cell, and monocyte genomic expression data 12 hours after injury revealed no significant differences between BMI classes. However, overweight and obese patients showed significantly greater genomic distance from the mean compared with normal weight patients at 24 hours after traumatic injury. Significant gene expression differences in overweight and obese groups persisted through 28 days compared with the normal weight group. Time series analysis identified 454 neutrophil, 266 T-cell, and 237 monocyte probe sets significant at FDR <0.001 over 28 days. An evaluation of genes associated with immune dysregulation revealed that the most overexpressed monocyte genes included IL1R2 and FCGR1A, the most under expressed neutrophil genes included IL8 and CCL4L1/CCL4L2, and the most overexpressed T-cell genes included S100A12 and PPBP.

Conclusion: Specific genomic alterations in blood lymphocytes, monocytes, and neutrophils are predictive of BMI class and immune dysregulation following severe traumatic injury. These expression differences will be helpful to determine the risk for developing complications and infections following traumatic injury. There are several adaptive and innate immune genes identified that can serve as potential targets for therapeutic intervention to reduce complications following blunt trauma.
INTRODUCTION: Uncontrolled truncal hemorrhage remains a major challenge for military medical personnel. Recent success with REBOA has generated interest in endovascular approaches to hemorrhage control, but it may not be feasible in far-forward military scenarios. The AAJT is FDA cleared as a junctional hemorrhage control device that may be placed mid abdomen. The present study investigated hemodynamics and survival of swine subjected to uncontrolled arterial hemorrhage and treated by REBOA or AAJT application.

METHODS: Anesthetized female swine (50.5 kg) were subjected to a 20 ml/kg controlled hemorrhage, a femur fracture and then to an iliac artery injury (uncontrolled hemorrhage) to achieve a total 40% blood loss. Arterial hemorrhage was controlled by application of REBOA at zone III or AAJT application at the umbilicus for 60 min (n=10/gp). The iliac artery was repaired and pigs were resuscitated at 15 ml/kg with autologous blood (WB) beginning 5 min before release of the clamp/balloon. A femur fracture only group (n=5) was included as a control. All animals were monitored for 6 hr after arterial injury or until death.

RESULTS: Mean survival time was similar among groups (360 min in controls, 352.7 min in the REBOA group and 334.0 min in the AAJT group). Only two deaths occurred at 287 min and 100 min in the REBOA and AAJT groups, respectively. Both treatments resulted in a rapid rise in MAP from 48 mmHg to 70 mmHg that began to fall during the course of treatments. Low volume resuscitation with WB improved MAP to about 65 mmHg. Heart rate rose in response to both treatments, and was higher than controls at 360 min. Cardiac output was reduced equally (~56 %) in both treatment groups, but was still lower than controls after resuscitation. No significant differences were found in hemodynamics or survival time between swine treated by REBOA or AAJT for 1 hr.

CONCLUSION: These data suggest that if an appropriate junctional or pelvic injury is identified, the medic operating in prehospital environments may be able to control hemorrhage with AAJT as effectively as using REBOA. Further work is underway to evaluate limitations and safety of these techniques and their inflammatory responses.
ADIPONECTIN ISOFORMS ARE DIFFERENTIALLY ALTERED IN TRAUMA PATIENTS

Tahar Hajri Ph.D., Jyoti Sharma MD, Saraswati Dayal MD, Javier M. Perez MD, Peter Stewart MD, Setu Dalal MD, Kelly Rippey MD, Sanjeev Kaul* MD, Hackensack University Medical Center

Introduction: Adiponectin is a hormone secreted predominantly by adipose tissue that regulates inflammation and insulin sensitivity. In blood, adiponectin circulates in three oligomeric isoforms; namely, high-, middle-, and low-molecular weight (HMW, MMW, and LMW, respectively). Oligomerization of adiponectin is critical for binding to specific receptors, and hence determine biological activity in target cells. The impact of trauma on adiponectin oligomerization is still unknown.

Methods: All blunt trauma patients admitted to the intensive care or step-down unit were prospectively screened for enrollment starting in 2015. Twenty-two patients were consented for blood draws within 48 hours of admission. Total adiponectin along with HMW, MMW and LMW isoforms were measured in plasma by enzyme-linked immunosorbent (Elisa) assay. Simultaneously, measurements of glucose, insulin and inflammation marker Interleukin-6 (IL-6) were performed. The control group was comprised of 16 “healthy” subjects with similar body mass index and gender distribution.

Results: Among trauma patients (injury severity score, ISS=17 ± 2), only two had a prior diagnosis of diabetes, but all patients exhibited insulin resistance with an elevated insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR = 5.2 ± 0.7 vs 1.3 ± 0.3 in trauma patients and controls, respectively). In addition, plasma concentrations of IL-6 were significantly (p< 0.01) higher than controls. Plasma total adiponectin level was markedly reduced in trauma patients compared to controls (3.8 ± 0.9 vs. 8.2 ± 2.0 μg/ml; p < 0.01). Among the three isoforms, reduction of HMW and MMW isoforms was the most important (-73 and -52%, respectively), whereas reduction of LMW was less severe (-21%). Neither total adiponectin nor adiponectin isoforms were associated with ISS, but both HMW and MMW isoforms were inversely associated with plasma IL-6 and HOMA-IR. By contrast, changes of blood LMW were neither associated with IL-6 nor with HOMA-IR.

Conclusions: Trauma is associated with strong reduction of plasma concentrations of total and HMW adiponectin, and marked alterations of adiponectin isoform distribution. These alterations are linked to inflammatory response and insulin resistance of the early phase of trauma. Further studies are warranted to establish whether adiponectin could be a potential therapeutic target in blunt trauma.
THE PHYSIOLOGICALLY ACTIVATED PLATELET: A HINDERANCE TO PLATELET IMPEDENCE AGGREGOMETRY?

Lucy Z. Kornblith MD, Anamaria J. Robles MD, Amanda S. Conroy BS, Byron Y. Miyazawa BS, Rachael A. Callcut* MD, MSPH, Mitchell J. Cohen* MD, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, University Of California San Francisco

Introduction: Historically, platelets were underappreciated in post-injury coagulopathy, but are now implicated as crucial mediators. Post-injury platelet dysfunction is common (even with normal counts) and associated with worse outcomes. Mechanisms of this dysfunction remain uncertain. Evaluating platelet function in real time remains technically complex and relies on agonist impedance aggregometry focused on the principle that platelets are non-thrombogenic in their resting state and aggregate when stimulated. Concern exists that post-injury platelets undergo endogenous activation making them thrombogenic before agonist addition, diminishing responses to agonist aggregometry and underrepresenting platelet function. We sought to determine differences in the baseline impedance of post-injury and healthy platelets and hypothesized that post-injury platelets are endogenously activated and have higher baseline impedance.

Methods: Blood collected from 239 trauma patients with normal platelet counts (150-450x10^9/L) and 12 healthy donors at a single Level 1 Trauma Center was assessed using multiple electrode aggregometry. Agonist responses of the tissue injury pathway of platelet activation including collagen (COL) receptor and thrombin receptor-activating peptide 6 [TRAP] were measured as area under the aggregation curve in units (U), aggregation (AU), velocity (AU/min), and baseline/endpoint impedance (Ω).

Results: The 239 patients had a median ISS score of 9 and an 8% in-hospital mortality. Median platelet count was 263x10^9/L (IQR 222-308), but 36% demonstrated dysfunction (U below manufacturer cutoff). Agonist activated median platelet function was lower compared to the controls (COL 98 vs. 70, p=0.07, TRAP 97 vs. 114, p=0.06). However, the median pre-agonist baseline electrical impedances were higher in the injured compared to controls (COL 137 vs. 135, p=0.07; TRAP 1393 vs. 1353, p=0.06). Despite this, there was no difference in end impedances to compensate for the difference in baseline. In fact, the median delta between end and baseline impedances for COL/TRAP was higher in the controls (150 vs. 119, p=0.05; 239 vs. 189, p=0.01). Injured patients had lower aggregation (curve height) compared to the controls for COL/TRAP (119 vs. 150, p=0.05; 146 vs. 179, p=0.02) and no difference in velocity (max slope of curve).

Conclusion: Given the central importance of platelets following injury, elucidation of platelet deficits is critical for prognosis and therapeutic targets. Endogenous activation of platelets following injury increases baseline impedance by agonist aggregometry and may partially account for lower overall measures of platelet function post-injury. Curve characteristics not reliant on baseline impedance, including aggregation/velocity, may be better measures of dysfunction. Given the fundamental importance of platelet function for guiding resuscitation and affecting outcomes, a more comprehensive assessment of biologic platelet function post-injury is an essential focus of investigation.
INTRODUCTION, SAFETY AND STABILITY OF ALTERNATIVE DEVICES FOR NEEDLE DECOMPRESSION OF TENSION PNEUMOTHORAX DURING SIMULATED CASUALTY MOVEMENT

Jenny M. Held MD, Matthew Leatherman DO, Christian McEvoy MD, MPH, Matthew Martin* MD, Daniel Grabo* MD, Kenji Inaba* MD, Robert L. Ricca MD, Travis Polk* MD, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth

Introduction: Despite a high failure rate, immediate decompression with a 14 gauge angiocatheter (14G AC) in the 2nd intercostal space midclavicular line (2MCL) remains the current standard for treatment of tension pneumothorax (tPTX). Alternative devices, including 10G angiocatheter (10G AC), modified Veress needle (mVN) and laparoscopic trocar (LT), have shown promise in animal studies, but human data is limited. Previously, we have reported interim results of increased dislodgment at the 2MCL compared to the alternative 5th intercostal anterior axillary line (5AAL) during simulated casualty movement.

Methods: Twelve soft-embalmed cadavers were intubated and mechanically ventilated. Chest wall thickness (CWT) was measured. CO2 insufflation was used to simulate a tPTX and needle decompression was then performed with a randomized device (14G AC, 10G AC, mVN, 3mm LT). Insertional depth was measured between hub and skin before and after a simulated casualty litter transport with log-rolling. Thoracoscopy was used to evaluate for intrapleural placement and/or injury during insertion and after movement. Cadaver demographics, device movement and dislodgment (out of pleural space) and injuries were recorded. Three decompressions were performed at each site (2MCL/5AAL), totaling 12 events per cadaver.

Results: 144 decompressions were performed. Average cadaver age was 55 years and BMI was 25 kg/m2. The 2MCL had a higher overall rate of dislodgement than the 5AAL (61% vs 28%, p<0.001). The 14G AC had a significantly higher rate of dislodgement than any of the other three devices at the 2MCL, while dislodgement at the 5AAL was similar amongst all devices (Fig. 1). 7 total minor lung punctures of unlikely clinical importance were noted (6 at 5AAL; 1 at 2MCL). 2 diaphragmatic injuries were noted at the 5AAL in a cadaver with an elevated right hemidiaphragm.

Conclusion: The 5AAL is safe and significantly more stable than the 2MCL during simulated casualty movement and should be considered as the primary decompression site for tPTX. Additionally, device rigidity appears to play an important role in device stability, particularly in the less stable anterior location. The highly flexible 14G AC has an exceedingly high dislodgement rate at the 2MCL which further supports existing data regarding the need for a new alternative device.
ELETTRICAL VAGUS NERVE STIMULATION IMPROVES THE INTESTINAL BLOOD FLOW AFTER TRAUMA/HEMORRHAGIC SHOCK.

Masayuki Yagi MD, Koji Morishita MD,Ph.D., Keisuke Taguchi BS, Hiroya Akabori MD,Ph.D., Akinori Ueno Ph.D., Junichi Aiboshi MD,Ph.D., Yasuhiro Otomo* MD,Ph.D., Tokyo Medical and Dental University

Introduction: Electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve (VNS) prevents gut damage in animal models of trauma/hemorrhagic shock (T/HS) by altering the gut inflammatory response to acute injury, independent of the spleen. However, its direct effect to the intestinal blood flow (IBF) is unknown. The aims of this study were: 1) to determine whether VNS causes a significant systemic hemodynamic effect; and 2) to determine whether VNS increases the IBF after T/HS.

Methods: Male Sprague Dawley rats were randomly assigned to undergo T/HS, T/HS+VNS, or T/HS+Vagotomy (Vx)+VNS. The rats underwent cannulation of the femoral artery and jugular vein to the T/HS (mean arterial pressure 25 mmHg for 30min). Following T/HS, cervical VNS was performed (5V, 2Hz, 10min) without fluid resuscitation. A chart of animals was subjected to abdominal Vx to disrupt the neuroenteric axis. The blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were recorded, and the IBF was simultaneously measured by laser Doppler flowmetry.

Results: The BP and HR were decreased for several seconds immediately after VNS. The BP then rapidly increased from 25.2 mmHg to 52.1 (34.2-62.8 mmHg) and the HR showed a slight increase. VNS caused an approximately 3.2-fold increase in the IBF in comparison to the shock phase (p<0.05). However, abdominal Vx eliminated these effects of VNS (p<0.05) (See Fig).

Conclusion: We found that VNS promptly improved the T/HS-induced IBF impairment, suggesting that VNS may have an impact on acute gut injury after T/HS.
VARIATION IN PATIENT PHENOTYPES PORTEND DIFFERENTIAL RISK OF POOR OUTCOME: A PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS OF THE NATIONAL TRAUMA DATA BANK


Introduction: Characterizing trauma outcomes using standard multiple regression modeling risk overfitting and are limited by the statistical need to exclude potentially relevant covariates to minimize multicollinearity. Fortunately, advanced data mining techniques, including principal component analysis (PCA), provide powerful alternatives to define these relationships within large, complicated datasets. We sought to explore if PCA could be utilized to identify clinically significant patient phenotypes within the NTDB that would predict poor outcomes.

Methods: Using the NTDB-RDS (2008-2012), PCA was performed including all patients ≥16 years. Scores of significant principal components (eigenvalues >1.0, loading values >0.30) were included in multiple logistic regression to predict outcomes.

Results: Complete data were available for 539,141 patients, of which PCA identified 17 significant phenotypes that collectively explain 54.4% of the total outcome variance. As an example, phenotype 1 (PC1) is elderly hypertensive diabetic patients and is significantly associated with increased risk of mortality, acute renal failure (ARF), myocardial infarction (MI), pneumonia, stroke, but decreased rates of surgical site infections (SSI). In contrast, ARF, MI, PE, and decubitus ulcers are less common in PC3 (head injury) but PC3 has similar risk of SSI and increased mortality [Table].

Conclusion: PCA is a data-driven method of dimensionality reduction that provides a unique approach to exploring trends in trauma to identify distinct patient phenotypes associated with poor clinical outcomes.
SECONDARY RENAL MICROVASCULAR INJURY INDEPENDENT OF HEMMORRHAGIC SHOCK IN A MURINE PULMONARY CONTUSION MODEL OF TRAUMA

Ian E. Brown MD,Ph.D., Robert R. Rigor Ph.D., James C. Becker MD, Karen Chung BS, Jessica C. Chavez Joseph M. Galante* MD, University of California, Davis

Introduction: Of the nearly 200,000 annual trauma-related deaths in the United States, 10-20%, or up to 40,000, occur 1 to 2 weeks after initial injury. These late deaths are possibly initiated by early, subclinical mechanisms. Previously, we hypothesized that injury-mediated systemic tissue factor mobilization in severe trauma drives microvascular thrombosis and resultant parenchymal inflammation in distant uninjured organs as an early event in trauma. We showed glomerular fibrin deposition and increased parenchymal ICAM-1 expression in a murine trauma model of pulmonary contusion and hemorrhage. Both pulmonary contusion and 15% hemorrhage independently and when combined led to increased tissue factor expression by monocytes. However, 15% hemorrhage alone was not sufficient for significant increase in glomerular fibrin deposition relative to uninjured animals. We hypothesized that 15% hemorrhage has a muted inflammatory response in the absence of concomitant tissue injury and this impacts glomerular fibrin deposition and inflammation.

Methods: Anesthetized male C57/Bl6 mice underwent 7.5%, 15%, or 30% hemorrhage by body weight through retro-orbital plexus phlebotomy. Anesthetized sham mice did not undergo hemorrhage. After 6 hours, a terminal bleed was performed. Plasma was analyzed for creatinine, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), syndecan-1, and cytokines. Harvested kidneys were fixed, embedded, and sectioned for analysis by immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence.

Results: A 50% mortality over 6 hours was seen with 30% hemorrhage while all mice survived in sham, 7.5%, and 15% hemorrhage groups. Renal parenchymal vacuolization was present within 6 hours following 7.5%, 15%, and 30% hemorrhage, suggestive of early renal injury, but there was no evidence of fibrin deposition in any of the groups. While plasma creatinine was unchanged in all groups, plasma NGAL was elevated in mice within 6 hours following 15% hemorrhage, also suggestive of early renal injury. Analysis of mice with 15% hemorrhage demonstrated no significant increase in plasma levels of MCP-1, IFN-gamma, IL-6, TNF, IL-12p70, or GCSF. Analysis of blood collected 6 hours after hemorrhage demonstrated no significant difference in plasma syndecan-1 levels.

Conclusion: A 15% hemorrhage alone did not result in glomerular fibrin deposition or systemic elevation of the cytokines and chemokines MCP-1, IFN-gamma, IL-6, TNF, IL-12p70, or GCSF. Hemorrhage of 7.5%, 15% or 30% did not lead to detectable syndecan-1 shedding though a 30% hemorrhage lead to 50% mortality. The results show that in a model of pulmonary contusion and hemorrhage, the hemorrhage alone is not sufficient to promote glomerular thrombosis. The results further provide a critical foundation for investigation of the contribution of tissue injury combined with hemorrhage as opposed to hemorrhage alone on the development of acute renal microvascular thrombosis following heterotopic trauma.
THE UTILITY OF CARBOHYDRATE DEFICIENT TRANSFERRIN IN IDENTIFYING CHRONIC ALCOHOL USERS IN THE INJURED PATIENT: EXPANDING THE TOOLKIT

Joseph V. Sakran MD, MPH, MPA, Ambar Mehta BS, Maher Matar MD, Dulaney Wilson Ph.D., Pamela Ferguson Ph.D., Raymond F. Anton MD, Samir M. Fakhry* MD, Medical University Of South Carolina

Introduction: Chronic heavy alcohol users have an increased risk of hospital complications, ventilator days, prolonged ICU stay, readmission, and potential mortality. While blood alcohol levels (BAL) provide limited interpretation, previous studies have demonstrated that the Carbohydrate Deficient Transferrin (%dCDT) blood test can better differentiate episodic binge drinkers from sustained heavy consumers. We sought to utilize %dCDT and BAL levels in trauma patients to characterize alcohol use as chronic or acute and to compare outcomes of those testing %dCDT+ and %dCDT-, as well as BAL+ and BAL-.

Methods: This prospective, observational study assessed %dCDT and BAL levels in trauma patients (≥18 years) at an ACS COT level-1 trauma center from 7/2014 to 6/2016. %dCDT levels >1.7% were considered positive for chronic heavy alcohol use. Using a multivariable linear regression adjusting for age, gender, race, ISS, GCS, and mechanism of injury (MOI), we compared outcomes by both %dCDT and BAL status.

Results: We studied 732 patients (77.1% male, 55.1% <40 years, and median ISS of 14 [interquartile range 6 to 22]). Common MOIs were motor-vehicle crash (41.8%), gunshot wounds (17.9%), motorcycle crashes (14.2%), and falls (10.8%). While 31.8% of patients had a positive %dCDT, 48.1% had a positive BAL (1-100: 16.7%, 101-200: 13.8%, 201+: 17.6%). After adjustment, patients with a positive %dCDT level had significantly longer ICU stays (+2.6 days, P<0.01) and days on ventilator (+3.9 days, P<0.01), compared to those with negative %dCDT levels. There was no difference identified between positive and negative BAL in relation to ICU and ventilation days. Furthermore, complication rates were also significantly different by %dCDT status (%dCDT+: 42% vs %dCDT-: 31%, P<0.01), but not by BAL status (Figure).

Conclusion: In this study, one-in-four (26%) trauma patients had a positive BAL but a negative %dCDT, which likely indicated binge drinking but not chronic alcohol use. We found that %dCDT, but not BAL, was a surrogate marker for worsened outcomes. Patients with a positive %dCDT had a longer ICU stays, longer ventilator days, and higher complication rates. Identifying these at-risk patients early on in their hospital course may improve outcomes.
RAPID IDENTIFICATION OF PATHOGENS IN PATIENTS WITH SEPSIS USING PLASMA DNA SEQUENCING

Mehreen T. Kisat MD, MS, Ahuva Odenheimer-Bergman MS, Bellal Joseph* MD, Sridhar N. Srivatsan MS, Tania Contente-Cuomo BS, Zain Khalpey MD,Ph.D., Paul Keim Ph.D., Viacheslav Fofanov Ph.D., Muhammed Murtaza MD,Ph.D., Peter Rhee* MD,MPH, University of Arizona - Tucson

Introduction: One of every three to five patients who develop sepsis in the surgical ICU dies during the same admission. Diagnosis of bacteremia in sepsis relies on blood culture followed by antimicrobial sensitivity testing. However, this takes 5-7 days and often yields false negative results. We hypothesized that pathogens shed DNA into plasma and direct next-generation sequencing of plasma DNA in patients with clinical suspicion of sepsis will enable rapid identification of bacteria.

Methods: We conducted a prospective study of 30 consecutive patients suspected of sepsis in the Surgical Trauma ICU. Plasma samples were collected at the time of diagnostic workup for sepsis. Blood samples were collected in Streck Cell-Free DNA tubes and processed within 24 hours. DNA was extracted using QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit. For patients with positive blood cultures, we performed whole genome sequencing of plasma DNA. After subtracting human DNA reads, we used an informatics approach developed in-house to identify sources of non-human DNA.

Results: 3/30 patients with sepsis had positive blood cultures growing Escherichia coli, Group B Streptococcus and Staphylococcus haemolyticus respectively. For 3 samples from these patients and 1 sample from a healthy control individual, we performed whole genome sequencing and generated 80-120 million sequencing reads per sample on 3 lanes of Illumina Hiseq. As expected, 95-98% of sequencing reads were of human origin. Number of bacterial species potentially contributing non-human plasma DNA ranged from 55-328 per sample, suggesting the need for further refinement of informatics approaches. When ranked by number of informative reads, the expected bacterial species was ranked 1/97, 7/307 and 4/55 for patients with Group B Streptococcus, Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus haemolyticus respectively. Corresponding ranks for the same species in the control sample were 119, 63 and 14 of 328 candidates.

Conclusion: Direct sequencing of bacterial DNA in plasma is feasible and may allow rapid identification of pathogens in patients with sepsis. Future efforts need to focus on enrichment of non-human DNA in plasma samples to increase assay accuracy and reduce cost of sequencing.
**Introduction:** Recent research has shown that both hyperfibrinolysis (HF) and fibrinolytic shutdown (SD) are associated with increased mortality in severely injured patients. However, among patients receiving massive transfusion (MT), the HF-phenotype is the most lethal. We sought to examine demographic, baseline and pre-arrival variables that might effect, or reflect, the admission fibrinolytic phenotype.

**Methods:** Trauma patients predicted to receive a MT at 12 level 1-trauma centers were randomized to one of two blood component transfusion ratios as described in the PROPPR trial. Fibrinolysis phenotypes were determined based on admission TEG clot lysis at 30 minutes (LY30): SD <0.9%; physiologic (PHYS) 0.9-2.9%; and HF ≥ 3%. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed.

**Results:** Among the 680 randomized patients, 547 (80%) had admission TEG values available to determine fibrinolytic phenotypes. Among the three phenotypes, there were no differences in age, gender, race, or body mass index, but penetrating mechanism was higher in PHYS (60%) compared to SD (48%) or HF (35%), p<0.001). There were no differences in pre-arrival physiology between the three groups. However, the SD group received more fluids (median 1.7 L, IQR 0.5, 3.0) than either PHYS (1.1 L, IQR 0.3, 2.5) or HF (1.0 L, IQR 0.1, 2.4); p=0.060. The HF group received more pre-arrival blood products (median 2, IQR 2, 3) than either SD (2, IQR 1, 3) or PHYS (2, IQR 1, 3); p=0.001. Logistic regression (controlling for pre-arrival vitals, mechanism, and ISS) demonstrated that each liter of pre-arrival fluids was associated with an increase the likelihood of SD by 15% (OR 1.15, 95% C.I. 1.03-1.28, p=0.010), while each unit of blood was associated with an increase the likelihood of HF by 15% (OR 1.15, 95% C.I. 0.99-1.35, p=0.078).

**Conclusion:** In a large cohort of severely bleeding patients cared for at multiple level 1-trauma centers, pre-arrival resuscitation fluids are associated with arrival fibrinolytic phenotypes. Whether these fluid and blood product choices are causative or simply a reflection of underlying pathophysiology requires further study.
The A, B, Cs of Trauma Do We Have The Orders Of The Factors Backwards?
Circulation First: An American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Multicenter Trial

Paula Ferrada* MD, Rachael Callcut* MD, David J. Skarupa* MD, Therese Duane* MD, Alberto Garcia MD, Desmond Khor MD, Jason Sperry* MD, David Turay* Rachel M. Nygaard Ph.D., Martin A. Schreiber MD, Toby Enniss* MD, Michelle McNutt* Herb Phelan* MD, Forrest O. Moore* MD, Joseph Dubose* MD, AAST Multi-Institutional Trials Committee

Introduction: The traditional sequence of trauma care: Airway, Breathing, Circulation (ABC) has been practiced for many years without much evidence behind it. In patients with hypovolemic shock intubation drugs, and positive pressure ventilation can exacerbate hypotension. We hypothesized that patients in hypovolemic shock would have similar outcomes with initiation of bleeding treatment (transfusion) prior to intubation (CAB), compared to those patients treated with the traditional ABC sequence.

Methods: This study was sponsored by the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) multicenter trials committee. We performed a retrospective analysis of all patients that presented to trauma centers with presumptive hypovolemic shock (history of hypotension or currently hypotensive SBP<90 mmHg), and required intubation in the trauma bay between January 1, 2014 to July 1, 2016. Data collected included demographics, timing of intubation, vital signs before and after intubation, timing of blood transfusion initiation related to intubation, and outcomes.

Results: Twelve centers were included in the study, two of these centers are international institutions. There were 440 patients who met inclusion criteria with 245 patients in the circulation first group (CAB). There was no difference in ISS, mechanism of trauma, or comorbidities between the groups (Table1). Both groups had similar amount of crystalloid infused by prehospital personnel (CAB 500 cc Vs. ABC 800 cc, p = 0.13). Both groups had a similar percentage of patients that received blood transfusion overall (CAB 62% Vs. ABC 68% p = 0.11). Patients in the CAB group had an average GCS of 9 compared with 4 in the ABC group, p = 0.0005. The only difference in hemodynamic parameters between the groups was a lower initial diastolic blood pressure in the CAB group (CAB 48 mmHg Vs ABC 51 mmHg, p = 0.03). Since these patients were in extremis mortality was high for both groups (CAB 47% and ABC 50%). There was no statistical difference regarding ICU admission, length of stay or mortality (Table2).

Conclusions: The current study highlights that many centers are already initiating transfusion prior to intubation when treating hypovolemic shock, even in patients with a low GCS. This practice was not associated with an increased mortality.
Introduction: Numerous studies have demonstrated the benefits of both early administration of analgesia to trauma patients and the system-wide improvement of analgesic delivery through implementation of evidence-based pain management protocols. Despite this evidence, oligoanalgesia—the under-evaluation and under-treatment of pain—is still widely reported in patients suffering traumatic injury. Commonly, trauma surgeons defend oligoanalgesia during the initial trauma resuscitation based upon concern for causing adverse effects with opioid analgesics in at-risk patients. The goal of this study was to determine if specific clinical concerns related to adverse effects of analgesics is the true primary driver of the trauma surgeon’s tendency to under-manage pain, or if oligoanalgesia is better explained by under-prioritization or lack of appropriate protocols.

Methods: We performed an IRB-approved, retrospective medical record review of all adult trauma patients admitted to an academic Level I trauma center over a one year period whose initial evaluation was directed by a board-certified trauma surgeon and who were included in the institutional Trauma Registry. Exclusions were inter-hospital transfers, pregnancy, intubation, and cardiac arrest. Variables associated with possible increased risk for opioid adverse effect were compared against primary outcomes of analgesic administration, time to first analgesic dose, and total weight-based dose of analgesic received in the trauma bay using logistic and quantile regression.

Results: Included in the final analysis were 380 patients with the following profile: average age 45.5 ± 19.3 years, 72.1% male, 85.5% blunt injury, 40.5% post-ED destination of ICU, 21.1% post-ED destination of OR, 31.6% receiving prehospital opioid, and average Revised Trauma Score of 7.72 ± 0.41. Average time to analgesia was 14.5 ± 11.7 minutes; average total dose of fentanyl received in the trauma bay was 1.12 ± 0.8 mcg/kg. Patients with age >55 (p=0.0001) and with GCS <15 (p=0.0265) were at significantly higher risk of not receiving analgesia. Multiple variables correlated with increased delivery of analgesia including tachycardia, prehospital tachypnea, and abnormal breathing pattern on physical exam (p<0.05). No risk factors suggestive of hemodynamic instability (hypotension, tachycardia, bradycardia, abnormal breathing pattern, hypoxia, increased need for crystalloid/blood product resuscitation) were identified that correlated with risk of not receiving analgesia, increased time to initial analgesia, or decreased total dose of analgesia (p=ns).

Conclusion: Advanced age and altered mental status are risk factors for oligoanalgesia in trauma patients, as previously reported. There was no evidence that patients with additional signs of hemodynamic instability were less likely to receive analgesia, experience a longer delay to analgesic administration, or receive lower total doses of analgesic. We believe that the evidence provided in this study argues that oligoanalgesia in trauma patients is driven primarily by lack of prioritization and protocols, and less so by the intentional withholding of analgesia to those at higher risk for adverse effects of opioids. Our data suggests that there are numerous patients who could safely receive opioid analgesia—or could receive analgesia earlier—but fail to receive it. Institutional protocols prompting all trauma team providers for early analgesia consideration may improve the quality and safety of trauma patient care.
IMPACT OF ADMISSION HYPERFIBRINOLYSIS DIAGNOSED BASED ON FIBRIN/FIBRINOGEN DEGRADATION PRODUCT LEVEL ON PATIENTS WITH SEVERE TRAUMA

Takanobu Otaguro MD, Kazuhiro Okada MD, Nobuyuki Saito MD, MPH, PhD., Takanori Yagi MD, Yoshiaki Hara MD, PhD., Hisashi Matsumoto MD, PhD., Kunihiro Mashiko* MD, PhD., Chiba Hokusoh Hospital, Nippon Medical School

Introduction: Patients with severe trauma who develop traumatic coagulopathy are well known to have a poor prognosis. Fibrin/fibrinogen degradation product (FDP) is a highly sensitive and simple screening test that can be used to diagnose the presence of hyperfibrinolysis (HF). In this study, we aimed to clarify the relationship between admission HF diagnosed based on FDP level and hospital mortality in patients with severe trauma.

Methods: A single-institution retrospective observational study was conducted from January 2012 to December 2015. Adult trauma patients who were transported from the scene to a Japanese civilian trauma center with measured FDP at the time of admission and Injury Severity Score (ISS) ≥16 were enrolled in this study. Patients with cardiac arrest on arrival were excluded. Correlation between admission HF and hospital mortality was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of admission FDP level with respect to mortality and to detect its cutoff value. Additionally, a comparison was performed between the HF and non-HF groups, which were divided based on the FDP cutoff value. Cox regression analysis was utilized to determine whether admission FDP level could be an independent mortality predictor.

Results: A total of 760 patients were enrolled in this study. Victims of blunt trauma accounted for 97% of the overall number of patients. Sixty-eight percent of patients received prehospital treatment. The median age of the patients was 60 [interquartile range: 42–71] years, the median ISS was 25 [18–34], and the mortality rate was 10.3%. The admission FDP value was associated with the injury severity (ISS; correlation coefficient: 0.50, P<0.01; Revised Trauma Score: −0.45, P<0.01, respectively). The area under the curve of the FDP value's ROC for hospital mortality was 0.86 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.82–0.91, P<0.01). The cutoff value of FDP was 80 µg/ml, and its sensitivity and specificity values were 80.8% and 68.7%, respectively. We divided the patients into two groups based on the FDP levels: HF (FDP ≥80 µg/ml, 277 patients) and non-HF (FDP <80 µg/ml, 483 patients) groups. Patients in the HF group were older and had higher injury severity than those in the non-HF group (median age, 65 vs. 56 years; median ISS, 34 vs. 22, respectively). The HF group used tranexamic acid (TXA) more frequently on arrival day than the non-HF group (57.0% vs. 43.9%, P=0.01). Cox regression analysis, which was adjusted for age, severity, and prehospital treatment, revealed that FDP level ≥80 µg/ml was a prognostic factor for HF (hazard ratio, 3.27; 95% CI, 1.64–6.51; P<0.01).

Conclusion: An FDP level ≥80 µg/ml was a prognostic factor for HF. TXA should be administered by at least this value.
PROLONGED HYPERLACTATEMIA AND EARLY-ONSET MULTIPLE ORGAN FAILURE AS POST AORTIC OCCLUSION SYNDROME

Nobuyuki Saito MD, MPH, Ph.D., Takanori Yagi MD, Hiroshi Yasumatsu MD, Hisashi Matsumoto MD, Ph.D., Kunihiro Mashiko* MD, Ph.D., Nippon Medical School Chiba-Hokusoh Hospital

Introduction: Aortic occlusion (AO) methods, including open aortic clumping (OAC) and resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA), are among the temporary hemostasis techniques for life-treating trunk injury. Patient survival rates have increased with the increasing use of REBOA worldwide. However, the probability of a fatal condition occurring after AO remains to be determined. We aimed to describe the clinical process following AO and clarify its prognostic.

Methods: A single-center retrospective study was conducted from January 2012 to December 2015. Among the 3,354 trauma patients admitted to a Japanese civilian trauma center, 75 adult patients who underwent resuscitative AO were included in this study. Patients who had cardiopulmonary arrest on hospital arrival were excluded. After data collection on the patients’ characteristics, we selected 45 patients who survived for 24 hours or more after admission and divided them into two groups (survivor [S] group, n=23; nonsurvivor [NS] group, n=22). The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment criteria were used to diagnose multiple organ failure (MOF). Additionally, serum lactate level was adopted as a tissue dysoxia indicator. The criterion of prolonged hyperlactatemia (PH) was lactate level ≥4.0 mmol/L that persists for more than 24 hours after admission. Continuous variable was expressed as median [IQR].

Results: Victims of blunt trauma accounted for 94% of the total number of patients. Prehospital treatment, including OAC, was performed to 78% of the patients. The age of the patients was 61 [43–74] years, the Injury Severity Score (ISS) was 41 [25–54], and the hospital survival rate was 30.7%. The percentage of patients who underwent REBOA as the initial AO approach was 22.7%. The initial treatment of 50 patients (66%) were converted from OAC to REBOA. The proportions of patients with head injury (Abbreviated Injury Scale ≥3), chest injury, abdominal injury, and pelvic fracture were 46%, 88%, 41%, 25%, respectively. Crush laparotomy (45%) and pelvic packing (16%) were performed with thoracotomy during AO in the emergency department, followed by arterial embolization (18%). To compare the 45 survivors, the patients from the S group had higher ISS, Revised Trauma Score, and head injury proportion than those in the NS group. However, no differences in other injury lesion, AO approach, hemostasis, and transfusion amount were observed between the two groups. The NS group had significantly higher lactate levels upon hospital arrival and ICU admission than the S group (S vs. NS: 4.4 vs. 8.9, 5.3 vs. 11.0, respectively). The time from occlusion to initial deflation was significantly shorter in the S group than in the NS group (15 min vs. 34, P <0.01). Meanwhile, the NS group had higher PH and MOF occurrences than the S group (21% vs. 54%, 39% vs. 77%, respectively). MOF occurred on the second day from ICU admission (2 [2–4]) and was associated with PH (P<0.01).

Conclusion: The hospital survival rate of patients with resuscitative AO was approximately 30%. MOF and PH frequently occurred after survival for 24 hours and were associated with hospital death. We propose to isolate this severe and specific pathology as Post Aortic Occlusion Syndrome (PAOS).
A NOVEL METHOD TO DIRECTLY MEASURE FIBRIN STRENGTH IN A CONTRACTING CLOT

Mitchell J. George BS, MD, Kevin R. Aroom MS, Max A. Skibber BS, Tushar Sharma Ph.D., Charles S. Cox Jr., MD, Brijesh S. Gill MD, University of Texas Health Science Center-Houston

Background: Clot formation depends on stabilization by fibrin to provide structural strength. Fibrinolysis in trauma is associated with high mortality with empiric anti-fibrinolytic treatment having proven benefit. There is no proven method to discern the contribution of fibrin to clot strength. This study proposes a novel method to directly measure the fibrin component of clot strength.

Methods: Whole blood from healthy volunteers (N=5) was diluted by 50% with autologous platelet free plasma (PFP) or phosphate buffered saline (PBS). These two dilutions had similar platelet counts and the PBS dilution had fibrinogen concentration reduced by one-half. A novel device was used to measure platelet contraction forces. Re-calcified citrated blood injected between acrylic plates in a heated chamber formed an adherent clot. Platelet contraction within this clot against a wire cantilever was captured using a camera and microscope objective; force created by the contracting clot was captured over time. Assays were run in duplicate and differences (p < 0.05) were determined by ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis.

Results: Platelets exert force on both the fibrin meshwork and the wire cantilever during contraction in this experiment. The maximum force detected for the PFP dilution was significantly decreased from the PBS dilution (1610 ± 320 Newtons (N) versus 950 ± 330 N, respectively). Both were different from control assays with a max force of 2317 ± 360 N. Increased fibrin concentration in the PFP dilution decreases the force transmitted to the wire cantilever and reflects strength of the fibrin component. The increased maximum force of the PBS dilution reflects the increased force transmitted to the wire cantilever due to decreased fibrin concentration. The difference between the PBS and PFP dilution represent fibrin strength.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates a novel method of isolating clot strength derived from fibrin.
THE PHARMACOKINETICS OF TRANEXAMIC ACID VIA INTRAVENOUS, INTRAOSSEOUS AND INTRAMUSCULAR ROUTES IN A PORCINE (Sus scrofa) HEMORRHAGIC SHOCK MODEL

Erik S. DeSoucy DO, Anders Davidson MD, Guillaume L. Hoareau DVM, Meryl A. Simon MD, Emily M. Tibbits MD, John K. Grayson DVM, Joseph M. Galante* MD, University of California, Davis

Introduction: Intravenous (IV) tranexamic acid (TXA) is an important adjunct for resuscitation of patients in hemorrhagic shock. However, IV access in these patients may be difficult or impossible. Alternatively, intraosseous (IO) or intramuscular (IM) administration could be quickly performed with minimal training. We investigated TXA pharmacokinetics when administered via IV, IO and IM routes in a swine hemorrhagic shock model.

Methods: Fifteen castrated male Yorkshire-cross swine (Sus scrofa) weighing between 58 and 72 kg were anesthetized, had 35% of their estimated blood volume (EBV) removed, and were randomized to IV, IO, or IM routes. Each animal was given 1gm/10mL of TXA (X-Gen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Horseheads, NY) in a single dose. Physiologic parameters (heart rate, mean arterial pressure, oxygenation, and tissue perfusion) were continuously recorded and blood specimens (blood gases, electrolytes, coagulation profile, and serum for TXA concentrations) were intermittently obtained for five hours, after which the shed blood was returned, the animals recovered from anesthesia, and the injection sites monitored for seven days. The serum was analyzed with high pressure liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS) to determine TXA concentrations and pharmacokinetics via each route in a shock state. Gross examination of the injection site tissues and marrow was performed at necropsy.

Results: There were no significant differences in baseline measurements between groups. After hemorrhage, all animals lost a similar amount of blood (38% EBV, 22.8mL/kg, p=0.56) and were in a congruent state of class III shock with increased heart rate, decreased mean arterial pressure, and decreased peripheral tissue perfusion. Serum sample analysis by HPLC-MS showed all three routes achieved an adequate serum concentration of >10µg/mL within 10 minutes of administration which was maintained over 120 minutes. There were no injection site changes noted at necropsy.

Conclusion: TXA administration by alternate routes is effective in hemorrhagic shock patients without IV access. Further study is required to clarify pharmacokinetics in humans when administered via alternative IM and IO routes. This study will support the development of an IM TXA auto injector for battlefield self or buddy administration.
EXPERIENCE WITH UNCROSSMATCHED BLOOD REFRIGERATOR IN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

Charles T. Harris MD, Michael Totten MD, Daniel Davenport Ph.D., Zhan Ye MD, Julie O'Brien MT(ASCP), Dennis Williams MD, Andrew Bernard* MD, Leonard Boral MBA,MD, University Of Kentucky

Introduction: Hemorrhage is the most common cause of preventable death within 48 hours following trauma. Uncrossmatched red blood cell (RBC) transfusion is fundamental in the initial resuscitation of hemorrhaging patients. Ready availability of uncrossmatched RBCs can be achieved by storing uncrossmatched blood in a blood bank refrigerator in the ED. We sought to describe our clinical experience using an ED blood bank refrigerator.

Methods: This retrospective study was performed at a Level 1 trauma and tertiary referral center from January 2013 to March 2014. Possible inappropriate transfusion was defined as patients who received ≤2 units of uncrossmatched blood from the ED refrigerator and no further transfusions in the first 24 hours. We examined all adult patients who received at least one uncrossmatched transfusion from the ED refrigerator. Deaths within the first 24 hours were excluded. Those who received ≤2 units from the ED refrigerator and no further transfusion were compared with those who received ≥3 units from the ED refrigerator.

Results: 158 adults received at least one unit from the ED refrigerator. 140 patients (88.6%) were trauma patients. 37 (23.4%) received massive transfusion (≥10 units in 24 hours). 42 (26.6%) deaths were excluded. 22 (19%) survivors received massive transfusion. 21 patients received ≤2 units (possibly inappropriately transfused) and 95 received ≥3 units in the first 24 hours (appropriately transfused). Appropriately transfused patients were more likely to have a HR >120 (35.5% vs 4.8%, p=0.004), a higher mean shock index (1.1 vs 0.8, p= 0.003), lower PO2 (95.7 vs 141.6, p=0.033) and hematocrit (24.7 vs 30.4, p=0.014), higher rates of massive transfusion protocol activation (52.6% vs 23.8%, p=0.028), and higher likelihood of receiving FFP (54.8% vs 11.8%, p=0.001). Appropriately transfused patients were more likely trauma patients, GI hemorrhages and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. Potentially inappropriate uses were more likely in anemic patients without source of hemorrhage, trauma patients with extremity arterial injuries, and blunt trauma patients with either unexplained hypotension or abdominal solid organ injury without hypotension.

Conclusion: Storing uncrossmatched blood in an ED blood bank refrigerator is associated with a low rate of unnecessary uncrossmatched transfusion. This at risk group may be prospectively identified and potentially reduced through performance improvement.
INFLUENCE OF INSTITUTIONAL DIFFERENCES ON EFFECTIVENESS OF RESUSCITATIVE ENDOVASCULAR OCCLUSION OF THE AORTA IN TRAUMA PATIENTS UNDERWENT EMERGENCY TORSO SURGERY

Atsushi Shiraishi MD,Ph.D., Junichi Inoue MD,Ph.D., Hiroki Matsui MPH, Yasuhiro Otomo* MD,Ph.D., Tokyo Medical and Dental University

Introduction: Excess mortality in Japanese trauma patients who underwent emergency torso surgery and resuscitative endovascular occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) in comparison of those without REBOA has been reported. However, the previous reports did not consider institutional differences as confounder of REBOA use. This study aimed to demonstrate mortality associated with REBOA use after adjustment for institutional (cluster) effect.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis of trauma subjects who underwent any kind of emergency torso surgery and were registered in the Japan Trauma Databank. In addition to propensity score (PS) matching analysis, to adjust for institutional differences cluster-exact PS matching and multivariable linear regression mixed effect model (LMM) assessed association of in-hospital mortality and use of REBOA.

Results: Ordinal PS matching analysis (625 for REBOA and 625 for control) demonstrated association of excess in-hospital mortality and use of REBOA (mortality 61.8% versus 45.3%, difference +16.5% [95% confident interval +10.9%, +22.0%], P<0.001). After adjustment for cluster effect, use of REBOA remained hazardous in both cluster-exact PS matching (588 for REBOA and 588 for control, mortality 60.7% versus 40.5% [95% confident interval +14.5%, +25.9%], difference +20.2%, P<0.001) and LMM (634 for REBOA and 11419 for control, difference in adjusted mortality +26.7% [95% confident interval +22.2%, +31.2%], P<0.001).

Conclusion: Excess mortality in association with use of REBOA could not be explained by institutional difference which included hospital systems, resources and equipment, and skills of the trauma team.
GERIATRIC TRAUMA PATIENTS WITH ISOLATED HIP FRACTURES - NO TRANSFUSION GOES UNPUNISHED

Joseph Sucher MD, Alicia Mangram* MD, Francis Ali-Osman MD, Van Johnson MD, Gina Shirah MD, Natasha Sinchuk RN, Laura Prokuski MD, James Dzandu Ph.D., John C. Lincoln Hospital

Introduction: Geriatric trauma (G60) patients with isolated hip fractures (IHF) managed operatively are frequently given packed red blood cell (pRBC) transfusions. Blood transfusions have known deleterious effects such as transfusion reactions, viral transmission and immune suppression. In the G60 population there is an additional risk of fluid overload with CHF exacerbation. The purpose of this study was to determine the incidence of transfusions and to identify the predictors of pRBC transfusion in G60 trauma patients with IHF.

Methods: Consecutive trauma patients ≥60 years of age admitted (June 2014 – May 2016) with isolated hip fractures were retrospectively identified from our ACS Level I and III trauma registries. Patients were stratified based on pRBC transfusion. Outcomes were compared between transfused and non-transfused patients. Variables including demographics, mechanism of injury, injury severity score (ISS), hemoglobin values, clinical measures, fracture pattern, blood loss, and surgery type were compared between the two groups. Multivariate analysis was then performed to identify factors associated with pRBC transfusion.

Results: 447 trauma patients were reviewed for hip fractures and the receipt of packed red cell transfusions. The following hip fracture types were observed: Intracapsular=310 (69.4%), intertrochanteric=121 (27.1%), trochanteric=11 (2.5%) and subtrochanteric=5 (1.1%). One-hundred seventy four (174) out of 447 (38.9%) G60 patients were transfused. A statistically significant difference was noted in admission hemoglobin levels for transfused vs not transfused patients (11.56 vs. 13.18, p<0.001). A statistically significant association between fracture type and transfusion (Pearson’s Chi-Square (3df) = 11.277, p=0.010. Sixty two (62) (51.2%) patients with intertrochanteric fractures were transfused compared to only 20% of patients with subtrochanteric fractures. A biphasic distribution was observed between total numbers of pRBCs transfused and hip fracture type. The largest pairwise difference in the units transfused was between patients with intertrochanteric vs. intracapsular fractures (0.291 units, (95%CI =0.047-0.535), p=0.047. Transfused patients had longer length of stay (LOS) (days) 6.3±3.6 vs. 4.7±2.3, p=.001 and were discharged home less frequently (23.4 vs. 76.6%) Z-score = 2.7454, p=0.006. Based on multivariate analysis, admission hemoglobin level, intertrochanteric fracture type and age, and LOS were independent predictors of transfusion after controlling for mechanism of injury, comorbidities and ISS.

Conclusion: In our population of G60 IHF patients, nearly 39% received pRBC transfusions. We have identified that patients with intertrochanteric fractures are at highest risk for receiving pRBC transfusion and these patients had longer LOS and were less likely to be discharged to home. Our intention is to use this information to promote a uniform set of transfusion criteria aimed at reducing pRBC transfusions and establish an acceptable benefit-risk ratio.
EARLY USE OF A CHEST TRAUMA PROTOCOL IN PATIENTS WITH RIB FRACTURES IMPROVES PULMONARY OUTCOME

Katherine M. Kelley MD, Jessica Burgess MD, Leonard Weireter* MD, Timothy J. Novosel* MD, Jay Collins* MD, Eastern Virginia Medical Center

Introduction: Rib fractures are among the most common injuries identified in blunt trauma patients. It has been shown that morbidity increases with increasing age as well as increasing number of rib fractures. At our Level 1 Trauma Center we noted a high number of patients requiring unplanned transfer to the intensive care unit (ICU) or unplanned intubation for respiratory distress. The use of noninvasive ventilation (BIPAP) has been shown to be helpful as a rescue technique avoiding intubation in patients who have become hypoxemic but little data with regards to its use to prophylactically prevent worsening respiratory status. We developed a Chest Trauma Respiratory Protocol (CTRP) for our “elderly” (>45yo) trauma patients and sought to determine if this would improve pulmonary outcomes.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed our elderly chest trauma patients one year before (CTRL) and 9 months after implementation (STU) of CTRP. The protocol consisted of intravenous narcotics, oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), prophylactic BIPAP and measurements of incentive spirometry.

Results: In the control year there were 176 patients meeting study criteria while 140 met criteria in the study group. The CTRL group had 11 unplanned ICU admissions (rate=0.063), 6 unplanned intubations (rate=0.034) and 8 patients diagnosed with pneumonia (rate=0.045). These rates decreased in the STU group to two unplanned ICU admissions (rate=0.014, p=0.044), one unplanned intubation (rate=0.007, p=0.138) and no patients with pneumonia (rate=0.0, p=0.010). There were no adverse events from CTRP in the study group.

Conclusion: Our CTRP has significantly decreased adverse pulmonary events such as ICU transfer and pneumonia in our elderly blunt chest trauma population with multiple rib fractures. There is likely a decrease in unplanned intubations as well. All members of our trauma team embrace the CTRP and we use it frequently.
**Combining Resuscitative Endovascular Balloon Occlusion Of The Aorta (REBOA) And A Median Sternotomy In Hemodynamically Unstable Non-compressible Torso Hemorrhage (NCTH) Patients’ With Penetrating Chest Trauma: Is This Feasible?**

Carlos A. Ordoñez Delgado* MD, Michael W. Parra MD, Ramiro Manzano Nunez MD, Juan P. Herrera Escobar MD, Fernando Rodriguez MD, Ana M. Del Valle MD, Jose J. Serna MD, Paola Rodriguez Ossa MD, David Mejia MD, Alexander Salcedo MD, Juan C. Salamea MD, Fundacion Valle del Lili

**Introduction:** REBOA has emerged as an alternative for bleeding control in hemodynamically unstable NCTH patients. However, penetrating chest trauma remains an absolute contraindication for the use of REBOA. Distal aortic occlusion with REBOA in cases of proximal penetrating injury to the site of aortic occlusion offers both myocardial and cerebral perfusion support with the associated downside of potentially increasing the rate of bleeding from the injury site. That is why we propose that a median sternotomy be performed in conjunction with REBOA as a feasible and effective means of hemorrhage control in patients suffering from penetrating chest trauma who present hemodynamically unstable. The objective of our study was to present our initial experience with this approach.

**Methods:** A prospectively collected case series of the use of REBOA (10 French catheters) in conjunction with a median sternotomy from January, 2015 to December, 2016 at a Level I Trauma Center.

**Results:** A total of 68 trauma related emergent thoracic surgeries were performed at our institution during the study period. Of these, eight underwent REBOA plus median sternotomy (Table). REBOA was placed in zone I of the aorta. The median (range) ISS/NISS was 25/41 (9-59/18-57). The median base deficit was 16 (4.6-21). Seven out of the eight patients suffered intra-thoracic vascular injuries: 2 subclavian arteries (one of them was at the point of origin), 2 internal mammary arteries, 2 aortic arch and 5 mayor central venous injuries. Four patients had an associated lung injury with AIS > 3, of which two suffered a pulmonary hilar vessel disruption. One patient had a right ventricular injury with an associated cardiac tamponade. Median systolic blood pressures significantly increased after REBOA placement (50 vs. 123 mmHg, p=0.01). The median time of aortic occlusion was 40 minutes (20-60). REBOA-related complications included one case of upper gastrointestinal bleeding secondary to gastric ischemia that resolved after standard medical treatment. One patient died in the operating room from coagulopathy and exsanguination. Overall 30-day survival was 87%. No adverse neurologic outcomes or deficits were observed in survivors.

**Conclusion:** The use of REBOA in conjunction with a median sternotomy can be a feasible approach for hemorrhage control in selected hemodynamically unstable NCTH patients’ secondary to penetrating chest trauma. However, further study is required prior to widely adopting this approach.
 DOES HIGHER VOLUME EQUATE TO HIGHER SURVIVAL? A NATIONWIDE ANALYSIS OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT THORACOTOMIES.

Charles DiMaggio Ph.D., Spiros Frangos* MD,MPH, Hersch L. Pachter* MD, Marko Bukur* BS,MD, Bellevue Hospital Center

Introduction: Emergency Department Thoracotomy (EDT) is an aggressive approach for injured patients arriving in extremis. Current guidelines regarding EDT differ with respect to the indications and timing making this procedure the subject of continuing debate. To provide a modern perspective regarding the effectiveness of this procedure and to determine the effect of EDT volume on survival, we conducted an analysis of all EDTs for traumatic injury conducted in the United States (US) between 2006 and 2012.

Methods: Data was obtained from the US Nationwide Emergency Department Survey (NEDS) for the years 2006 to 2012. Traumatic injury was identified using ICD-9 codes. EDTs were identified using the procedure code for "Open chest cardiac massage" (3791) and the Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code for "Thoracotomy with cardiac massage" (32160) occurring in the ED. Injury severity was quantified using the ICD-derived Injury Severity Score (ICISS) and a cut off of 0.94 was used to identify patients with < 6% chance of survival. Trauma center designation, teaching status, and external cause of injury were categorized using NEDS variables. Descriptive statistical analysis consisted of survey-adjusted counts, proportions, means, standard errors (se), and 95% confidence intervals. We assessed univariate associations with unadjusted odds ratios with weighted estimates and robust covariances accounting for survey clustering. We conducted a multivariable logistic regression with fatality as the outcome variable controlling for age, and indicator variables for trauma center status, teaching status, and injury mechanism.

Results: 4,197 (se = 366) patient underwent EDT in the US between 2006 and 2012. The average age of a person undergoing an EDT was 35.5 (se = 1.9) with the large majority performed on men (86.9%, se=2.9). Of all EDTs, 83.5% (se=2.7) were performed in Level 1 or 2 trauma centers; 79.4% (se=3.5) were performed in urban teaching hospitals. Overall survival to hospital discharge for an EDT was 12.0% (se=2.9). All individuals undergoing EDT were classified as having severe injuries (ICISS < 0.94). The proportion surviving to hospital admission was 14.1% (se=3.9) in Level 1 or 2 trauma centers, and 9.1% (se=6.4) in non-Level 1 or 2 trauma centers (p < 0.0001). Fully 4.9% (se=0.6) of all severely injured (ICISS < 0.94) victims of firearms in the US underwent EDT, compared to 0.8% (se=0.2) of severely injured persons with stab or piercing wound injuries and 0.2% (se=0.03) of persons with severe motor vehicle crash injuries. Survival to discharge was 13.1% (se=1.7%) for firearm injuries, 7.5% (se = 2.5%) for stab or piercing injuries and 17.2% (se=3.4%) for motor vehicle crash injuries. In a multivariable logistic regression model that adjusted for age, gender, teaching hospital status and penetrating vs. blunt injury mechanism, a survival benefit was seen in EDTs done at Level 1/2 trauma centers (AOR = 0.65, 95% CI 0.51, 0.83). In the adjusted model, penetrating injuries were associated with a 19% higher survival than non-penetrating mechanisms, (AOR = 0.81, 95% CI 0.74, 0.89.)

Conclusion: Our study demonstrates a higher in-hospital survival proportion for EDT than is traditionally reported over a wide range of injury mechanisms, a finding which may add to the evidence for volume-outcome associations in trauma and may contribute to future EDT guidelines in regards to patient selection for this potentially life-saving procedure.
FIRST RIB FRACTURE: A HARBINGER OF SEVERE TRAUMA?
Nina E. Glass MD, Robert E. Luceri BS, Joanelle A. Bailey MD, Ziad C. Sifri* MD, Anastasia Kunac MD, Stephanie L. Bonne MD, Peter P. Yonclas MD, Anne C. Mosenthal* MD, David H. Livingston* MD, Rutgers-New Jersey Medical School

**Introduction:** Prior to computed tomography (CT), a fracture of the first rib was seen as a harbinger of severe trauma, specifically lethal great vessel injuries. The evidence for this assertion was limited. With widespread use of CT, many more first rib fractures (FRFs) are now identified whose impact on outcome is unknown. We hypothesized that FRFs identified on screening chest x-ray (CXR) may be found in conjunction with significant associated injuries, however when such fractures are only recognized on CT scan FRFs would be of minimal consequence.

**Methods:** Retrospective review of all adult blunt trauma patients presenting to a Level 1 Trauma center between January 2014 and October 2015 with chest abbreviated injury scale (AIS) ≥ 1 was performed. Patients with a FRF were divided into two groups, those diagnosed on initial CXR and those seen only on CT; demographics, characteristics and severity of injury, and outcomes collected from the trauma registry were compared. Additionally, charts of patients with FRFs were reviewed for associated injuries looking specifically for vascular injuries.

**Results:** Of 429 patients who met inclusion criteria, 56 patients (13%) had a FRF. The mean injury severity score (ISS) was higher in patients with FRFs than without (22 vs 18, p=0.01). These patients had increased need for intubation (36% vs 21%, p=0.016). Interestingly, FRF patients were found to have significant associated injuries, including 60% with ≥4 rib fractures and 82% with pelvic fractures. Of patients with a FRF, 11 (20%) were diagnosed on initial chest x-ray and 45 (80%) only on CT scan. Those diagnosed on CXR were older (61 vs 48 p=0.03), had a trend towards higher ISS (29 vs 21 p=0.068), and had more severe chest trauma (45% vs 13% with chest AIS>3, p=0.029). These patients also had an increased intensive care unit length of stay (10 vs 4 days, p=0.046) and need for intubation (73% vs 27%, p=0.011). There was only one vascular injury in each group of FRF patients, neither of which was clinically significant. There were no cardiac injuries, Horner’s syndrome, or brachial plexus injuries identified in either group.

**Conclusion:** Once considered rare, the widespread use of CT scanning has resulted in the identification of high numbers of FRFs (13% of patients with any chest trauma vs 2.5% when limiting diagnosis to CXR). While not associated with life-threatening vascular injuries, FRFs do correlate with high morbidity and a significant incidence of associated injuries. In contrast to identification on CT scanning, first rib fractures diagnosed on CXR are associated with a significantly increased morbidity from blunt trauma. While not the harbinger of vascular injuries as once described, a first rib fracture, especially when seen on screening CXR, correlates with significant injuries and should still alert the trauma surgeon to evaluate the patient closely since many will require intubation and an ICU stay.
COMPARISON OF STERNOTOMY VERSUS THORACOTOMY IN ISOLATED PENETRATING CARDIAC INJURY

Alyssa Tutunjian MPH, MS, Janis L. Breeze MPH, Sandra Arabian CSTR, Nikolay Bugaev MD, Tufts Medical Center

Introduction: The utilization and comparative outcomes of sternotomy and left thoracotomy used for the management of penetrating cardiac injuries (CI) is unknown. Our study sought to investigate it by analyzing data from the National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB).

Methods: Review of NTDB 2007-2014 included adult (≥ 16 years old) patients who underwent sternotomy (STER) or thoracotomy (THOR) for isolated penetrating CI and had length of stay >1 day. Patients who were either in shock (systolic blood pressure <80 mmHg) or dead on arrival were excluded. Patients’ demographics, clinical characteristics, morbidity, mortality, geographic location and characteristics of treating hospital were compared between the two groups.

Results: 157 patients met inclusion criteria; 90 (57%) of them underwent STER. Mechanism of injury (stab vs. gunshot wounds), age, gender, and race did not differ between the groups. The THOR had lower GCS (median, interquartile range (IQR)); 15 (8-15) vs. 15 (15-15) (p=0.015); higher admission heart rate (113±28 vs. 107±25, p=0.045), and injury severity score (median (IQR): 25 (14-28) vs.17 (9-25); (p=0.005) than STER. The risk of complications in THOR (14.8%) did not differ significantly from STER (8.1%, p=0.2). There were no deaths in the STER group, and 5 (7.5%) in THOR (p=0.01). There were no differences between groups in trauma center designation level, academic vs. non-academic status, number of trauma surgeons, and bed-size of the treating facilities. There was significant regional variation in the use of STER vs THOR (p=0.0013); with STER more frequently performed in the South (75%) while THOR was more likely to be performed Western states (58%) (Figure 1).

Conclusion: In patients with penetrating cardiac injuries, lower GCS, higher heart rate and ISS were more frequently observed in those who underwent thoracotomy. Geographical location, but not other characteristics of the treating institutions, was associated with variation in the prevalence of the two procedures.

Figure 1. Geographical distribution of trauma centers and frequency of performance of sternotomy and thoracotomy.
**BLUNT AORTIC INJURIES IN THE NEW ERA: POLYTRAUMA RISK ASSESSMENT DICTATES MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

Rachel E. Payne MD, Rachel M. Nygaard Ph.D., Fernandez D. Joss MD, Prateek Sahgal MD, Chad J. Richardson* MD, Mohammad Bashir MD, Kalpaj Paregh MD, Panos N. Vardas MD, Yoshikazu Suzuki MD, Joel Corvera MD, Domenico Calcaterra MD,Ph.D., Hennepin County Medical Center

**Introduction:** Blunt aortic injuries (BAI) are viewed as an indication for immediate surgery. The rise of endovascular aortic repair (EVAR) has dramatically changed the approach to this condition. Recent experience has suggested that some patients may benefit from delayed aortic repair when BAI is associated with other severe injuries. Treatment of multiple injuries needs to be prioritized based on associated risk of mortality. There are currently no guidelines for the optimal timing for aortic repair. The purpose of our study was to identify the risk factors predicting BAI-related mortality in polytrauma patients in order to implement the safest and most effective treatment strategy.

**Methods:** We reviewed blunt aortic injuries from 3 Level I Trauma Centers from July 2008 to December 2016. We analyzed overall and BAI-related 30-day mortality in relation to: hemodynamics on presentation, ISS, timing of treatment (immediate or delayed), procedure (EVAR vs open), and aortic injury grade as defined by the Society of Vascular Surgery. AI grade was dichotomized (AI) as stable grade I-II and unstable grade III-IV. We reviewed all the diagnostic radiology reports and CAT scan images classifying aortic injuries as "Severe" (radiographic severe injury, RSI) which included findings of (1) total/partial transection, (2) active contrast extravasation, and (3) the association of 2 of more of the following: contained contrast extravasation >10 mm, periaortic hematoma and/or mediastinal hematoma >10 mm, or significant left pleural effusion.

**Results:** Of a total of 76 patients (mean age 46, 71% male, median ISS 34), 50 (66%) underwent immediate repair, 24 (31%) delayed aortic repair, and 2 (3%) died prior to repair. 58 patients (76%) had EVAR, while 16 (24%) had open repair. Overall mortality was 18% and BAI-related mortality was 13%. In BAI-related mortalities, 70% of patients had RSI. Patients with high risk of overall mortality had SBP<100, HR≥100, and pressors. AI grade and RSI were not significant predictors for risk of overall mortality. Factors associated with BAI-related mortality included gender, SBP<100, HR≥100, pressors requirement, and RSI.

**Conclusion:** This is the largest survey of BAI in the modern era of EVAR. Imaging findings characterized by RSI are predictive of mortality associated with BAI. Radiologic assessment of the severity of BAI and characterization of the presence of RSI should direct management strategy guiding treatment priorities.
A LARGE-SCALE POPULATION-BASED ANALYSIS OF OUTCOMES AFTER THORACIC AORTIC INJURY REPAIR

Richard Y. Calvo MPH,Ph.D., Vishal Bansal* MD, Casey E. Dunne MPH, Jayraan Badiee MPH, C. Beth Sise MSN, Steven R. Shackford* MD, Michael J. Sise* MD, Scripps Mercy Hospital Trauma Service

Introduction: Despite the increase in endovascular repair of the thoracic aorta (TEVAR) for injury, there is no large-scale outcome assessment. We report perioperative outcomes among California trauma patients who received TEVAR and open repair of the thoracic aorta.

Methods: We evaluated the California Office of Statewide Planning and Development (OSHPD) patient discharge database for calendar years 2007 through 2014. Trauma patients with thoracic aortic injury were identified using ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes and external cause of injury codes. Procedure codes were evaluated for the use of TEVAR or open repair. Outcomes were mortality during the index admission, complications (cardiac, vascular, pulmonary, renal, and neurological), and readmission within 30 days. Two-level logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between both operative methods and each outcome adjusting for age, length of stay, admission year, trauma-related mortality probability, and comorbidity status while accounting for patient clustering by hospital.

Results: Among over 31 million hospitalizations during the study period, 48,357 cases (0.2%) of thoracic aortic disease were identified. Of these, 2,221 (4.6%) were unique trauma patients of whom 344 (15.4%) received operative management (263 underwent TEVAR [76.4%] and 81 [23.6%] received open aortic repair). There were no significant differences in race, sex, or mechanism of injury by operative method. Patients who underwent open repair were older than TEVAR patients (mean age 52.0 vs. 46.8, p = 0.038). There was no significant difference in mortality or 30-day readmission between TEVAR and open repair. Open repair was associated with greater odds for cardiac and neurological complications during the index admission.

Conclusion: Both the incidence and repair of thoracic aortic injuries were low. Importantly, mortality rates by operative method were similar. TEVAR was associated with fewer complications compared with open repair. This suggests that TEVAR, when appropriate, results in significantly lower morbidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEVAR (Reference) vs. Open Aortic Repair</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital Mortality</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.19 – 2.77</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Day Readmission</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.20 – 2.28</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Vein Thrombosis</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.12 – 4.72</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary Complications</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.47 – 3.99</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal Complications</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.81 – 4.16</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine Complications</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.78 – 5.97</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Complications</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>1.59 – 143.60</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological Complications</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.06 – 6.28</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EARLY PREDICTORS OF DAMAGE CONTROL THORACOTOMY

ALBERTO F. GARCIA MD, MARIA P. NARANJO MD, RAMIRO MANZANO MD, CECIBEL CEVALLOS MD, ALVARO I. SANCHEZ ORTIZ MD, Ph.D., CARLOS A. ORDOñEZ MD, JUAN CARLOS PUYANA* MD, Fundacion Valle del Lili

Introduction: Improved care and volume replacement strategies have resulted in more severely injured patients surviving emergency thoracotomies. A significant number of these patients may end up requiring a damage control thoracotomy (DCT). We retrospectively reviewed a series of trauma patients who were submitted to RT in order to identify risk factors associated with DCT.

Methods: Retrospective review in a level I trauma center. Demographics, clinical characteristics, surgical findings, physiologic variables and indication for massive transfusion were evaluated. Early predictors of DCT were identified by multiple logistic (MLR) modeling.

Results: A total of 187 thoracotomies were performed. Seventy one patients died in the operating room from exanguination and are excluded from the analysis. Of the 116 remaining 112, (96.6%) were male. Penetrating injuries occurred in 108 (93.1%). Median age was 27, (IQR 19.5 – 34) years. Median-IQR of RTS and ISS were 7.0 (5.9-7.4) and 17.5 (16-25) respectively. The lungs were injured in 73 (62.1%) patients, the heart in 35 (30.2%) and major vessels in 4 (3.6%). Resuscitative thoracotomy was performed in 18 patients. Packing of different structures was performed in 41 (35.3%), tractotomies in 32 (27.6%) and pulmonary resections in 10 (8.6%), three of them deferred. Temporary closure in DCT was done by skin suture over laparotomy pads in 35 subjects (66.0%). Seventy five patients met criteria for massive transfusion, (64.7%). Extrathoracic DC surgery was performed in 33 subjects (28.5%). Six (9.5%) of the non-DCT and 13 (24.5%) of the DCT died.

The table shows the variable included in the MLR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27 (19.5 – 34)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS &lt;8</td>
<td>20 (17.2%)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiologic Index &lt;3</td>
<td>69 (59.5%)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early indication of DCR*</td>
<td>75 (64.7%)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraoperative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of blood loss (Lt.)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.4 – 3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need of aortic occlusion</td>
<td>23 (19.8%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrathoracic damage control</td>
<td>33 (28.5%)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main lesion in high risk organ**</td>
<td>75 (83.3%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Damage control resuscitation
** Lung AIS ≥3, major vascular, trachea or main bronchus, more than 1 bleeding source

The model had a good discriminative ability (AUROC 0.84, 95% CI 0.77-0.91) and goodness of fit (HL p 0.77).

Conclusion: We identified impaired mentation on admission, amount of blood loss, need for aortic occlusion and main injury in high risk structures as independent predictors of DCT that can be recognized early in the clinical evaluation and during the surgical procedure and may aid in the decision making process.
ASYMPTOMATIC PENETRATING THORACIC TRAUMA: SHOULD COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY REPLACE SERIAL CHEST RADIOGRAPHS FOR EVALUATION?

Michael Mazzei MD, MS, Abhijit Pathak* MD, Thomas Santora* MD, Lars O. Sjoholm MD, Amy J. Goldberg* MD, Joseph Lopez MD, Zoe Maher MD, Leonard L. Mason III, MD, Elizabeth D. Dauer MD, Temple University Hospital

Introduction: The optimal radiographic evaluation of patients with asymptomatic penetrating thoracic trauma remains unclear. Traditionally, serial chest radiographs (CXR) have been used to evaluate for subtle or delayed presentation of thoracic injury, but this approach requires three to six hours of observation in the emergency room and may not detect certain injury complexes. Thoracic computerized tomography (CT) with IV contrast is an attractive alternative that may allow for shorter observation times, and more expeditious diagnosis and treatment of injuries. We hypothesize that thoracic CT with IV contrast is as accurate as and more expeditious than serial CXRs, with added diagnostic capabilities for vascular injury.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all patients with asymptomatic penetrating thoracic trauma at our urban level I trauma center from January 2011 to December 2016. Data was extracted from the trauma registry and the medical record. All patients with a normal initial CXR and no thoracic symptoms were included. Follow-up diagnostic imaging choices were evaluated for sensitivity, specificity and predictive value, and patient outcomes and Emergency Department lengths of stay were compared.

Results: 190 patients met inclusion criteria. 98 (51.5%) underwent thoracic CT with IV contrast, 33 (17.4%) underwent observational management with three-hour CXR, and 59 (31.1%) underwent both modalities. Thoracic CT with IV contrast showed 100% sensitivity and 100% negative predictive value for thoracic injury, with a 91.2% specificity and 81.8% positive predictive value. Additionally, CT revealed four patients with significant vascular injuries requiring urgent intervention that were not appreciated on clinical examination. Negative thoracic CT with IV contrast was 100% predictive of negative 3-hour CXR. A negative CT (n=109) or negative three-hour CXR (n=92) independently predicted discharge without need for intervention in all patients. Patients who were evaluated with CT spent an average of 184 minutes in the ED in comparison to 327 minutes for those undergoing serial CXR, with an average difference of 143 minutes (95% CI 104 – 182, p= <0.0001.)

Conclusion: Thoracic CT scan with IV contrast is an effective tool for evaluating asymptomatic penetrating thoracic trauma. Its adoption would lead to faster diagnosis of significant injuries, rapid recognition of occult vascular trauma, and shorter emergency department length of stay for patients with non-significant injuries. This will help relieve congested urban emergency departments.
IMPACT OF TRAUMA SYSTEM STRUCTURE ON INJURY OUTCOMES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS


Introduction: Injury leads to over 5 million deaths and 100 million temporarily or permanently disabilities every year worldwide. The effectiveness of trauma systems in decreasing injury mortality and morbidity has been well demonstrated. However, the organisation of trauma care varies significantly across trauma systems and little is known about which components of trauma systems contribute to their effectiveness. We aimed to systematically review evidence of the impact of trauma system components on clinically significant injury outcomes including mortality, function and disability, quality of life and resource utilization.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review of studies evaluating the association between trauma system components and injury outcomes. We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane CENTRAL, BIOSIS/Web of Knowledge databases, thesis holdings, key injury organisation Web sites and conference proceedings. Pairs of independent reviewers evaluated studies for eligibility and extracted data from included articles. We classed trauma system components according to recommended elements of trauma system structure published by the American College of Surgeons and the World Health Organization. We calculated pooled effect estimates using inverse-variance random effects models. We evaluated methodological quality using elements of the ROBINS-I tool and quality of evidence was evaluated using GRADE. This review was planned and conducted by members of the International Injury Care Improvement Initiative, a global effort of over 60 injury researchers, harnessing national capabilities in injury control from 30 low, middle and high-income countries.

Results: We screened 14,080 records, retaining 39 studies for qualitative synthesis and 20 for meta-analysis. Twelve of 24 recommended trauma system components were not evaluated on any outcome and 68% of intervention-outcome assessments were based on mortality. The following trauma system components were associated with reduced odds of mortality: pre-hospital triage protocols (OR=0.79; 95%CI=0.68-0.91), helicopter transport (Odds Ratio [OR]=0.70; 95% Confidence Interval [CI]=0.55-0.88), inclusive design (OR=0.72; 95%CI=0.65-0.80), and trauma system maturity (OR=0.76; 95% CI=0.68-0.85). Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) was associated with a significant reduction in hospital length of stay (Mean Difference [MD]=5.7 days; 95% CI=4.4-7.0) but a non-significant decrease in mortality (OR=0.78; 95%CI: 0.44-1.12). Population density of surgeons was associated with a non-significant decrease in mortality rates (MD=0.58, 95%CI=−0.22-1.39). Quality of evidence on mortality was moderate for pre-hospital triage protocols and very low for ATLS and helicopter transport. Quality of evidence on health care utilisation was moderate for ATLS.

Conclusion: Results offer moderate evidence of the effectiveness of pre-hospital triage protocols, low evidence for an inclusive design and trauma system maturity and very low evidence for helicopter transport for reducing injury mortality. Further research should evaluate other recommended components of trauma systems and nonfatal outcomes and explore the impact system component interactions on clinically important outcomes.
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Helicopter versus Ground Ambulance Transported Trauma Patients: Does It Still Improve Patient Outcomes?

Yana Puckett MD, MPH, MS, Hannah Pham BS, Sharmila Dissanaike* MD, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center

**Introduction**: Shorter time to definitive care has often been considered a feature of mature trauma systems, related to the concept of the “Golden Hour”. Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) were introduced to civilians in 1947 to provide expedited transport to trauma centers for patients located in isolated communities. Despite the clear time-saving advantage helicopter ambulances held over ground ambulances in the past, improvements in pre-hospital care over the past decade have created uncertainty as to whether HEMS transport is still associated with better outcomes compared to ground transportation. We used a national trauma database to examine impact of transport times on trauma outcomes in a modern population.

**Methods**: A retrospective review was performed on patients from the National Trauma Data Bank who were transferred via helicopter or ground ambulance in 2014. Demographic information, length of stay (LOS), ventilator days, transport times, emergency department (ED) transport times, and mortality rate were abstracted. Transport times were dichotomized into 2 groups (<60 minutes and ≥ 60 minutes). Chi-Square test was performed to analyze categorical variables, independent t-test was performed to analyze continuous variables. Binary logistic regression model was performed to adjust for confounding variables in analyzing mortality rate between trauma patients transported by helicopter versus ground ambulance. The logistic model was statistically significant, Chi-Square=6444.5, p<0.00001. The model explained 27.0% (Nagelkerke R²) of variance and correctly classified 96.9% of the cases.

**Results**: A total of 792,824 transferred trauma patients were analyzed. After adjusting for confounders (age, ISS Score, trauma severity, gender, ethnicity), trauma patients who were transferred by helicopter were 45.3% less likely to die than those transferred by ground ambulance (95%, CI 0.527-0.568, P<0.0001). Furthermore, HEMS patients had lower mortality rates with transport times <60 minutes (31.9%) and higher mortality rates with transport times >60 minutes (68.1%) (p=0.0001).

**Conclusion**: The results of this study demonstrated that despite improvements in trauma care in recent years, patients had improved survival if transported by helicopter ambulance. Helicopters appeared to decrease mortality in trauma patients, particularly those who could be transported within the “Golden Hour”, as opposed to ground ambulances. The higher level of care provided by helicopter medical personnel and the inherent rapidity of air transport is still associated with better outcomes compared to ground transportation.

---

**Table 1: Outcome Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Variable</th>
<th>HEMS (n=80,669) (%)</th>
<th>Ground Ambulance (n=712,155) (%)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (SD)</td>
<td>39.3 (26.4)</td>
<td>39.9 (40.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male)</td>
<td>51,743</td>
<td>35,473</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>57,135 (70.8%)</td>
<td>420,525 (59.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>6,581 (8.2%)</td>
<td>81,894 (11.5%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>6,824 (8.5%)</td>
<td>59,828 (8.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10,119 (12.5%)</td>
<td>149,908 (21.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ISS Score (SD)</td>
<td>16.0 (48.3)</td>
<td>10.9 (46.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>4,923 (6.1%)</td>
<td>15,879 (22.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LOS in Days (SD)</td>
<td>7.8 (10.3)</td>
<td>5.6 (7.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ICU LOS in Days (SD)</td>
<td>3.0 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Ventilator Days (SD)</td>
<td>0.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>1.16 (5.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation; LOS: Length of Stay; HEMS: Helicopter Emergency Medical Services

**Table 2: Outcome Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Variable (n)</th>
<th>Less than or equal to 60 mins (n=466,659) (%)</th>
<th>Greater than or equal to 60 mins (n=150,562) (%)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Mortality</td>
<td>12,505 (2.3%)</td>
<td>7,241 (4.8%)</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEMS Mortality (4,259)</td>
<td>1,391 (31.9%)</td>
<td>2,968 (68.1%)</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Ambulance Mortality</td>
<td>10,393 (72.9%)</td>
<td>4,273 (27.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min: Minutes; HEMS: Helicopter Emergency Medical Services
MILITARY SURGEONS IN A CIVILIAN SETTING: A COLLABORATIVE EDUCATIONAL MODEL

Brian T. Bentley DO, John S. Bruun* MD, Mary C. McCarthy* MD, John K. Bini* MD, Wright State University

Introduction: Maintaining currency and readiness for military surgeons in peacetime can be challenging. The current trauma experience at some Military Treatment Facilities is insufficient to ensure surgeons maintain the required skillset necessary to deploy to the combat theatre on short notice. To overcome these challenges, the Air Force has explored resource-sharing arrangements with civilian and Veterans Affairs medical centers. This paper will explore the contribution to readiness of a mature relationship between an Air Force Medical Treatment Facility (MTF) and a civilian Level 1 trauma center. Throughout the course of this relationship several staffing models have been tested. Most recently (2014) an active duty trauma surgeon has been embedded at the civilian facility, participating in daily rounds, conferences and call. In this study, we will examine surgical procedures and trauma care performed by active duty surgeons at this institution.

Methods: The Level 1 center’s trauma registry was queried to identify the number of trauma patients with an ISS $\geq 15$ treated by military surgeons working at the facility between 2006 and 2016. The electronic operating room record was queried for all emergency general surgery cases and procedures performed by active duty surgeons 2012-2016.

Results: Sixteen Air Force general surgeons have participated in this relationship at the facility between 2006 and 2016. They resuscitated 520 trauma patients with an ISS $\geq 15$. Of the 520, 153 required immediate major operations by the Air Force surgeons. In addition, military surgeons operated upon 793 emergency general surgery (EGS) patients between 2012 and 2016, where they performed a total of 1481 procedures. The embedded trauma surgeon who started in 2014 resuscitated 125 trauma patients with ISS $\geq 15$, and 63 required emergent surgery. During the same period the embedded surgeon operated on 693 EGS patients, performing 1215 procedures.

Conclusion: The relationship between an Air Force MTF and a civilian trauma center has contributed significantly to meeting deployment readiness requirements of the Air Force. Because of the long-standing relationship, these two facilities have afforded the opportunity to examine different staffing models. The embedded model provides the most extensive benefit to trauma surgical readiness and currency. Continuing and expanding this model to include more military surgeons and facilities has the potential to augment military preparedness. The national impact affects the patients cared for at the civilian institution and improved readiness and currency correlates directly with reduced battlefield mortality for those who put their lives in harm’s way for our nation.
TRAUMA PATIENT FLOW IN NEW YORK STATE PRIOR TO ADOPTION OF AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS-COMMITTEE ON TRAUMA SYSTEM FOR TRAUMA CENTER DESIGNATION

Elinore J. Kaufman MD, MS, M. Kit Delgado MD, MS, David N. Karp MS, Jennifer A. Minneman MD, Philip S. Barie* MBA,MD, Charles C. Branas Ph.D., Robert J. Winchell* MD, New York-Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medicine

Introduction: In 2012, New York State (NYS) adopted the American College of Surgeons-Committee on Trauma (ACS-COT) standards for trauma center (TC) designation, but did not establish a concurrent process for needs assessment. To facilitate assessment of this system-wide change, we analyzed patterns of patient flow prior to implementation. Substantial heterogeneity in geography, population density and TC density across NYS may result in region-specific patterns of TC access and outcomes that have implications for trauma system policy. We hypothesized that upstate (US) TCs would have wider geographic catchment areas than downstate (DS) TCs but similar mortality rates.

Methods: We defined DS as New York City, Long Island, Westchester and Rockland counties and US as the remainder of the state. We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all injured adult NYS residents in 2011 using the State Emergency Department and Inpatient Databases. We compared patient and hospital characteristics, injury severity, diagnoses and mechanisms at US and DS TCs. We used multivariable logistic regression to calculate adjusted odds of mortality. We derived TC catchment maps from patient home zip codes.

Results: The 8 US and 27 DS TCs were similar in hospital ownership, staffing, and academic status. DS TCs saw 82% of all injured patients, but US TCs had higher proportions of patients with ISS > 15 (2.5 vs. 1.1%, p < 0.001). Falls were the most common mechanism and made up 1/3 of injuries in both regions. US patients were more often white and more often had private insurance, and were more likely to live in a low-income area (P<0.001 for all). Median distance from US patients’ home zip code to a TC was 13.5 mi (IQR 4.3-41.6) vs. 2.9 (1.5-8.1) for DS patients (p < 0.001). Catchment areas are as shown in the Figure. Adjusted mortality was equivalent for patients with ISS > 15 but US TCs had higher adjusted mortality for ISS ≤ 15 (5.1 vs. 2.9%, p < 0.001).

Conclusion: US TCs draw on larger geographic areas. Population and case mix differ US to DS. Optimizing NYS trauma system performance may require attention to TC distribution. We anticipate differential interventions and impact of the ACS-COT system by region.
INTRODUCTION: The literature on the financial and operational impact of an Acute Care Surgical service is equivocal. Previously, we examined the impact of a new acute care surgical service on the general surgery services at our institution using several OR management metrics. In this study, we apply productivity benchmarks to the various surgical services impacted by the new program.

METHODS: Using WiseOR® (Palo Alto, CA), we extracted elective time-in-block and after-hours minutes for surgical cases with General Surgery (Blue, White) and Trauma/Critical Care Services (ACS) from October 5, 2014 to September 30, 2015. Blue and Trauma services shared coverage of urgent and emergent general surgical cases before implementation of the ACS service. White service (Surgical Oncology) did not take call and therefore served as an internal control. Starting on October 5, 2015, the ACS team covered all urgent and emergent cases. Total monthly workload was calculated for all three services during the study period by summing the elective time-in-block for all three services. Productivity by month was calculated for each service using the following equation:

Productivity = (workload)/(allocated hours + 1.5*over-utilized time)

Pre- and post-intervention means were calculated for productivity and workload for each group. Monthly allocated hours were calculated from the block schedules before and after implementation. All data was entered into Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA) and analysis performed with Stata 13.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). Significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS: After implementation, group mean monthly workload increased 8.9% (p <0.001). Blue service increased productivity 24.6% (p = 0.005) with no statistically significant change in workload. There was no statistically significant change in White service's workload or productivity. Trauma/ACS service increased workload by 136% (p = 0.048), yet productivity decreased 41.7% (p = 0.019).

CONCLUSION: Overall workload increased for the three surgical services after implementation of the ACS service, hinting at the plausibility of operational efficiencies from the additional staff members available for urgent and emergent surgical cases. The decrease in ACS productivity is interesting for two reasons. First, the ACS service needed to increase workload as well because it had been allocated additional capacity. Second, productivity is inversely correlated with over-utilized time. OR managers should consider productivity to measure the potential financial impact of an ACS service because there may be a limit to the productivity levels for such a capacity-based service.
Introduction: While injury patterns and clinical outcomes of vulnerable road users (VRU; pedestrians and pedal cyclists) involved in motor vehicle collisions (MVC), have been extensively studied, predictors of their discharge to rehabilitation are unknown.

Methods: Analysis of the National Trauma Data Bank from 2014 was performed and included all adult (≥16 years old) VRUs injured in MVC. Data on demographics, clinical characteristics (injury location and severity, comorbidities), treating hospital characteristics, and outcomes (complications, need for post-discharge rehabilitation, and mortality) were compared between pedestrians and pedal cyclists. A logistic regression model, accounting for clustering of patients within trauma centers, was developed using backward selection (α≤0.10) to identify predictors of discharge to rehabilitation (or other care) vs home in these patients.

Results: There were a total of 27,784 VRU: 21,554 (77.6%) pedestrians, and 6230 (22.4%) pedal cyclists. Pedestrians had more severe injuries [mean ISS ± standard deviation (SD) 12.7 ± 11.0 vs. 10.9 ± 9.5], higher risks of complications (29.8% vs 25.1%, p<0.0001), need for post-discharge rehabilitation (34.4% vs. 20.4%, p<0.0001), and greater mortality (6.3% vs. 3.3%, p<0.0001) than pedal cyclists. Discharge disposition data were available for 17,958 of VRU subjects, 5197 (29%) of them were discharged to rehab. In the multivariable regression model, predictors of disposition to rehab vs home were as following: increased age, [adjusted odds ratio (AOR), (95% confidence interval (CI)): 1.25 (1.23-1.27) per 5 year increments], female gender [1.29 (1.18-1.40)], private insurance [1.34 (1.20-1.51)], and number of comorbidities [1.17 (1.13-1.21)]. Although increased abbreviated injury severity scores (AIS; range: 0-6)) of almost all anatomical body regions were significant predictors of the need for rehab, the presence of a lower extremity injury had the highest odds of discharge to rehab [AOR 2.11 (1.73-2.58)]. Geographically, when the South region of the United States was used as the reference, all other regions had higher adjusted odds of discharge to rehab: Midwest 1.66 (1.36-2.02), Northeast 2.11(1.73-2.58), and West 1.43 (1.20-1.71). Nonteaching hospitals were more likely to discharge to rehab than academic/community institutions [1.20 (0.98, 1.47)]. The area under the receiver operating curve (ROC) of the final model was 0.85 (95% CI 0.84-0.85). Race, facial injury severity, trauma center level (I/II vs all others) and adult bed size of the hospital were not found to be the predictors in this model.

Conclusion: Pedestrians and pedal cyclists injured in MVC frequently require post-discharge rehabilitation. Increased age, private insurance, female gender, lower admission GCS, and increasing numbers of comorbidities, along with increased severity of injuries, especially lower extremity injuries, predict the discharge to rehabilitation. Even after adjusting for possible imbalances in patient demographics and injuries, teaching/community hospitals and institutions in the South have reduced odds of discharging VRU patients to rehab.
DISPARITIES IN TRANSFER PATTERNS AND MORTALITY AMONG SEVERELY INJURED PATIENTS TREATED AT NON-TRAUMA CENTERS: A STATEWIDE ANALYSIS

Peter C. Jenkins MD, MSc, Camry Hess MPH, Ben Gayed MD, Teresa M. Bell Ph.D., Ben L. Zarzaur* MD,MPH, Indiana University School of Medicine

Introduction: The transfer of severely injured patients from non-trauma centers to trauma centers is a hallmark of regionalized trauma systems. But clinical information regarding the patient selection process and the outcomes of those who are not selected for transfer has been lacking. The purpose of this study was to assess the transfer practices and patient outcomes at non-trauma centers.

Methods: Using data from the State Department of Health (2013-2015), we identified all adult trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score >15 admitted to non-trauma centers. We identified patients who were transferred to trauma centers and calculated the proportion of transfer patients for each hospital. Hospitals were then divided into quartiles according to their proportion of transfer patients. Multivariate logistic regression models were developed, clustering at the hospital level, to test for differences between the transfer and non-transfer cohorts. To examine in-hospital mortality in the non-transfer cohort, we excluded transfer patients and developed a multivariate logistic regression model, again, clustering at the hospital level.

Results: The study included 1,255 patients from 79 hospitals. The mean hospital proportion of patients transferred to trauma centers was 74% (SD 30%), and the median hospital proportion was 81%. Among the notable findings, older patients (>86 years) were less likely to be transferred than younger patients (15-26 years) (OR 0.40, CI 0.25-0.62); Medicare patients were less likely to be transferred than patients with private insurance (OR 0.47, CI 0.32-0.69); black patients were less likely to be transferred than whites (OR 0.50, CI 0.27-0.94); and patients with burns (OR 9.37, CI 3.64-24.15) and penetrating injuries (OR 2.03, CI 1.03-4.00) were more likely to be transferred than patients who experienced falls. A total of 514 patients were not transferred to trauma centers. Among those patients, mortality was higher among men (OR 2.32, CI 1.25-4.33); mortality was higher in Medicaid patients compared to patients with private insurance (OR 5.26, CI 1.45-19.11); and mortality was higher in patients with penetrating injuries compared with falls (OR 72.52, CI 10.67-492.99). Of note, hospitals that transferred higher proportions of patients to trauma centers had significantly higher mortality rates among the patients who were not transferred.

Conclusion: Significant disparities in patient selection for inter-hospital transfer and clinical outcomes exist among severely injured patients populations admitted to non-trauma centers. Those disparities are based on patient demographics, injury characteristics, and hospital factors.
THE PERFORMANCE OF THE NBATS TOOL IN PREDICTING TRAUMA CENTER NEED OF A MATURE TRAUMA SYSTEM.

Peter E. Fischer* MD, MS, Sharon E. Schiro Ph.D., Michael H. Thomason* MD, Carolinas Medical Center

Introduction: Recent proliferation of trauma centers has pushed the debate of trauma center need to the forefront. In an effort to quantify the need, the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma Needs Based Assessment of Trauma Systems (NBATS) tool was created. The purpose of this study was to examine the performance of the NBATS tool in North Carolina.

Methods: The NBATS tool calculates the trauma center allocation (TCA) per trauma service area. We utilized the NC trauma registry, the NC EMS database, and the NC hospital discharge dataset to calculate the TCA. We assumed no current trauma centers within the trauma service area at both county and regional levels. We then compared to the results of the NBATS tool to the current allocation of centers. We then modified the tool to ease use and more accurately reflect the current center allocation. The weighted kappa (WK) statistic was used to evaluate inter-rater agreement.

Results: NC has 8 trauma regions with 12 total trauma centers (in 2014) of which 9 are level 1/2. The NBATS predicted a need of 17 level 1/2 centers (WK: 0.05). Modifying the NBATS by decreasing total allocation by 1, allowing allocation to be level 1,2, or 3 centers, and eliminating the ISS calculation improved the model fit significantly on the regional level (WK: 0.56). The NBATS tool did not perform well on a county level but was useful in geographic positioning of the centers within a region.

Conclusion: In NC, the original NBATS tool over-predicted the number of level 1&2 trauma centers required. Small modifications in the tool both improved the reliability and ease of use when compared with the current NC trauma system.
GERIATRIC PATIENTS ON ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY AS A CRITERION FOR TRAUMA TEAM ACTIVATION LEADS TO OVER-TRIAGE

Zachary M. Callahan MD, Deepika Koganti MD, Pankaj H. Patel MD, Alec C. Beekley* MD, Patricia Williams Julie Donnelly RN, Murray J. Cohen* MD, Joshua A. Marks MD, Thomas Jefferson University

Introduction: Geriatric patients on antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy are at a theoretical increased risk of significant injury from low impact trauma. Deciding how best to utilize and mobilize limited trauma resources to meet the needs of these patients is challenging. In July 2015, in response to several delays in diagnosis, we amended our trauma team activation guidelines to include all patients over the age of 65 taking antithrombotic agents presenting with any head trauma; many of these patients would not have met traditional activation criteria based on physiology, anatomy, or mechanism alone. We aim to determine whether this practice was a justified use of resources and hypothesize that it resulted in over-triage of patients.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of our institutional trauma database was performed looking at all trauma contacts over the age of 65 who were reported to have been taking antithrombotic agents. The years before and after a policy change redefining trauma team activation criteria at our institution were analyzed and compared. The Student’s T-Test was used for continuous variables and Chi-square or Fisher’s Exact Test (where appropriate) were used for categorical data. P value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: From July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015, our trauma program saw 611 patients over the age of 65 who were taking antithrombotic agents, of which 182 (29.8%) met our lower tier trauma activation criteria. Of the 182 patients seen prior to the new guideline, 163 (89.6%) met Pennsylvania Trauma Outcomes Study (PTOS) criteria and six (3.3%) patients were discharged home from the emergency department (ED) without injury. One patient went to the OR with neurosurgery from the ED without trauma team activation. In the subsequent year after new guideline implementation, we saw 914 patients, of which 529 (57.9%) met our new activation criteria. Of the 529 patients, only 220 (41.6%) met PTOS criteria and 177 (33.5%) were discharged home from the ED without injury. Zero patients went from the ED to OR without trauma team activation. Patients evaluated after our policy change had a significantly higher GCS and lower total ISS. We saw a similar number of patient transfers from our referring sites in the two study years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1:</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma Team Second Tier Activations</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTOS (% Activation)</td>
<td>163 (89.6)</td>
<td>220 (41.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post ED Destination - Home (% Activation)</td>
<td>6 (3.3)</td>
<td>177 (33.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS Head</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers In</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Our change in trauma activation criteria resulted in the trauma team seeing significantly more patients, many of whom had no injuries and were discharged to home from the ED without intervention. There were no significant differences in mortality, length of stay, or discharge disposition for admitted patients between the study years. Further evaluation is needed to determine which of these patients truly benefit from full trauma team activation versus facilitation of rapid head CT alone prior to activation.
AN EVALUATION OF PRE-TRANSFER CRITERIA FOR INTERFACILITY AEROMEDICAL TRANSPORTS IN PREDICTING OVERTRIAGE IN TRAUMA PATIENTS

Kaitlin A. Ritter MD, Brenda M. Zosa MD, Craig Bates MD, Damon Kralovic DO, Jeffrey A. Claridge* MD, MetroHealth Medical Center

Introduction: Aeromedical ambulances provide high cost advanced medical care for patients for whom ground transport may not be feasible, practical, or safe. There are only a few guidelines as to the necessity for this advanced level medical care. Four pre-transfer criteria for interfacility aeromedical transports were instituted at our facility in an attempt to identify the incidence and factors associated with overutilization of this costly resource. The purpose of this study was to identify pre-transfer clinical and injury related factors associated with overtriage in the use of aeromedical transport.

Methods: An analysis of all patients who underwent interfacility transfer to the regional level 1 trauma center via aeromedical service from January 2013-December 2015 was performed. Overtriage, as defined as discharge from the emergency department following transfer, was the primary outcome. Every transfer was reviewed by a panel consisting of two aeromedical ED physicians and a trauma physician to reach a consensus agreement on the criteria of each case. Information including mechanism of injury, patient demographics, outcomes data and pre-transfer clinical factors was obtained via electronic medical records and a prospectively maintained trauma registry.

Results: A total of 726 patients underwent aeromedical interfacility transport during the study period. Mean population age was 46.0 years (SD±24.3), 491 (67.6%) patients were male and blunt mechanism accounted for 81.0% of injuries. Mean ISS was 10.3±8.6. Overtriage was present in 182 (25.1%) of the transfers. Factors associated with overtriage included younger age, male gender, lower ISS, and penetrating mechanism of injury (Table 1). The presence of several individual pre-existing comorbidities was also highly associated with admission to the trauma center. Patients who were overtraiged met a fewer average number of pre-transfer criteria (1.55 v 1.12, p=0.001). Presence of a time sensitive injury and abnormal vital signs including hypotension, abnormal respiratory rate and decreased Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) were associated with appropriate triage. A multivariate logistic regression analysis of key variables available prior to transfer showed younger age (OR 0.98, CI 0.97-0.99), transfer from a non-trauma center (OR 4.86, CI 2.10-11.3) and penetrating injury (OR 2.54, CI 1.49-4.32) as significant independent risk factors for overtriage. Presence of a time sensitive injury (OR 0.25, CI 0.16-0.38), abnormal respiratory rate (OR 0.27, CI 0.08-0.83) and abnormal GCS (OR 0.03, CI 0.003-0.19) were associated with appropriate triage (C statistic = 0.799).

Conclusion: We identified independent risk factors that led to an overall overtriage rate of 25%. Moving forward to reduce this overutilization, criteria for aeromedical transport needs to focus more on vital signs and less on penetrating mechanism of injury.
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Guillermo (Bill) Aragon, M.D. has passed away at his home in Denver on Tuesday February 21, 2017 at the age of 96. Bill is predeceased by his beloved wife of 69 years, Maria de Lourdes and his sons William and Fernando. Bill is survived by his daughter Maria Elena and ten grandchildren. They are in order of birth, Derek, Jonathan, Brent, Ashley, Ryan, Kevin, Alicia, Matthew, Mac and Merrie Claire.
CHARLES ROSS BALES, M.D.

Charles Ross Bales, M.D., passed away at his home, on June 7, 2017, in Erie, Pennsylvania. He was 87.

Dr. Bales received a BS from Cornell University in 1951, a master's degree from Syracuse University in 1957, and his medical degree from the University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry in 1961. In 1966, he was named Chief Resident in General Surgery at Highland Hospital in Rochester, New York, and practiced general surgery from 1967 to 1969. He went on to pursue a plastic surgery residency at the University of Pennsylvania, and in 1971, he settled in Erie, where he built a renowned practice in plastic surgery and raised his family.

Dr. Bales also worked at hospitals throughout the area including Saint Vincent Hospital, the Northwest PA Cleft Palate Institute, Shriners Hospitals for Children, the Erie VA Medical Center, and from July 1975, he was the Chief of the Division of Plastic Surgery at Hamot Medical Center. In the last years of his career, Dr. Bales was a member of the Burn Unit at the State University of New York at Syracuse.

Dr. Bales’s gentle and attentive bedside manner was a hallmark of his professional care.

Dr. Bales made his first of 12 volunteer trips to Haiti in 1967. His service there gave definition and meaning to his life's work. He served as Staff Surgeon at the Hospital Albert Schweitzer at Deschapelles, located in Haiti's Artibonite River Valley, working alongside Dr. William Mellon, Jr. and other volunteers, to serve a rural population stricken with poverty and widespread disease. Throughout his life, Dr. Bales worked to raise awareness for the hospital and its mission.

Among other organizations, Dr. Bales was a member of the Plastic Surgery Society, the Pennsylvania Medical Society, the Robert H. Ivy Society, the American Trauma Society, Pennsylvania division; and the American Burn Association. He also served two terms as a governor of the American College of Surgeons. He was well respected by his colleagues, and received numerous professional accolades.

He is survived by his wife of 52 years, Betterly (nee Osborn); his two sons, Robert W. Bales, M.D. (Mary), and Andrew O. Bales (Rebecca); his grandchildren, Jessica, Jillian, and Jacob; and his nieces. Dr. Bales touched the lives of many as a healer, devoted husband, loving father, and friend. He will be greatly missed by those who had the great privilege to know him.
GEORGE BLACKBURN, M.D., PH.D.

George L. Blackburn, M.D., Ph.D., of Boston, Massachusetts, died at age 81, on February 20, 2017, at his home. He was born on February 12, 1936 to George and Betty Blackburn in McPherson, Kansas. He was the younger brother of Peggy Blackburn Logan and James Blackburn who predeceased him in 1996 and 2013 respectively. Dr. Blackburn is survived by his wife, Susan Kelly Blackburn; their daughter, Vali Blackburn Udin, and her husband, Roman; and two grandchildren, Ari and Nina. Dr. Blackburn is also survived by his first wife, Dona L. Seacat, and their three children: David, Amy, and Matthew Blackburn, and their spouses, Laura, Kirsten, and Michelle; eight grandchildren: Lydia, Camilla, Piper, Isaac, Andrew, Caleb, Henry, and Olivia; and one great grandchild, Lua Kai.

Dr. Blackburn had a direct and major impact across multiple disciplines as a surgeon, clinician, teacher/mentor, researcher, author, and colleague. An innovator and visionary, Dr. Blackburn made countless contributions to the fields of nutrition medicine, hyperalimentation, weight loss, and obesity surgery. A revered figure in the worlds of weight loss surgery, scientific research, medical education and mentorship, and clinical practice, Dr. Blackburn's research led to advances in treatment and patient care.

His many academic leadership roles included the S. Daniel Abraham Chair, Professor of Nutrition Medicine and Associate Director of the Division of Nutrition at Harvard Medical School; the Scientific Director and founder of the Center for the Study of Nutrition Medicine (CSNM) in the Department of Surgery at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC); and Associate Director of the Boston Nutrition Obesity Research Center (BNORC), an NIH-funded research organization that conducts clinical trials in the areas of obesity and nutrition. Over the course of his prolific research career, Dr. Blackburn received eight major grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH). He was recognized and honored by numerous medical associations, colleges, and universities for his life's work as an author, dedicated teacher and mentor, and tireless public health advocate.

In his personal time, at his beloved Racing Beach in Falmouth, Massachusetts, Dr. Blackburn loved his many moments when he was surrounded by members of his immediate and extended family, especially the children. Dr. George Blackburn will be remembered with love and admiration by his family, and by hundreds of friends, colleagues, and by the doctors and scientists he mentored.
GERALD W. MCCULLOUGH, M.D.

Dr. McCullough was born on May 2, 1929 and passed away on Tuesday, September 6, 2016. Dr. McCullough was a resident of Norman, Oklahoma at the time of passing. He graduated from high school. He attended the University of Oklahoma. He served as an Air Force officer. Dr. McCullough was married to Marilyn.
Dr. George Boone "Mae" McMurtrey, died Wednesday, March 30th, 2016, at Close to Home Hospice in Gillette, Wyoming.

Dr. Boone received a Bachelor of Science from the University of Nebraska, and then graduated first in his class from the University of Nebraska Medical School. The Class of 1944 participated in a three-year accelerated program to help provide doctors for World War II—Dr. Boone’s surgical residency was at the University of Chicago, and when it was completed, he volunteered to serve his country.

Dr. Boone entered the Army in 1943 and was assigned to the medical company of the 505th airborne infantry regiment of the 82nd Airborne Division. He received the World War II Victory Medal, the Army of Occupation Medal (Germany), the Parachutist Badge, and the American Theater ribbon.

After returning to the US, he remained in the Army Reserves while he started a surgical practice in Omaha, Nebraska. He was also an Associate Professor for the University of Nebraska Medical School.

In the fall of 1978, he moved is family moved to Gillette, Wyoming, where he started the first Emergency Room for Campbell County Memorial Hospital. He worked as an Emergency Room doctor for 17 years, and he was the County Public Health Officer for 30 years.

During his more than 60 years as a physician, he was a member of the American Medical Association, the American College of Surgeons, the American Society for the Surgery of Trauma, the American Association for Emergency Medicine, and the Wyoming Medical Society. He was also a member of the NRA and the Shriners.

Dr. Boone became interested in politics and was first elected to the Wyoming House of Representatives for House District 52 in 1994, a seat he would hold for ten years. He enjoyed his time in the legislature serving the people, and he cherished the friendships he made.

George was a dedicated and loving family man. He was happiest during family vacations, and liked to share his vast wealth of knowledge on history and geography.

Dr. Boone is survived by his wife, Debra; daughters, Florella (Jerry), Amanda (Iris), and Samantha (Justin); his son, George Gavin; sister, Mary Williams; brothers-in-law, Bob Bruns and John Guethelein (Lora); mother-in-law, Wilma Guethlein; the Ward family; grandchildren, Deanna (Gary), Justin (Tracy), Bailey, Micah, James, Thomas, and Andrew; great-grandchildren, Preston and Megan; and numerous nieces, nephews, and friends.
This world lost a multifaceted talent when COL Janice A. Mendelson, M.D., died peacefully in the early morning of June 25, 2016. Born to Dr. Joseph A. and Anna Mendelson on October 22, 1922, in El Paso, Texas, she spent her early years with her parents in Tientsen, China, where she learned Mandarin and French. Her early introduction to multiculturalism became a theme for the rest of her life.

Dr. Mendelson was one of the first female US Army surgeons to work "out in the boonies" in a M.A.S.H. unit during the Vietnam conflict. She earned the eternal gratitude of many G.I.s for her expertise in mending combat injuries, especially burns. Her stalwart service to her country earned her the Bronze Star. Her fluency in both French and Mandarin proved crucial at times for communicating with the civilian populace.

Upon her return to the United States, Dr. Mendelson continued to serve soldiers with burns and other wounds, and she performed important research as well. She invented an artificial skin to guard burns from infection. Her research extended to other wound treatments as well, and resulted in a dozen scholarly articles published in peer-reviewed medical journals.

Not forgetting her multicultural roots, Dr. Mendelson endowed the International Folk Culture Center, Our Lady of the Lake University’s Culture and Folk Dance Center, located in San Antonio, Texas. She participated there as long as her health allowed. Dr. Mendelson even danced with members of the Center at her 90th birthday party, which was held at The Laurels, where she resided during her final years. She received, and much deserved, the 2013 National Dance Award from T.I.F.D.

Dr. Mendelson will long be remembered for her warm smile and for her intense empathy for humanity. She was a benefactor to many worthy charities and organizations. She will be greatly missed by Eva Thomas and the other caregivers from By Design who assisted her in her declining years. She was a member of the San Antonio Council of Presidents and of the Episcopal Church of the Reconciliation.
Dr. Randall Wayne Powell, native of Richmond, Virginia, passed away on July 25, 2016, at the age of 70.

Dr. Powell earned his medical degree from the Medical College of Virginia in Richmond, Virginia. He completed his residency training in general surgery at the Naval Hospital in San Diego, California, and his fellowship in pediatric surgery at Children's Memorial Hospital in Chicago. He was elected to the Alpha Omega Alpha medical honor society.

Dr. Powell belonged to a very small group of pediatric surgeons who trained to care for both neonates and children with complex congenital defects in addition to attending the different physiology of the growing child.

Dr. Powell served at Northwestern University Medical School in Illinois, the Naval Hospital in San Diego, and at the University of California San Diego School of Medicine. Dr. Powell joined the University of South Alabama (USA) College of Medicine as an assistant professor in 1984. In 1991, he was promoted to professor of surgery and pediatrics, and he served in that role until he retired in 2009. He was also the director of the division of pediatric surgery and professor of physician assistant studies.

Dr. Powell touched the lives of countless medical students, residents, and patients through his work. He was equally passionate about the care of pediatric patients and the training of surgical residents. He expected and demanded excellence in all aspects of patient care, and throughout his career, he invested considerable time and effort in the education, mentoring, and training of both medical students and residents.

Dr. Powell was a Fellow of the American College of Surgeons and the American Academy of Pediatrics. He was involved in several professional organizations including the American Pediatric Surgical Association, the Pacific Association of Pediatric Surgeons, the Association for Academic Surgery, the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma, the Eastern Association for Surgery of Trauma, the Southeastern Surgical Congress, the Society of Critical Care Medicine, the Southern Medical Association, and the Association of Military Surgeons of the United States.

Dr. Powell is survived by his wife of 47 years, Nola Rice Powell; their children, Kendall Douglas Powell (Holly) and Julie Powell Edwards (Chris); grandchildren, Silas Bryan-Powell, Jackson Edwards, Bennett Edwards, Ryland Edwards, and Ella Francis Edwards; brother, Kenneth Allen Powell (Deborah); and nieces, nephews, and cousins.
HERBERT J. ROBB, M.D.

Herbert J., MD July 10, 2017. Loving husband of Mary Grace for 70 years. Dear father of Eric (Elaine), Jeffrey (Kimberly), Leslie Petrasky (John), Lynne Irwin (David) and David (Michele). Also 14 grandchildren and 3 great grandchildren. Predeceased by his brother Paul (Florence).
save the date
2018
September 26-29

The 77th Annual Meeting of AAST and
the 4th World Trauma Congress
San Diego, CA
### 76th Annual Meeting of AAST and Clinical Congress of Acute Care Surgery

**Baltimore, MD September 13-16, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TUE. 9/12/2017</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 4:30 PM</td>
<td>AAST Board of Managers Meeting</td>
<td>Essex A &amp; B, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 3:30 PM</td>
<td>R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Tours</td>
<td>Bus Departs from SE Entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM – 6:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEDS. 9/13/2017</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6:30 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 11:30 AM</td>
<td>Optional Session: ACS-MOC</td>
<td>Dover, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 11:30 AM</td>
<td>Optional Session: Military Trauma Systems</td>
<td>Grand 1 &amp; 2, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 11:00 AM</td>
<td>Optional Session: Pediatric Trauma Symposium</td>
<td>Essex, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM – 11:00 AM</td>
<td>Optional Session: The John Hopkins Hospital History of Surgery Tour</td>
<td>Bus Departs from SE Entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM – 11:30 PM</td>
<td>Optional Session: MITC Session</td>
<td>Kent, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 PM – 1:00 PM</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 PM – 3:40 PM</td>
<td>Session I: Plenary Papers 1–8</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:40 PM – 4:10 PM</td>
<td>Session II: Master Surgeon Lecture: David Hoyt, MD</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:10 PM – 5:25 PM</td>
<td>Session III: Panel: How to Build a &quot;STOP THE BLEED&quot; Program</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM – 7:50 PM</td>
<td>Session IV: Poster Session &amp; Exhibit Hall Opening</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 PM – 8:30 PM</td>
<td>JTACS Editorial Meeting</td>
<td>Grand 1 &amp; 2, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM – 10:00 PM</td>
<td>JTACS Event</td>
<td>Invite Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THURS. 9/14/2017</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Exhibits</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 4:00 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Acute Care Surgery Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Heron, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Coding/Reimbursement Ad Hoc Committee</td>
<td>Falkland, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Critical Care Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Galena, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Disaster Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Dover, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>International Relations Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Boardroom, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Journals Oversight Ad Hoc Committee</td>
<td>Grand 3 &amp; 4, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Prevention Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Essex, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Publications and Communication Committee Meeting</td>
<td>James, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Residents/Students/In-Training Fellows Breakfast (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Grand 1 – 2, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 9:10 AM</td>
<td>Session V: Papers: 9-13 Canizaro Session</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:10 AM – 9:40 AM</td>
<td>Session VI: Scholarship Presentations</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:40 AM – 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Break in Exhibit Hall</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 AM – 12:30 PM</td>
<td>Session VIII: Presidential Address: Raul Coimbra, MD, PhD</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 PM – 1:45 PM</td>
<td>Lunch Sessions 1–6 (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Various Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 PM – 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Break in Exhibit Hall</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM – 5:00 PM</td>
<td>Session IXA: Papers: 18–26 Trauma Systems</td>
<td>Grand 6 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM – 5:00 PM</td>
<td>Session IXB: Papers: 27–35 Critical Care/Neurotrauma</td>
<td>Grand 5, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 PM – 9:30 PM</td>
<td>President’s Dinner</td>
<td>Invite Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRI. 9/15/2017</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Exhibits</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>ACS Program Directors Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Grand 3 &amp; 4, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Education/CME Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Laurel C &amp; D, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Geriatric Trauma Committee Meeting</td>
<td>James, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Injury Assessment and Outcome Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Heron, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Military Liaison Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Essex, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Multi-Institutional Trials Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Dover, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>Pediatric Trauma Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Kent, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>R&amp;E Fund Ad Hoc Committee</td>
<td>Laurel A &amp; B, 4th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM – 7:30 AM</td>
<td>International Attendee Breakfast (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Grand 1 &amp; 2, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 8:00 AM</td>
<td>Session X: Master Surgeon Lecture; Ari Leppaniemi, MD, PhD</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 11:00 AM</td>
<td>Session XI: Papers 36–44 Outcomes/Guidelines</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 AM – 11:15 AM</td>
<td>Break in Exhibit hall</td>
<td>Harborbide Ballroom &amp; Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM – 12:15 PM</td>
<td>Session XII: Fitts Lecture: Ronald Maier, MD</td>
<td>Grand 5 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>Lunch Sessions 7–12 (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Various Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 4:50 PM</td>
<td>Session XIIIa: Papers: 45–54 Preclinical/Translational Science</td>
<td>Grand 6 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 4:50 PM</td>
<td>Session XIIIb: Papers: 55–64 Outcomes/Guidelines</td>
<td>Grand 5, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 PM – 6:30 PM</td>
<td>AAST Grand Business Meeting (AAST Members Only)</td>
<td>Grand 1 – 4, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 PM – 8:00 PM</td>
<td>Reception</td>
<td>Grand Foyer West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM – 10:00 PM</td>
<td>Banquet (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Grand 5 &amp; 6, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAT. 9/16/2017</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 8:00 AM</td>
<td>New Fellows Breakfast (Ticketed Event)</td>
<td>Dover, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Registration (if needed)</td>
<td>Grand Registration, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Grand 5, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Session XIV: Papers 65–67 Sunrise Session</td>
<td>Grand 6 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:05 AM – 10:33 AM</td>
<td>Session XV: Quick Shots Session I 1 – 13</td>
<td>Grand 6 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:33 AM – 10:42 AM</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>Grand Foyer West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:42 AM – 12:00 PM</td>
<td>Session XVI: Quick Shots Session II 14–26</td>
<td>Grand 6 – 10, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Speaker Ready Room:**
- Tuesday, September 12th – 2:00 PM – 6:30 PM
- Wednesday, September 13th – 6:30 AM – 5:30 PM
- Thursday, September 14th – 6:30 AM – 5:00 PM
- Friday, September 15th – 6:30 AM – 5:00 PM
- Saturday, September 16th – 7:00 AM – 11:00 AM