Isolated blunt pancreatic trauma: A benign injury?

Stefano Siboni, MD, Edward Kwon, MD, Elizabeth Benjamin, MD, Kenji Inaba, MD, and Demetrios Demetriades, MD, PhD, Los Angeles, California

AAST Continuing Medical Education Article

Accreditation Statement

This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essential Areas and Policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through the joint providership of the American College of Surgeons and the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma. The American College Surgeons is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™

The American College of Surgeons designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Of the AMA PRA Category 1 $Credit^{TM}$ listed above, a maximum of 1 credit meets the requirements for self-assessment.

Credits can only be claimed online



AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

Inspiring Quality: Highest Standards, Better Outcomes

100+years

Objectives

After reading the featured articles published in the *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, participants should be able to demonstrate increased understanding of the material specific to the article. Objectives for each article are featured at the beginning of each article and online. Test questions are at the end of the article, with a critique and specific location in the article referencing the question topic.

Claiming Credit

To claim credit, please visit the AAST website at http://www.aast.org/ and click on the "e-Learning/MOC" tab. You must read the article, successfully complete the post-test and evaluation. Your CME certificate will be available immediately upon receiving a passing score of 75% or higher on the post-test. Post-tests receiving a score of below 75% will require a retake of the test to receive credit.

Disclosure Information

In accordance with the ACCME Accreditation Criteria, the American College of Surgeons, as the accredited provider of this journal activity, must ensure that anyone in a position to control the content of *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* articles selected for CME credit has disclosed all relevant financial relationships with any commercial interest. Disclosure forms are completed by the editorial staff, associate editors, reviewers, and all authors. The ACCME defines a 'commercial interest' as "any entity producing, marketing, re-selling, or distributing health care goods or services consumed by, or used on, patients." "Relevant" financial relationships are those (in any amount) that may create a conflict of interest and occur within the 12'months preceding and during the time that the individual is engaged in writing the article. All reported conflicts are thoroughly managed in order to ensure any potential bias within the content is eliminated. However, if you'perceive a bias within the article, please report the circumstances on the evaluation form.

Please note we have advised the authors that it is their responsibility to disclose within the article if they are describing the use of a device, product, or drug that is not FDA approved or the off-label use of an approved device, product, or drug or unapproved usage.

Disclosures of Significant Relationships with Relevant Commercial Companies/Organizations by the Editorial Staff

Ernest E. Moore, Editor: PI, research support and shared U.S. patents Haemonetics; PI, research support, TEM Systems, Inc. Ronald V. Maier, Associate editor: consultant, consulting fee, LFB Biotechnologies. Associate editors: David Hoyt and Steven Shackford have nothing to disclose. Editorial staff: Jennifer Crebs, Jo Fields, and Angela Sauaia have nothing to disclose."

Author Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Reviewer Disclosures

The reviewers have nothing to disclose.

Cost

For AAST members and *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* subscribers there is no charge to participate in this activity. For those who are not a member or subscriber, the cost for each credit is \$25.

System Requirements

The system requirements are as follows: Adobe® Reader 7.0 or above installed; Internet Explorer® 7 and above; Firefox® 3.0 and above, Chrome® 8.0 and above, or Safari™ 4.0 and above.

Questions

If you have any questions, please contact AAST at 800-789-4006. Paper test and evaluations will not be accepted.

Submitted: March 30, 2016, Revised: June 6, 2016, Accepted: June 10, 2016, Published online: August 18, 2016.
From the Division of Acute Care Surgery, Department of Surgery (S.S., E.W., E.B., K.I., D.D.), University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.
Address for reprints: Demetriades MD, PhD, LAC + USC Medical Center, 1200 N State St, Los Angeles, CA 90033; email: demetria@usc.edu.

DOI: 10.1097/TA.0000000000001224

J Trauma Acute Care Surg Volume 81, Number 5 BACKGROUND: Blunt pancreatic trauma is rare, and the reported mortality is high. The true outcomes in isolated pancreas trauma are not known,

and the optimal management according to injury severity is controversial. The present study evaluated the incidence, outcomes,

and optimal management of isolated blunt pancreatic injuries.

METHODS: National Trauma Data Bank study, including patients with blunt pancreatic trauma. Patients with major associated injuries or other

severe intra-abdominal injuries were excluded. Patients' demographics, vital signs on admission, Abbreviated Injury Scale for each body area, Injury Severity Score (ISS), and therapeutic modality were extracted. Mortality and hospital length of stay were strat-

ified according to the severity of pancreatic injury and therapeutic modality.

RESULTS: There were 388,137 patients with blunt abdominal trauma. Overall, 12,112 patients (3.1%) sustained pancreatic injury. Isolated

pancreatic injury occurred in 2,528 (0.7%) of all abdominal injuries or 20.9% of pancreatic injuries. Most injuries were low-grade Organ Injury Scale ((OIS) score of 2, 82.7%) with only a small percentage of higher-grade injuries (OIS score of 3, 7.9%; OIS score of 4, 3.9%; and OIS score of 5, 5.5%). Overall, most patients (74.1%) were managed nonoperatively. Nonoperative management was selected in 80.5% of pancreas OIS score of 2, 48.5% of OIS score of 3, and 40.9% of OIS scores of 4 to 5. The overall mortality rate was 2.4%, while in severe pancreatic trauma it was 3.0%. In minor pancreatic trauma, nonoperative management was associated with lower mortality and shorter hospital length of stay than operative management. However, in the group of patients with severe pancreatic trauma (OIS scores, 4–5) nonoperative management was associated with higher mortality and

longer hospital stay than definitive operative management of the pancreas.

CONCLUSIONS: The mortality in isolated pancreatic trauma is low, even in severe injuries. Nonoperative management of minor pancreatic injuries is associated with lower mortality and shorter hospital stay than operative management. However, in severe trauma, nonoperative

management is associated with higher mortality and longer hospital stay than operative management. (J Trauma Acute Care Surg.

2016;81: 855–859. Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.)

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Prognostic study, level III; therapeutic study, level IV.

KEY WORDS: Isolated blunt pancreatic trauma; optimal management; outcomes.

P ancreatic injury is a relatively rare injury with an incidence of approximately 2% to 12% after abdominal trauma. ¹⁻⁴ The reported associated mortality, however, is as high as 20%. ¹⁻⁵ Blunt trauma to the pancreas is often associated with other intra-abdominal and/or extra-abdominal injuries, ⁶⁻¹⁰ making it difficult to ascertain the true incidence of morbidity and mortality attributable to the pancreatic injury alone. The purpose of this study was to assess the incidence, severity, outcomes, and optimal management strategies of isolated blunt pancreatic injuries, using the National Trauma Data Bank.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

After institutional review board approval, the National Trauma Data Bank database was reviewed from 2007 to 2012. All patients with blunt abdominal injury (Abbreviated Injury Scale [AIS], abdomen ≥ 1) were extracted, and patients with pancreatic injury were selected using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision codes 863.81 to 863.84. Patients who sustained major associated injuries (head, chest, and extremity injuries, AIS > 3) and other severe intra-abdominal injuries (Organ Injury Scale [OIS] \geq 3) were excluded to yield the study population. Patients' demographics, mechanism of injury, level of admitting trauma center, vital signs on admission, AIS for each body area, and Injury Severity Score (ISS) were abstracted. The primary outcome was mortality. The secondary outcome was hospital length of stay (LOS). Study groups were based on the organ-specific injury severity (pancreatic OIS) and management of the pancreatic injury (operative versus nonoperative). The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma OIS for the pancreas is shown in Table 1.

Continuous variables were converted to dichotomous variables using clinically relevant cut points (age \geq 55 years, systolic blood pressure \leq 90 mm Hg, heart rate \geq 110 beats per minute, and ISS \geq 16). Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2

test or the Fisher exact rest and continuous variables were compared using the Student *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney rank sum test. The primary outcome was further analyzed using backward stepwise likelihood ratio logistic regression models. Clinically important predictor variables were correlated with mortality. A *p* value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, version 20.0, (SPSS Inc, Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

During the study period 3,456,098 blunt trauma patients were entered into the National Trauma Data Bank database. Of these, 388,137 had abdominal injuries. Overall, 12,112 patients (3.1%) sustained pancreatic injury, with isolated pancreatic injury occurring in only 2,528 patients (0.7% of all abdominal injuries and 20.9% of pancreatic injuries).

The epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of the study patients are shown in Table 2. Overall, 833 patients (34.4%) had severe trauma, as defined by ISS > 15. Median ISS and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores were 9 and 15, respectively, with very few patients presenting with hypotension (systolic blood pressure \leq 90 mm Hg: n = 166 [6.8%]) or GCS \leq 8 (n = 200 [8.4%]). The

TABLE 1. American Association for the Surgery of Trauma OIS for the Pancreas

- I Hematoma. Minor contusion without duct injury. Laceration. Superficial laceration without duct injury
- II Hematoma. Major contusion without duct injury or tissue loss. Laceration. Major laceration without duct injury or tissue loss
- III Laceration. Distal transection or parenchymal injury with duct injury
- IV Laceration. Proximal transection or parenchymal injury with duct injury
- V Laceration. Massive destruction of pancreatic head

TABLE 2. Demographics

Demographics, Clinical Presentation, and Mechanism of Injury	Blunt Isolated Pancreatic Injurio (n = 2528)
Age, median [IQR], y	24 [31]
Male, n (%)	1,592 (63.0)
SBP ≤ 90 mm Hg, n (%)	166 (6.8)
ISS score, median [IQR]	9 [12]
ISS score ≥ 15, n (%)	833 (34.4)
GCS ≤ 8, n (%)	200 (8.4)
MVC	1,038 (41.1)
AVP	359 (14.2)
Bicycle	331 (13.1)
Fall	309 (12.2)
Other	306 (12.1)
MCC	132 (5.2)
Assault	53 (2.1)

AVP, auto versus pedestrian; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; HR, heart rate; IQR, interquartile range; ISS, Injury Severity Score; MCC, motor cycle crash; MVC, motor vehicle crash; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

most common mechanism of injury leading to isolated blunt pancreatic injury was motor vehicle collision (41.1%; Table 2).

In patients with isolated blunt pancreatic trauma, most injuries were low-grade (OIS score of 2: n = 2,091 [82.7%]) with only a small percentage of higher-grade injuries (OIS score, 3: n = 200 [7.9%]; OIS score, 4: n = 98 [3.9%]; OIS score, 5: n = 139 [5.5%]).

Most (82.2%) of the patients were treated at Level 1 (1,418 cases) or 2 (590 cases) trauma centers, with only 107 patients treated at level 3 or 4 centers. In 344 patients (13.6%), the data on level of trauma center were missing. Level I centers were significantly more likely to treat severe pancreatic injuries (OIS scores, 4–5) than level 2 centers (9.8% vs 6.4%; p = 0.001).

Overall, 1,874 patients (74.3%) were managed nonoperatively. A total of 654 patients (25.9%) underwent an operation, including 59 pancreatic repairs (2.3%), 152 partial pancreatectomies (6.0%), and 12 total pancreatectomies (0.4%). Exploratory laparotomy without pancreatic specific operation was performed in 431 patients (17.0%). A total of 60 patients (2.4%) had an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), and 60 patients (2.4%) had a percutaneous drainage procedure.

The type of procedures performed according to pancreatic injury severity is shown in Table 3. In minor injuries (OIS score, 2), although 19.5% underwent a laparotomy, only 4.2% had a pancreatic procedure performed. Percutaneous drainage

TABLE 3. Management by Pancreas Injury Severity (OIS)

			•	
	OIS 2 (n = 2,091)	OIS 3 (n = 200)	OIS 4-5 (n = 237)	p
Total operations, n (%)	407 (19.5)	103 (51.5)	140 (59.1)	< 0.001
Only laparotomy, n (%)	318 (15.2)	58 (29.0)	55 (23.2)	< 0.001
Pancreatic repair, n (%)	38 (1.8)	8 (4.0)	13 (5.5)	< 0.001
Partial pancreatectomy, n (%)	50 (2.4)	36 (18.0)	66 (27.8)	< 0.001
Total pancreatectomy, n (%)	1 (0.0)	2 (1.0)	9 (3.8)	< 0.001
Nonoperative management	1,684 (80.5)	97 (48.5)	97 (40.9)	< 0.001

in this group of patients was required in only 1.3%. In moderate or severe injuries (OIS scores, 3–5), a laparotomy was performed in 55.6% of patients, but only 30.6% had a pancreas-specific operation (repair or resection). In the group of 237 patients with very severe pancreatic injuries (OIS scores, 4–5), most patients (59.1%) were managed operatively, with the most common procedure being a partial pancreatectomy (27.8%). Only 3.8% of the 237 patients with severe pancreatic trauma (OIS scores, 4–5) or 0.4% of all cases with pancreatic trauma underwent total pancreatectomy.

Operative management versus nonoperative management in severe pancreas injuries (OIS scores, 4–5) was similar between Level 1 and Level 2 trauma centers (operative, 60.7% vs 65.8%; nonoperative, 39.3% vs 34.2%, respectively; p = 0.708).

The overall mortality rate was 2.4% (60 deaths), and in the group of patients with severe pancreas trauma (OIS scores, 3–5), the mortality rate was 3.0%. The median hospital stay ranged from 9 days (interquartile range [IQR], 9) in minor trauma (OIS score, 2) to 11 [IQR, 12] in severe trauma (OIS score, 5). Analysis of the 47 patients with pancreas OIS score of 2 who died showed that 13 patients (27.7%) were older than 70 years, 34 (72.3%) had a chest AIS score of 3, 13 (27.7%) had a head AIS score of 3, and 5 (10.6%) had an extremity AIS score of 3.

Table 4 shows mortality and hospital LOS according to pancreatic injury severity and type of management. In minor pancreatic trauma (OIS score, 2), nonoperative management had significantly lower mortality and shorter hospital LOS than patients treated with operation. In patients with moderate injuries (OIS score, 3) the mortality and median hospital LOS were 1.2% and 8 days for nonoperatively managed patients and 5.2% and 14 days for those managed with an operation. In severe injuries (OIS scores, 4–5), the mortality rate was 6.9%, and the median hospital stay was 10 days in nonoperatively managed patients, and 5.6% and 11 days for those managed with laparotomy alone. In the group of patients with a pancreatic procedure, there was no mortality, and the median hospital stay was 10 days.

A logistic regression analysis was performed to detect the variables independently correlated with mortality in our population. Age, male sex, OIS, operative treatment, and ISS were included in the model. As shown in Table 5, age, operative

TABLE 4. Outcomes According to Pancreas Injury Severity and Management

	Mortality, n (%)	LOS, median (IQR), d
Pancreas OIS $2,n = 2,091$	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001
Treated nonoperatively, $n = 1,684$	25 (1.6)	5.0 (7.0)
Treated with laparotomy alone, $n = 318$	18 (5.8)	11.0 (14.0)
Pancreas procedure (repair or resection), n = 89	4 (4.6)	12.0 (13.0)
Pancreas OIS $3,n = 200$	p = 0.202	<i>p</i> < 0.001
Treated nonoperatively, $n = 97$	1 (1.2)	8.0 (12.0)
Treated with laparotomy alone, $n = 58$	3 (5.2)	16.5 (14.0)
Pancreas procedure (repair or resection), $n = 45$	0 (0.0)	11.0 (8.0)
Pancreas OIS $4-5, n = 237$	p = 0.032	p = 0.001
Treated nonoperatively, $n = 97$	6 (6.9)	10.0 (14.0)
Treated with laparotomy alone, $n = 55$	3 (5.6)	16.0 (19.0)
Pancreas procedure (repair or resection), n = 85	0 (0.0)	10.0 (7.0)

TABLE 5. Multivariate Analysis

	p-value			95% CI	
		OR	Lower	Upper	
Male	0.331	0.738	0.400	1.362	
Age	< 0.001	1.054	1.035	1.065	
OIS Pancreas 2	0.414				
OIS Pancreas 3	0.184	1.864	0.743	4.675	
OIS Pancreas 4-5	0.491	1.610	0.415	6.252	
Operative treatment	0.013	2.145	1.178	3.906	
ISS	< 0.001	1.124	1.088	1.161	

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

treatment, and ISS were independently associated with mortality with an odds ratio of 1.054, 2.145, and 1.124, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Pancreatic injuries following blunt trauma are rare because of the well-protected position of the pancreas in the retroperitoneum. Most series report an incidence of less than 2% of all blunt trauma cases.^{5,7,11} A more precise calculation of the incidence is to use blunt abdominal trauma as the denominator, not all blunt trauma cases, which may not involve the abdomen. The current study showed that pancreatic injury following blunt abdominal trauma, occurs in 3.1% of the cases. Isolated pancreatic injury is even rarer, occurring in only 0.7% of all abdominal injuries. Approximately 20 % of these injuries were isolated, with no other significant intraabdominal injuries. Other small studies reported isolated pancreatic trauma in approximately 30% of pancreatic injuries. 12 Another interesting epidemiological finding of the present study is that most blunt pancreatic injuries are minor. Overall, 83% of injuries had a low American Association for the Surgery of Trauma OIS score (2). Fewer than 10% of the patients had severe trauma, as defined by an OIS score of 4 or 5.

The optimal management of blunt pancreatic injuries is controversial and based on small retrospective studies and personal experience. There are no randomized studies addressing this issue. ¹³ Based on the available class III evidence, the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma recommended drainage for Grade 1 and Grade 2 injuries and resection with drainage for Grade 3 or higher. ¹⁴

A few studies, especially in pediatric patients, suggest that low-grade injuries (OIS score of 1 or 2) can safely be managed nonoperatively. Nonoperative management should be considered only in hemodynamically stable patients without evidence of peritonitis. Patients selected for nonoperative management should be closely monitored clinically, repeated computed tomography or ultrasound, and serial pancreatic enzymes. ^{11,15,16} Additionally, Keller et al., ¹⁷ in a National Pediatric Trauma Registry study, reported successful nonoperative management in approximately 80% of low-grade injuries. Subsequent limited experience in adult blunt trauma patients confirmed the safety of a nonoperative approach. ¹⁸

The management of more complex injuries is a matter of significant controversy. Most studies suggest that all patients

with significant pancreatic trauma (OIS scores of 3–5) should undergo an operation. ^{18,19} The operative approach can consist of laparotomy with drainage of the peripancreatic area, various degrees of pancreatic resection and pancreatic repair, depending on the severity and site of pancreatic injury.

However, some recent small series and case reports suggested that nonoperative management of carefully selected patients with severe blunt pancreatic trauma may be an acceptable and safe option. Hamidian et al. reported in a literature review of 39 patients with major pancreatic duct transection treated surgically and 12 patients who were conservatively managed with combined expectant and image-guided percutaneous procedures if needed. The authors concluded that both operative and nonoperative management of major blunt pancreatic injuries are acceptable and have similar complication rates and that the management of these patients should be determined by the clinical condition.²⁰ Additionally, Mercantini et al.²¹ reported a case with Grade 4 blunt pancreatic trauma involving complete pancreatic transection to the right of the superior mesenteric vessels successfully managed nonoperatively. A similar case was reported by Bharati et al.²² Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with placement of a stent in the injured pancreatic duct has been used as an adjunct of the nonoperative approach, although the experience is still very limited to a few cases.^{23–25}

The current study showed some interesting practices in the management of blunt pancreatic trauma. Nonoperative management was selected in a significant number of patients, even in those with major pancreatic trauma. Overall, 48.5% of patients with pancreatic trauma OIS score of 3 and 40.9% of cases with OIS scores of 4 to 5 were selected for nonoperative management. In those undergoing an operation, laparotomy with drainage was the most common procedure (65.9% of operations), followed by partial pancreatectomy (23.2% of operations), and pancreatic repair (9.0%). Total pancreatectomy accounted for only 1.8% of all procedures or 0.4% of cases with pancreatic trauma.

In minor pancreatic trauma (OIS score of 2), nonoperative management seems to be superior to the operative management. The mortality rate was significantly lower than that in patients treated with laparotomy alone or pancreatic resection/repair (1.6% vs 5.8% vs 4.6%, respectively). Additionally, the hospital LOS was significantly shorter in the nonoperative group (5 [7] days vs 11 [14] days vs 12 [13] days, respectively). However, in the group of patients with severe trauma (OIS scores of 4–5) operative management was associated with significantly better survival and shorter hospital stay than those treated nonoperatively or with laparotomy alone. There was no difference in the incidence of operative versus nonoperative management between Level 1 and Level 2 trauma centers.

The overall mortality rate of 2.5% in this series is significantly lower than those of previous studies, which have found rates of up to 20% in blunt pancreatic injuries. ^{1–5} This difference is attributed to the fact that in previous studies, mortality included patients with blunt pancreatic injury and other associated intra-abdominal injuries, namely, associated abdominal vascular injuries, which accounted for most deaths attributed to pancreatic injury. ^{2,26,27} This is the first large study to examine mortality in isolated blunt pancreatic injury. Another possible explanation for the lower mortality in this series is the extensive use of nonoperative management, which is associated with

improved outcomes in lower-grade injuries. When adjusted for possible confounders in a regression model that included also age, pancreas OIS and ISS scores, operative management was found to be independently associated with mortality, with an odds ratio of 2.145. These results could be explained with the high rate of minor injuries in our population, better managed nonoperatively.

In conclusion, pancreatic injury in blunt abdominal trauma is rare. Isolated pancreatic injury occurs in less than 1% of all abdominal trauma and in approximately 20% of all pancreatic injuries. A significant number of American surgeons practice nonoperative management, even in patients with severe pancreatic injuries. Nonoperative management of pancreatic injuries with an OIS score of 2 (hematoma, major contusion, or major laceration without tissue loss or duct injury) is associated with lower mortality rate and shorter hospital stay than operative management. However, in patients with severe trauma (OIS scores of 4–5), nonoperative management is associated with higher mortality than definitive operative management of the pancreas. The mortality in isolated pancreatic trauma is very low, even in severe injuries.

AUTHORSHIP

All authors contributed to the design of this study. S.S. conducted the literature search and the data collection. D.D., E.K., E.B., K.I. and S.S. analyzed and interpreted the data. D.D., E.B. and S.S. wrote and edited the manuscript for submission.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Asensio JA, Demetriades D, Hanpeter DE, Gambaro E, Chahwan S. Management of pancreatic injuries. Curr Probl Surg. 1999;36(5):325–419.
- Bradley EL. Diagnosis and initial management of blunt pancreatic trauma: guidelines from a multiinstitutional review. Ann Surg. 1998;227(6):861–869.
- Chrysos E, Athanasakis E, Xynos E. Pancreatic trauma in the adult: current knowledge in diagnosis and management. *Pancreatology*. 2002;2(4):365–378.
- Wilson RH, Moorehead RJ. Current management of trauma to the pancreas. Br J Surg. 1991;78(10):1196–1202.
- Kao LS, Bulger EM, Parks DL, Byrd GF, Jurkovich GJ. Predictors of morbidity after traumatic pancreatic injury. J Trauma. 2003;55(5):898–905.
- Akhrass R, Yaffe MB, Brandt CP, Reigle M, Fallon WF Jr, Malangoni MA. Pancreatic trauma: a ten-year multi institutional experience. *Am Surg.* 1997; 63(7):598–604.
- Cirillo RL, Koniaris LG. Detecting blunt pancreatic injuries. J Gastrointest Surg. 2002;6(4):587–598.
- Jurkovich GJ, Carrico CJ. Pancreatic trauma. Surg Clin North Am. 1990;70: 575–593.

- Jurkovich GJ. The duodenum and pancreas. In: Mattox KL, Feliciano DV, Moore EE, eds. Trauma. 4th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2000:735–762.
- 10. Stone HH, Fabian TC, Satiani B, Turkleson ML. Experiences in the management of pancreatic trauma. *J Trauma*. 1981;21(4):257–262.
- Debi U, Kaur R, Prasad KK, Sinha SK, Sinha A, Singh K. Pancreatic trauma: a concise review. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19(47):9003–9011.
- Demetriades D, Schnüriger B, Barmparas B. Pancreatic Trauma. In: D'Angelica M, Poston GJ, Adam R, eds. Surgical Management of Hepatobiliary & Pancreatic Disorders, 2nd ed. London, UK: Informa Healthcare; 2010:463–469.
- Haugaard MV, Wettergren A, Hillingsø JG, Gluud C, Penninga L. Nonoperative versus operative treatment for blunt pancreatic trauma in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(2):CD009746.
- 14. Bokhari F, Phelan H, Holevar M, Brautigam R, Collier B, Como JJ, Clancy K, Cumming JK, Cullinane D, Smith L, on behalf of the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma Practice Management and Guidelines. EAST Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Pancreatic Trauma. EAST website. Available at: http://www.east.org/education/practice-management-guidelines/pancreatic-trauma-diagnosis-and-management-of. Published 2009. Accessed June 6, 2016.
- Haugaard MV, Penninga L, Ifaoui IB, Qvist N, Wettergren A. Pancreatic trauma in children—operative versus nonoperative treatment. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2012;174(3):115–119.
- Kouchi K, Tanabe M, Yoshida H, Iwai J, Matsunaga T, Ohtsuka Y, Kuroda H, Hishiki T, Ohnuma N. Nonoperative management of blunt pancreatic injury in childhood. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1999;34(11):1736–1739.
- Keller MS, Stafford PW, Vane DW. Conservative management of pancreatic trauma in children. J Trauma. 1997;42(6):1097–1100.
- Duchesne JC, Schmieg R, Islam S, Olivier J, McSwain N. Selective nonoperative management of low-grade blunt pancreatic injury: are we there yet? *J Trauma*. 2008; 65(1):49–53.
- Penninga L, Hillingsø J. Non-operative treatment of severe blunt pancreatic trauma in children. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2011;173(38):2346–2347.
- Hamidian Jahromi A, D'Agostino HR, Zibari GB, Chu QD, Clark C, Shokouh-Amiri H. Surgical versus nonsurgical management of traumatic major pancreatic duct transection: institutional experience and review of the literature. *Pancreas*. 2013;42(1):76–87.
- Mercantini P, Virgilio E, Bocchetti T, Capurso G, Nava AK, Ziparo V. Is entirely conservative management a correct strategy for hemodynamically stable patient with a grade IV blunt pancreatic injury? World J Surg. 2011; 35:933–934.
- Hiremath B, Hegde N. Non-operative management of a grade IV pancreatic injury. BMJ Case Rep. 2014;pii:bcr2014203805.
- Bosboom D, Braam AW, Blickman JG, Wijnen RM. The role of imaging studies in pancreatic injury due to blunt abdominal trauma in children. *Eur J Radiol*. 2006;59(1):3–7.
- Canty TG, Weinman D. Management of major pancreatic duct injuries in children. J Trauma. 2001;50(6):1001–1007.
- Houben CH, de-Ajayi N, Patel S, Kane P, Karani J, Devlin J, Harrison P, Davenport M. Traumatic pancreatic duct injury in children: minimally invasive approach to management. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007;42(4):629–635.
- 26. Jones RC. Management of pancreatic trauma. Am J Surg. 1985;150(6):698-704.
- Cogbill TH, Moore EE, Feliciano DV, Hoyt DB, Jurkovich GJ, Morris JA, Mucha P Jr, Ross SE, Strutt PJ, Moore FA, et al. Conservative management of duodenal trauma: a multicenter perspective. *J Trauma*. 1990;30(12):1469–1475.